USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 1 of 310

No. 23-1474

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

ANTHONY DIAL,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,

Defendants-Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

JOINT APPENDIX

Nancy P. Quinn
THE QUINN LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 9378
Greensboro, North Carolina
27429
Telephone: (336) 272-9072
Email:
npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com
Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellant
Anthony Dial

Katherine Barber-Jones
Rachel G. Posey
HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP
2626 Glenwood Avenue
Suite 305
Raleigh, North Carolina 27608
Email:
kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com
rposey@hartzoglawgroup.com
Counsel for Defendants-Appellees
Robeson County and Robeson County
Department of Social Services

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 2 of 310

INDEX

	<u>Page</u>
District Court Docket Sheet [No. 1:20-cv-01135-WO-JLW]	JA001
Complaint [ECF 3] (Nov. 12, 2020)	JA007
Affidavit of Service for Robeson County (Nov. 30, 2020)	JA013
Affidavit of Service for Robeson County Department of Social Services (Nov. 30, 2020)	-JA016
Petition for Removal [ECF 1] (Dec. 16, 2020)	JA019
Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Jan. 2021) [ECF 7]	
Ex. 1 to Defendant's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss: Plaint 2017 EEOC Charge [ECF 8-1]	
Ex. 2 to Defendant's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss: Plaint 2019 EEOC Charge [ECF 8-2]	
Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss (Feb. 12, 2 [ECF 9]	
Memorandum Opinion and Order (Sept. 29, 2021) [ECF 12]	JA032
Answer (Oct. 13, 2021) [ECF 13]	JA067
Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Sept. 6, 2022) [ECF 25]	- JA079
Transcript of Deposition of Kellie Blue (Sept. 6, 2022) [ECF 25-1]	JA082
Transcript of Deposition of Shelton Hill (Sept. 6, 2022) [ECF 25-2]	JA108
Excerpts from Transcript of Deposition of Anthony Dial (Sept. 6, 2 [ECF 25-3]	,

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 4 of 310

18BD,APPEAL,CLOSED,MASTER,MEDIATION,TRIAL

U.S. District Court North Carolina Middle District (NCMD) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:20-cv-01135-WO-JLW

DIAL v. ROBESON COUNTY et al

Assigned to: JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR Referred to: MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER

Demand: \$25,000

Case in other court: Guilford County Superior Court, 20CVS8349

23-01474

Cause: 28:1331 Fed. Question: Civil Rights Violation

Plaintiff

ANTHONY DIAL

Date Filed: 12/16/2020 Date Terminated: 03/27/2023 Jury Demand: Defendant

Nature of Suit: 442 Civil Rights: Jobs Jurisdiction: Federal Question

represented by NANCY PULLIAM QUINN

THE QUINN LAW FIRM

315 SPRING GARDEN ST., STE. 1D

GREENSBORO, NC 27401

336-272-9072 Fax: 336-272-2289

Email: npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

ROBESON COUNTY

represented by DAN MCCORD HARTZOG, JR.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP 2626 GLENWOOD AVENUE

SUITE 305

RALEIGH, NC 27608

980-255-2238

Email: dhartzogir@hartzoglawgroup.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

RACHEL GAIL POSEY

HARTZOG LAW GROUP

2626 GLENWOOD AVE., STE. 305

RALEIGH, NC 27608

919-890-8486

Email: rposey@hartzoglawgroup.com

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

KATHERINE MARIE BARBER-JONES

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP 2626 GLENWOOD AVENUE

SUITE 305

RALEIGH, NC 27608

919-424-0091

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

TERMINATED: 01/19/2023 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

TERMINATED: 09/29/2021

represented by KATHERINE MARIE BARBER-JONES

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 01/19/2023

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
12/16/2020	1	PETITION FOR REMOVAL against ANTHONY DIAL (Filing fee \$ 402 receipt number 0418-2951127), filed by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 - Complaint, # 2 Exhibit 2 - Summons, # 3 Exhibit 3 - Notice of Removal to Federal Court (Guilford County), # 4 Civil Cover Sheet) (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020	2	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by attorney KATHERINE MARIE BARBER-JONES on behalf of Defendant ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020	<u>3</u>	COMPLAINT against ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, filed by ANTHONY DIAL. (Original filed in Guilford County Superior Court, case number 20CVS8349, and listed as Exhibit 1 to the Petition for Removal) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020	4	Summons Issued as to ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (Original filed in Guilford County Superior Court, case number 20CVS8349, issued 11/12/2020 and listed as Exhibit 2 to the Petition for Removal) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020	<u>5</u>	Notice of Right to Consent. (Attachments: # 1 Consent Form) (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020		CASE REFERRED to Mediation pursuant to Local Rule 83.9b of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of this Court. Please go to our website under Attorney Information for a list of mediators which must be served on all parties. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/16/2020		Case ASSIGNED to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR and MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER. (Sheets, Jamie) (Entered: 12/16/2020)
12/17/2020	<u>6</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to File Answer re 3 Complaint by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order Extending Time)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) Modified on 12/21/2020 to reflect that Plaintiff no longer objects to this motion. (Brubaker, John). (Entered: 12/17/2020)
12/21/2020		ORDER granting 6 Motion for Extension of Time to Answer for ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. Answer due by 1/22/2021. Signed by John Brubaker, Clerk of Court, on 12/21/2020. (Brubaker, John) (Entered: 12/21/2020)
01/22/2021	7	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. Response to Motion due by 2/12/2021 (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 01/22/2021)
01/22/2021	8	BRIEF re 7 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM by Defendants ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES filed by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit 1 - 2017 EEOC Charge, # 2 Exhibit 2 - 2019 EEOC Charge)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 01/22/2021)
02/12/2021	9	RESPONSE in Opposition re 7 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM filed by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES filed by ANTHONY DIAL. Replies due by 2/26/2021 (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 02/12/2021)
02/12/2021	10	MEMORANDUM in Support filed by Plaintiff ANTHONY DIAL re 2 Response in Opposition to 7 Motion to Dismiss (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 02/12/2021)
02/26/2021	11	REPLY, filed by Defendants ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, to Response to 7 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM filed by ROBESON COUNTY, ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 02/26/2021)
03/10/2021		Motion Submitted re: 7 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR. (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 03/10/2021)
09/29/2021	12	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR. on 9/29/2021. For the reasons set forth herein, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 7) is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. The motion is GRANTED as to Plaintiff's claim of Title VII race discrimination in the non-promotion to Director of Department of Social Services, Plaintiff's Title VII retaliation claim, and Plaintiff's § 1981 and § 1983 claims. The motion is DENIED as to Plaintiff's claim of Title VII race discrimination in the non-promotion to Assistant County Manager. FURTHER that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED as to Defendant Robeson County Department of Social Services and this Defendant is DISMISSED from this action. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/29/2021)
10/13/2021	13	ANSWER to 3 Complaint with Jury Demand by ROBESON COUNTY. (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 10/13/2021)

10/14/2021	14	NOTICE of Initial Pretrial Conference Hearing: Initial Pretrial Conference Hearing set for 11/17/2021 09:30 AM in
11/02/2021	1.5	Durham Courtroom #1 before MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER. (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 10/14/2021)
11/03/2021	<u>15</u>	Rule 26(f) Report (Joint) filed by all parties by ROBESON COUNTY.(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 11/03/2021)
11/05/2021		Motions Referred: RE: 15 Rule 26(f) Report (Joint) filed by all parties, to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER (Garrett, Kim) (Entered: 11/05/2021)
11/08/2021	16	ORDER signed by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 11/8/2021. The Court approves without modification the Joint Rule 26(f) Report (Docket Entry 15) which, inter alia, adopts the default procedures of Local Rule 5.4(c) regarding filing documents under seal. The parties agree the appropriate plan for this case designated in Local Rule 26.1(a)(2) is complex. Discovery due by 6/30/2022. Dispositive motions due by 7/31/2022. Plaintiffs amended pleadings and joinder of parties due by 12/15/2021. Defendants amended pleadings and joinder of parties due by 1/14/2022. Mediation should be held at the close of discovery. The parties agree the mediator shall be Ken Carlson. ETT: approximately 3-4 days. The parties decline reference of this case to a magistrate judge. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/08/2021)
11/09/2021		MEDIATION SCHEDULING ORDER; Mediation due by 6/30/2022. (Bond, Melisa) (Entered: 11/09/2021)
11/09/2021	<u>17</u>	ORDER Appointing KENNETH P. CARLSON, JR., as the Mediator pursuant to LR 83.9d(a). Signed by John S. Brubaker, Clerk of Court. (Bond, Melisa) (Entered: 11/09/2021)
04/07/2022	<u>18</u>	NOTICE of Hearing: APRIL Master Trial Calendar: Jury Trial set for 4/3/2023 at 09:30 AM in Unassigned Courtroom before UNASSIGNED JUDGE. Pretrial disclosures due 3/3/2023. Motions in limine due 3/10/2023. Any objections to pretrial disclosures and responses to motions in limine due 3/17/2023. Trial brief along with proposed instructions due 3/13/2023.(Kemp, Donita) (Entered: 04/07/2022)
06/14/2022	<u>19</u>	REPORT OF MEDIATOR from Kenneth P. Carlson, Jr Mediation Held on 6/2/2022. (IMPASSE) (Bond, Melisa) (Entered: 06/14/2022)
07/01/2022	20	Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and File Dispositive Motions by ROBESON COUNTY. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order Extending Case Management Deadlines)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 07/01/2022)
07/01/2022	21	Joint MOTION for Protective Order - Entry of Consent Protective Order by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 7/1/2022 (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order Consent Protective Order)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 07/01/2022)
07/05/2022		Motions Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER re: <u>20</u> Consent MOTION for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and File Dispositive Motions and <u>21</u> Joint MOTION for Protective Order - Entry of Consent Protective Order. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 07/05/2022)
07/06/2022	22	CONSENT PROTECTIVE ORDER signed by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 07/06/2022, as set out herein. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 07/06/2022)
07/06/2022		TEXT ORDER granting 20 Consent Motion to Amend the Case Management Order Deadlines. For good cause shown, the time to complete discovery shall be extended up to and including July 31, 2022; and the time to file dispositive motions shall be extended up to and including August 30, 2022. The parties shall not anticipate any further extension of said deadlines. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 7/6/2022.(Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 07/06/2022)
07/07/2022		Set/Reset Scheduling Order Deadlines: Discovery due by 7/31/2022. Dispositive Motions due by 8/30/2022. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 07/07/2022)
08/12/2022	<u>23</u>	NOTICE of Intent to File Dispositive Motions by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 08/12/2022)
08/30/2022	<u>24</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time <i>to File Dispositive Motions, Unopposed,</i> by ROBESON COUNTY. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022		Motion Referred to MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER re: 24 Unopposed MOTION for Extension of Time to File Dispositive Motions. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/30/2022		TEXT ORDER Granting 24 Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time. Defendant Robeson County, with consent of Plaintiff, seeks a seven (7) day extension of time to file dispositive motions. Per the Court's July 6, 2022 Text Order, the parties were forewarned not to anticipate any further extension of said deadline. Defendant's motion notes that this matter has not been set for trial (Docket Entry 24 at 3), however, this matter has been tentatively calendared for trial in April 2023. (See Docket Entry 18.) Nevertheless, in light of Defendant's need for additional time to prepare its motion for summary judgment, and finding good cause for such, the Court will grant the extension request. However, the undersigned will shorten the response and reply deadlines in light of other considerations, including the trial date set in this matter, the timing necessary for rulings on dispositive motions,

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 7 of 310

		and the filing of pretrial disclosures. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the deadline to file dispositive motions shall be extended up to and including September 6, 2022; responses to such motions shall be filed no later than September 27, 2022, and replies shall be filed no later than October 4, 2022. The deadlines herein shall be the final deadlines regarding dispositive motions. Issued by MAG/JUDGE JOE L. WEBSTER on 8/30/2022.(Lee, Pedra) (Entered: 08/30/2022)
08/31/2022		Set/Reset Motion and R and R Deadlines/Hearings Response to Motion due by 9/27/2022 Replies due by 10/4/2022. Dispositive Motions due by 9/6/2022. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 08/31/2022)
09/06/2022	25	MOTION for Summary Judgment by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 10/6/2022 (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit - Transcript of Deposition of Kellie Blue, # 2 Exhibit - Transcript of Deposition of Shelton Hill, # 3 Exhibit - Excerpts from Transcript of Deposition of Anthony Dial, # 4 Exhibit - 2019 Robeson County EEO-4, # 5 Exhibit - Declaration of H.T. ("Tom") Taylor, Jr.)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	26	DECLARATION filed by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY re 25 MOTION for Summary Judgment filed by ROBESON COUNTY. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - 2019 Assistant County Manager Job Posting, # 2 Exhibit B - 2019 Assistant County Manager Job Description, # 3 Exhibit C - SLIP SHEET for Plaintiff's Job Application, # 4 Exhibit D - SLIP SHEET for Shelton Hill's Job Application, # 5 Exhibit E - SLIP SHEET for Notes from Plaintiff's Interview, # 6 Exhibit F - SLIP SHEET for Notes from Shelton Hill's Interview, # 7 Exhibit G - 2016 Assistant County Manager Job Description)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	27	BRIEF re <u>25</u> MOTION for Summary Judgment by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY filed by ROBESON COUNTY. (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	28	SEALED EXHIBITS re 26 Declaration,, by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY, Plaintiff ANTHONY DIAL. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - 2019 Assistant County Manager Job Posting, # 2 Exhibit B - 2019 Assistant County Manager Job Description, # 3 Sealed Unredacted C - Anthony Dial Job Application, # 4 Sealed Unredacted D - Shelton Hill Job Application, # 5 Sealed Unredacted E - Notes from Interview of Anthony Dial, # 6 Sealed Unredacted F - Notes from Interview of Shelton Hill, # 7 Exhibit G - 2016 Assistant County Manager Job Description)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/06/2022	<u>29</u>	Motion for Leave to File MOTION to Seal <i>Exhibits C, D, E, and F to the Declaration of Kellie Blue</i> [If the party filing this motion is not the party claiming confidentiality, the party claiming confidentiality must file a response within 14 days that includes the materials required by L.R. 5.4(c)(3).] by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 9/20/2022 (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit - Declaration of Katherine Barber-Jones in Suppot of Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal, # 2 Exhibit - Local Civil Rule 5.4 Checklist and Summary Chart) (BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) Modified event and text on 9/30/2022 to reflect document filed. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/06/2022)
09/27/2022	30	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>25</u> MOTION for Summary Judgment filed by ROBESON COUNTY filed by ANTHONY DIAL. Replies due by 10/11/2022 (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 09/27/2022)
09/27/2022	31	RESPONSE in Opposition re 30 Response in Opposition to Motion filed by ANTHONY DIAL filed by ANTHONY DIAL. Replies due by 10/11/2022 (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A. Plaintiff Deposition Excerpts, # 2 Exhibit B Affidavit Rhonda Dial, # 3 Exhibit C Affidavit Roger Oxendine)(QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 09/27/2022)
09/28/2022	32	Exhibit C Affidavit of Roger Oxendine re 31 Response in Opposition to Motion filed by ANTHONY DIAL (QUINN, NANCY) Modified on 9/28/2022 properly set out pleading title. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 09/28/2022)
09/30/2022		Motion Submitted to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR., re: 29 MOTION to Seal <i>Exhibits C, D, E, and F to the Declaration of Kellie Blue</i> . (Daniel, J) (Entered: 09/30/2022)
10/04/2022	33	REPLY, filed by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY, to Response to 25 MOTION for Summary Judgment filed by ROBESON COUNTY. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A - Deposition Page)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 10/04/2022)
11/02/2022	34	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 11/02/2022, that Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal, (Doc. <u>29</u>), is GRANTED. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 11/02/2022)
11/30/2022		Motion Submitted to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR., re: <u>25</u> MOTION for Summary Judgment. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 11/30/2022)
01/13/2023	35	MOTION to Substitute Attorney <i>Dan Hartzog Jr. for Katherine Barber-Jones</i> by ROBESON COUNTY. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(BARBER-JONES, KATHERINE) (Entered: 01/13/2023)
01/19/2023	36	ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 01/19/2023, that the Notice of Substitution of Counsel, (Doc. 35), is GRANTED and attorney Katherine Barber-Jones is hereby withdrawn from representing Defendant in this matter. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney Dan McCord Hartzog, Jr., is hereby substituted as counsel of

		record for Defendant Robeson County. Attorney Hartzog shall file a notice of appearance upon entry of this order. (Bowers, Alexis) (Entered: 01/19/2023)
01/27/2023	<u>37</u>	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by attorney DAN MCCORD HARTZOG, JR on behalf of Defendant ROBESON COUNTY (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 01/27/2023)
02/14/2023	38	NOTICE of Trial Calendar Jury Trial set for 4/3/2023 09:30 AM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN JR. (Williamson, Wanda) (Entered: 02/14/2023)
02/15/2023	<u>39</u>	NOTICE of Hearing: Settlement Conference set for 3/21/2023 at 9:30 a.m. in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/15/2023)
02/19/2023	40	Joint MOTION to Reset Trial Date by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 3/13/2023 (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 02/19/2023)
02/21/2023	41	ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 02/21/2023. Eight cases are presently set for trial before this court during the Master Trial Calendar beginning April 3, 2023. This court will attempt to set dates certain for trial, however, any schedule is tentative and subject to change as cases may settle or come off the calendar as a result of motions for summary judgment. As to the remaining cases scheduled for trial, including Dial v. Robeson County, 1:20-cv-1135; Humphreys v. Rowan-Salisbury Board of Education et al., 1:21-cv-432; Harris v. Town of Southern Pines, et al., 1:21-cv-955; The McClatchy Company, et al., v. Town of Chapel Hill, 1:21-cv-765; and Anderson v. Diamondback Investment Group, LLC, 1:21-cv-778, those cases will not be called for trial before April 10, 2023. Counsel in thosecases will use April 10, 2023 as their trial date for purposes of compliance with LR 40.1 and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) (3) regarding pretrial disclosures. As to each of these cases not yet scheduled, counsel are notified that in addition to April 10 and April 17, 2023, this court will conduct trials, if necessary, during the weeks of April 24, 2023, May 1, 2023, and May 15, 2023. Any pending motions to continue or requests for modification of pretrial deadlines will not be addressed by this court before February 25, 2023. A continuance is not likely, however, the deadlines may be modified somewhat depending upon trial dates and any motions. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 02/21/2023)
02/21/2023		Motion Submitted to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR., re: <u>40</u> Joint MOTION to Reset Trial Date. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/21/2023)
02/22/2023	42	ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 02/22/2023, denying 40 Motion to Reset Trial Date. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 02/22/2023)
02/23/2023	43	MOTION to Continue <i>pretrial conference</i> by ANTHONY DIAL. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Text of Proposed Order) (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 02/23/2023)
02/24/2023		Motion Submitted to JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR., re: <u>43</u> MOTION to Continue <i>pretrial conference</i> . (Daniel, J) (Entered: 02/24/2023)
02/24/2023	44	NOTICE of Attorney Appearance by attorney RACHEL GAIL POSEY on behalf of Defendant ROBESON COUNTY (POSEY, RACHEL) (Entered: 02/24/2023)
02/27/2023		TEXT ORDER: A Settlement Conference is set for March 21, 2023. (Doc. 39.) Plaintiff moves to reschedule that settlement conference to a date prior to March 20, 2023. The court GRANTS the motion, (Doc. 43.), and directs the Clerk to consult with the parties and reschedule the Settlement Conference to a date prior to March 20, 2023. [Issued by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 2/27/2023.] (Welch, Kelly) (Entered: 02/27/2023)
03/01/2023	45	NOTICE of Rescheduling: Settlement Conference reset for 3/8/2023 at 2:00 PM in Greensboro Courtroom #1 before JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR. (Daniel, J) (Entered: 03/01/2023)
03/08/2023	46	MOTION Excuse Attendance of Insurance Adjuster by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 3/29/2023 (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/08/2023)
03/08/2023		Minute Entry for proceedings held before JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR., in G-1: Settlement Conference held on 3/8/2023. Attorney Nancy Quinn present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Attorneys Dan Hartzog and Rachel Posey appeared on behalf of the Defendant. Case did not settle. 46 Motion to Excuse Insurance Adjustor from In Person Attendance at the Settlement Conference denied as moot. The parties will be notified of a date certain for trial. (Court Reporter Briana Bell.) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 03/08/2023)
03/08/2023		ORAL ORDER denying as moot 46 Motion to Excuse Insurance Adjustor from In Person Attendance at the Settlement Conference. Issued by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR. on 3/8/2023. (See 3/8/2023 Minute Entry) (Daniel, J) (Entered: 03/08/2023)
03/10/2023	47	FINAL PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES by ANTHONY DIAL.(QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/10/2023)
03/10/2023	48	FINAL PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES by ROBESON COUNTY.(HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/10/2023)
03/17/2023	<u>49</u>	MOTION in Limine by ROBESON COUNTY. Response to Motion due by 3/24/2023 (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/17/2023)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 9 of 310

03/17/2023	<u>50</u>	Defendant's BRIEF re 49 MOTION in Limine . (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/17/2023)	
03/20/2023	<u>51</u>	Proposed Jury Instructions by Plaintiff ANTHONY DIAL .(QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/20/2023)	
03/20/2023	<u>52</u>	TRIAL MEMO by ANTHONY DIAL Estimated trial time 3 days.(QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/20/2023)	
03/20/2023	<u>53</u>	TRIAL BRIEF by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY.(HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/20/2023)	
03/20/2023	<u>54</u>	Proposed Jury Instructions by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY .(HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/20/2023)	
03/24/2023	<u>55</u>	RESPONSE filed by Plaintiff ANTHONY DIAL re 49 MOTION in Limine filed by ROBESON COUNTY filed by ANTHONY DIAL. Replies due by 4/7/2023 (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/24/2023)	
03/24/2023	<u>56</u>	BRIEF re 55 Response to Motion <i>in limine</i> by Plaintiff ANTHONY DIAL filed by ANTHONY DIAL. (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/24/2023)	
03/24/2023	<u>57</u>	OBJECTION - Other re <u>47</u> Final Pretrial Disclosures by Defendant ROBESON COUNTY. (HARTZOG, DAN) (Entered: 03/24/2023)	
03/27/2023	<u>58</u>	Notice L. R. 7.3(d) (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 03/27/2023)	
03/27/2023	<u>59</u>	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 03/27/2023, that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, (Doc. 25), is GRANTED. (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/27/2023)	
03/27/2023	60	JUDGMENT signed by JUDGE WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR on 03/27/2023, that the Plaintiff, Anthony Dial, shall take nothing of Defendant, Robeson County, and this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. (Garland, Leah) (Entered: 03/27/2023)	
04/25/2023	61	NOTICE OF APPEAL by ANTHONY DIAL. Filing fee \$ 505, receipt number ANCMDC-3547581. (QUINN, NANCY) (Entered: 04/25/2023)	
04/26/2023	<u>62</u>	Electronic Transmission of Notice of Appeal and Docket Sheet to US Court of Appeals re 61 Notice of Appeal (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 04/26/2023)	
05/01/2023	<u>63</u>	NOTICE of Docketing Record on Appeal from USCA re <u>61</u> Notice of Appeal filed by ANTHONY DIAL. USCA Case Number 23-1474. Case Manager: Cyndi Halupa. (Taylor, Abby) (Entered: 05/01/2023)	

PACER Service Center				
Transaction Receipt				
10/13/2023 13:02:25				
PACER Login:	kmbarber44197	Client Code:		
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:20-cv-01135-WO- JLW	
Billable Pages:	8	Cost:	0.80	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 10 of 310

EXHIBIT 1

NORTH CAROLINA	IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION	
GUILFORD COUNTY	2 × C	15 8349
ANTHONY DIAL 2020 NOV 12 P 1: 26	•	
Plaintiff, GUILFORD CO., C.S C vs.))))COMPLAINT
ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUSOCIAL SERVICES,	UNTY DEPARTMENT	Γ OF))
Defendants.)

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF, complaining of the acts of Defendants, alleges and says that:

- 1. Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of Robeson County, North Carolina.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Robeson County is a county government of the State of North Carolina with offices and facilities is Robeson County, North Carolina. Defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and is an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b) and has had fifteen (15) or more employees for each working day in each of the twenty (20) or more calendar weeks in the current calendar year.
- 3. Upon information and belief, the Department of Social Services, is an agency within and under the control of Defendant Robeson County. Moreover, is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court and is an "employer" as defined by 42 U.S.C. §2000e(b) and has had fifteen (15) or more employees for each working day in each of the twenty (20) or more calendar weeks in the current calendar year.
- 4. That Plaintiff, a Native American male and veteran, was hired by Defendant in August of 2000. Plaintiff is highly qualified and has served the Defendant in a variety of capacities, with distinction, while so employed.
- 5. Moreover, the Defendants work in concert to provide funding and services to the clients of Plaintiff and the staff associated with those services.
- 6. That Plaintiff continues to perform his duties with the best interests of the Defendants in mind and continues to offer more improvements to the operation of the department as he performs her duties. During the performance of his duties, he acted in additional capacities and creatively presented new ideas and procedures to increase the effectiveness of the department to the citizens of Robeson County. Plaintiff also networks and serves on many committees in the community in addition to his assigned work duties as part of his desire to assist the County.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 11 of 310

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF TITLE VII

- 7. That, Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC") regarding discrimination concerns with his employment with Defendants in 2014. That matter was eventually resolved and Plaintiff continued his work with Defendants.
- 8. That Plaintiff applied for the posted position of Director of the Department of Social Services for Robeson County on or about October 10, 2016. He was interviewed for the position on or about November 22, 2016 along with eight other candidates. Plaintiff was informed that he scored the highest of all the candidates and that he met the posted criteria for obtaining the position.
- 9. That the Defendants re-opened the interview phase of the search, upon information and belief, to obtain a director of a different race than Plaintiff. Plaintiff was again interviewed on or about December 13, 2016. At that time, the new questions were specifically focused on areas in which Plaintiff has limited experience and that the other candidate, Velvet Nixon, had extensive experience. Upon information and belief the questions were changed as the Defendants wanted a Black candidate to be successful and tailored the questions for the desired result. All three finalists for the position were Black.
- 10. That on or about January 6, 2017, Plaintiff was informed that he did not receive the promotion and that it would be offered to Velvet Nixon, a Black female. Ms. Nixon accepted the position.
- 11. That Plaintiff was required to provide extensive training to Ms. Nixon regarding the duties of her new position. Despite his disappointment with the denial of the promotion, Plaintiff maintained a professional demeanor and assisted Ms. Nixon in any way he could.
- 12. That within 180 days of these actions, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the EEOC alleging racial (Native American) and gender (male) discrimination in the changing of the position qualifications and the failure to promote him to the Director position despite being the best qualified candidate.
- 13. The charge also included concerns of retaliation in the failure to promote due to his prior EEOC activity in 2014.
- 14. That Plaintiff sought assistance and an end to the discrimination and retaliation prior to filing the charge. Upon information and belief, no action was taken by Defendants to alleviate the hostile work environment for Plaintiff. Thus, Defendants were aware of the retaliation and discrimination experienced by Plaintiff, by and through some of their agents, and failed to take appropriate action to correct the situation.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 12 of 310

- 15. That while the charge was pending, Plaintiff applied for the position of Assistant County Manager and was interviewed for the position on or about May 1, 2019.
- 16. That despite his excellent qualification for the position, he was informed on or about June 7, 2019, that he would not receive this promotion allegedly because he was not the most qualified candidate. Moreover, he was informed that his prior EEOC activity was a negative factor in his scoring for the position. The position was given to Shelton Hill, Caucasian male, with less education and experience and fewer qualifications than Plaintiff.
- 17. That while the selection decision for the assistant county manager was within the scope of County Manager Kellie Blue's duties, she serves at the pleasure of the County Commissioners and, upon information and belief, would not ignore their input in the process.
- 18. That Plaintiff filed an additional charge of discrimination with the EEOC based upon said retaliation and racial discrimination (Native American) and amended the original charge from 2017 (that remained under investigation by the EEOC at the time of the interview) to include this new retaliatory information on or about July, 2019.
- 19. That as a direct and proximate result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered financially in lower pay than offered through the promotions, has lost professional opportunities for advancement and the learning of additional skills, lost professional prestige within the department, and suffered emotional distress.
- 20. That at all times relevant herein, many of Defendants' agents, by whom and through Plaintiff was discriminated against, denied the promotions by, retaliated against, and intimidated by as well as those managers to whom he complained were agents of the Defendants, duly authorized and acting within the scope of their employment.
- 21. The conduct of Defendants, as alleged herein, not only violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Acts of 1964 and 1991, as amended, but also violates the public policy of the State of North Carolina.
- 22. That on or about August 14, and September 9, 2020, Plaintiff was issued right to sue letters on said charges of discrimination from the local office of the EEOC. At this time, Plaintiff has decided to institute a private lawsuit, and is filing same within ninety (90) days of receipt of the EEOC's right to sue letters.
- 23. That said discrimination, creation of a hostile environment, failure to promote, and retaliation experienced by Plaintiff from Defendants, by and through their duly authorized agents, is the direct and proximate cause of injury to Plaintiff in an amount in excess of \$25,000.00 representing lost opportunities and benefits, lost wages, as well as emotional distress.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: RETALIATION

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 13 of 310

- 24. The allegations set forth in paragraphs one through twenty-three are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 25. That during the interview phase for the Director position, the decision makers were told that Plaintiff was under investigation alleging Medicaid fraud unrelated to his duties with the Defendants. The anonymously reported allegations were discussed with the recruiting and interviewing members of the team even before they were presented to Plaintiff. This cloud over his character was intended to discredit him and, upon information and belief, later to encourage him to resign his position with Defendants.
- 26. That the investigation found absolutely no improper behavior by Plaintiff and that the allegations were baseless.
- 27. That additional retaliation continues. In the spring, 2019, while the EEOC investigation was pending, Plaintiff was disqualified from consideration for the deputy director of social services position by Ms. Nixon as a potential lawsuit may be associated with the investigation. Plaintiff also experienced reduced resources for Plaintiff's staff, resistance to his plans for COVID-19 protocols in his department, failure to include him in procedure changes directly affecting his staff, and undermining his authority with his staff.
- 28. That despite hearing his concerns about the racial disparity in promotions and work environment for Native Americans, no resolution of the disparity occurred.
- 29. That as a direct and proximate result of voicing these concerns, Plaintiff suffered excessive scrutiny of his performance, was subjected to factually unsubstantiated investigations, undermining of his authority with staff, and deprived of promotions and a professionally satisfying work environment as compared to his non-Native American coworkers (for example: Velvet Nixon, Shelton Hill) Plaintiff's exclusion from the professional and supportive work environment was intended to dissuade him, and others with similar concerns, from reporting retaliatory conduct or risk isolation from their peers and managers.
- 30. That said conduct of Defendant's agents, acting within the course and scope of their employment, was both racially discriminatory and retaliatory. The retaliation created a hostile working environment for Plaintiff. The conduct of Defendants, as alleged herein, violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991, as amended.
- 31. That more than 180 days have elapsed since Plaintiff filed these charges with the EEOC. On or about August 14 and September 9, 2020, right to sue letters on said charges of retaliation were issued by the local office of the EEOC. At this time, Plaintiff has decided to institute a private lawsuit, and is filing same within ninety (90) days of receipt of the EEOC's right to sue letters.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 14 of 310

. . . .

32. Said failure to end the pattern and practice of discrimination and retaliation and the failure to prevent the creation of a hostile work environment by Defendants, by and through its duly authorized agents, is the direct and proximate cause of injury to Plaintiff in an amount in excess of \$25,000.00 representing lost wages, benefits, professional opportunity, and emotional distress.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF: SECTION 1981 and 1983

- 33. For the purposes of this claim, Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one through thirty-two above of the Complaint as if the same were fully set forth herein.
- 34. Upon information and belief, acting in bad faith, Defendants arbitrarily harassed with unfounded investigations and intimidated Plaintiff in retaliation for his participation in reporting discrimination and violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended and Section 1981 of the Civil Rights Act of 1871, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981 ("Section 1981"). Plaintiff, as a member of a protected class (Native American), seeks declaratory, injunctive and other equitable relief, and compensatory damages based on Defendants' continuing deprivation of his civil rights as accorded to him by the above-cited federal statutes.
- 35. Further, that Defendants have not followed the constitutional dictates of due process of law as guaranteed by Article I, Section 19 of the Constitution of North Carolina and the United States Constitution and have violated the Plaintiff's right to due process in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The acts of the Defendants, by and through its duly appointed agents, constitute a denial and a conspiracy to deprive Plaintiff of rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed to Plaintiff by the Constitution and laws of the United States as well as the laws and policies of the State of North Carolina.
- 36. That the Defendants' conduct as herein set out was purposeful, deliberate, intentional, and done with reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, such that Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages.
- 37. In taking the aforesaid actions, Defendants acted intentionally under color of law of the State of North Carolina within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- 38. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer the loss of opportunity, job security, wages, and professional status in an amount in excess of \$25,000.00. The amount of damages will be more fully described when known.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF: RIGHT TO EQUITABLE RELIEF

39. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged herein and this suit for declaratory and injunctive relief is the only means for securing adequate relief.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 15 of 310

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays the Court as follows:

- 1. That Defendants be ordered to cease all retaliation and discrimination and to eliminate the hostile environment which exists in its facility;
- 2. That Defendants be ordered to promote Plaintiff to the positions of Director or Assistant County Manager with the Defendants, with back pay and the benefits associated therewith;
- 3. That he recover judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of \$25,000.00;
 - 4. That he recover the costs of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees; and
 - 5. For such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.

Nancy P. Quinn,

Attorney for Plaintiff

315 Spring Garden St., Suite 1D

Greensboro, NC 27401

Telephone: (336) 272-9072

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 16 of 310 NORTH CAROLINA IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION **GUILFORD COUNTY** 20 CvS 8349 2020 NOV 30 P 3: 44 ANTHONY DIAL. Plaintiff, V. **AFFIDAVIT** ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, Defendants. THE AFFIANT, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action, and that as such attorney she did deposit a copy of the Complaint and Summons in the United States Mail at Greensboro, North Carolina, Certified Mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee addressed to: Robeson County, c/o Ms. Kellie Blue, County Manager, 550 North Chestnut Street, Lumberton, NC 28358, that copies of said Complaint and Summons in fact were received by Robeson County, c/o Ms. Kellie Blue, County Manager or one who was authorized by Robeson County, to receive same herein as evidence by the attached return receipt, said receipt being dated November 16, 2020. Nancy P. Quihn Attorney for Plaintiff 315 Spring Garden Street, 1D Greensboro, NC 27401 Telephone: (336) 272-9072 NORTH CAROLINA **GUILFORD COUNTY** SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me, this the 23rd day of November, 2020. Notary Public My Commission Expires: 07/06/2025

SHANNON S. HENNIS
NOTARY PUBLIC
GUILFORD COUNTY, NC
My Commission Expires 07 (0) 7025

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 17 of 310



SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON	I O CILILLE
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Robeson County Clo Hs. Kellie Blue, County Manager 550 North Chestrut Street Lumberton, NC 23353	A. Signature X B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from If YES, enter delivery address	☐ Agent ☐ Addressee C. Date of Delivery
9590 9402 3157 7166 5204 85 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7017 1070 0000 7418 0702	3. Service Type Adult Signature Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Certified Mail® Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery nsured Mail Restricted Delivery over \$500)	□ Priority Mail Express® □ Registered Mail™ □ Registered Mail Restricted □ Delivery ▼ Return Recelpt for Merchandise □ Signature Confirmation □ Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery
PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	D	omestic Return Receipt

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474

Doc: 24

Filed: 10/13/2023

Pg: 18 of 310

-11/23/2020

USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70171070000074180702

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 2:54 pm on November 16, 2020 in LUMBERTON, NC 28358.

Delivered

November 16, 2020 at 2:54 pm Delivered, Left with Individual LUMBERTON, NC 28358

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Tracking History	~
Product Information	~

See Less ^

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

 $https://tools.usps.com/go/TrackConfirmAction?qtc_tLabels1=70171070000074180702$

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 19 of 310

NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION

GUILFORD COUNTY

20 CvS 8349

ANTHONY DIAL,
Plaintiff,

V.

ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,
Defendants.

THE AFFIANT, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That she is the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned action, and that as such attorney she did deposit a copy of the Complaint and Summons in the United States Mail at Greensboro, North Carolina, Certified Mail, return receipt requested, deliver to addressee addressed to: Robeson County Department of Social Services, c/o Ms. Kellie Blue, County Manager, 550 North Chestnut Street, Lumberton, NC 28358, that copies of said Complaint and Summons in fact were received by Robeson County Department of Social Services, c/o Ms. Kellie Blue, County Manager or one who was authorized by Robeson County Department of Social Services, to receive same herein as evidence by the attached return receipt, said receipt being dated November 16, 2020.

Nancy P. Quinn

Attorney for Plaintiff

315 Spring Garden Street, 1D

Greensboro, NC 27401 Telephone: (336) 272-9072

NORTH CAROLINA GUILFORD COUNTY

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED

before me, this the <u>23rd</u> day of November, 2020.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 07/06/2025

SHANNON S. HENNIS

NOTARY PUBLIC

GUILFORD COUNTY, NC

My Commission Expires 07 06 2025

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 20 of 310

U.S. Postal Service™ **CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT** 그 Domestic Mail Only Lumbertop, NC 28358 7478 Certified Mail Fee \$3.55 0427 29 Postmark Here \$-\$0.00 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$_ 1070 \$0.70 Total Postage and Fees
\$ 7.10
\$ Sent To Rebese County Department of Scient Services
Clo MS. Kellie Blue County Marrager
Sineer and Apt. No., pr. PO Box No.
5.0 North Chestrut Stroet
City, State, 219-48
PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7550-02-000-9047
See Reverse for Instru 7017

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. Article Addressed to: Robeson County Department of Scial Services Clons. Kellie Blue, County Manager 550 North Chestrut Street	A. Signature X B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from if YES, enter delivery address by	Agent Addressee C. Date of Delivery item 1? Yes
9590 9402 3157 7166 5205 39 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)	3. Service Type Adult Signature Adult Signature Restricted Delivery Certified Mail® Certified Mail Restricted Delivery Collect on Delivery Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery sured Mail	□ Priority Mail Express® □ Registered Mail™ □ Registered Mail Restricted ↑ Delivery □ Return Receipt for ↑ Merchandise □ Signature Confirmation™ □ Signature Confirmation □ Restricted Delivery
7017 1070 0000 7418 0696 PS Form 3811, July 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9053	sured Mail Restricted Delivery er \$500)	Domestic Return Receipt

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474

Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 21 of 310

11/23/2020

USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70171070000074180696

Doc: 24

Remove X

Your item was delivered to an individual at the address at 2:54 pm on November 16, 2020 in LUMBERTON, NC 28358.

Oblivered

November 16, 2020 at 2:54 pm Delivered, Left with Individual LUMBERTON, NC 28358

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Tracking History	~
Product Information	~

See Less ∧

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 22 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,	PETITION FOR REMOVAL)))
Defendants.)))

Defendants, ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES (hereinafter, "Defendants") by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby petition this Court for the removal of this matter from the Superior Court of Guilford County, North Carolina, to the United States District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina. Defendants respectfully submit the following grounds in support of removal:

1. On November 12, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the Superior Court of Guilford County, North Carolina, entitled Anthony Dial, Plaintiff, v. Robeson County and Robeson County Department of Social Services,

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 23 of 310

Defendants, Civil Action No. 20 CVS 8349. See Complaint, attached as Exhibit 1, and Summons, attached as Exhibit 2.

- 2. Defendants were served through Ms. Kellie Blue, the County Manager, on November 16, 2020. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1) and Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants have 30 days from the date of service to remove this matter, up to and including December 16, 2020. Accordingly, this petition for removal is timely.
- 3. This Court has removal jurisdiction over this case because this is a civil action with original jurisdiction in the federal courts, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1441(a). pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1441(a). Plaintiff brings claims against Defendants pursuant to: (1) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 1991, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e et seq. for alleged employment discrimination and retaliation; and (2) 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983 for alleged deprivation of due process. Plaintiff further alleges that he is entitled to recover equitable and other relief pursuant to federal law. Accordingly, these are claims "arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States," over which the Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 24 of 310

4. Removal of this action to this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), because it is located in the District and Division where the State Court action was pending.

5. A copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of Court for the Superior Court of Guilford County, North Carolina, and served on Plaintiff through counsel. A copy of said Notice of Removal to Federal Court is attached as Exhibit 3 to this Petition.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that the above action now pending in the Superior Court of Guilford County, North Carolina, General Court of Justice, be removed to this Court.

This the 16th day of December, 2020.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200

Cary, North Carolina 27513

Telephone: (919) 670-0338

Facsimile: (919) 714-4635

Attorneys for Defendants

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 25 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that this day the foregoing *Petition for Removal* was served on the following parties to this action by: electronic mail and by depositing a copy in the custody of the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

Nancy P. Quinn 315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D Greensboro, NC 27401 Email: npquinn@triadbiz.rr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

This the 16th day of December, 2020.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200

Cary, North Carolina 27513

Telephone: (919) 670-0338 Facsimile: (919) 714-4635 Attorneys for Defendant USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 26 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
GREENSBORO DIVISION

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
Plaintiff,)
V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON)
COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES,) Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)
Defendants.)))

Defendants, ROBESON COUNTY (the "County") and ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES ("RCDSS") (collectively, "Defendants") by and through their undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, respectfully move the Court to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Specifically, Defendants move to dismiss the Complaint upon the following grounds:

- 1. All of Plaintiff's claims against Defendant "Robeson County Department of Social Services" are subject to dismissal because the Department of Social Services is not an entity subject to suit.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims for violation of Title VII are subject to dismissal because Plaintiff's factual allegations

are insufficient to plausibly state that Plaintiff was not selected for the position of Director of Social Services or Assistant County Manager due to race or sex discrimination in violation of Title VII.

- 3. Plaintiff's claims for retaliation in violation of Title VII are subject to dismissal because Plaintiff's factual allegations are insufficient to plausibly state that Plaintiff's non-selection for the positions of Director or Social Services or Assistant County Manager were in retaliation for previously-filed EEOC charges.
- 4. Plaintiff's claims against Defendants under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for alleged violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1981 should be dismissed because Plaintiff has failed to plausibly state that the alleged discrimination took place pursuant to County policy or that the County would otherwise be subject to *Monell* liability, and because Plaintiff has not sufficiently pled facts that racial discrimination was the but-for cause of the challenged conduct or that Plaintiff was deprived of due process.
- 5. Plaintiff's allegations of non-selection and retaliation that go beyond the allegations of the EEOC charges are subject to dismissal because they were not raised before the EEOC.

In support, Defendants submit two exhibits, the EEOC Charges of Discrimination filed by Plaintiff in 2017 and 2019, and a Brief, all filed concurrently with this motion.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 28 of 310

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above and based upon the supporting materials submitted concurrently with this motion, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter an order dismissing Plaintiff's claims with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted, this the 22nd day of January, 2021.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200

Cary, North Carolina 27513

Telephone: (919) 670-0338

Facsimile: (919) 714-4635

Attorneys for Defendants

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 29 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that this day the foregoing Motion to Dismiss was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will provide electronic notice to the following:

Nancy P. Quinn 315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D Greensboro, NC 27401 Email: npquinn@triadbiz.rr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

This the 22nd day of January, 2021.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones
KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200

Cary, North Carolina 27513 Telephone: (919) 670-0338 Facsimile: (919) 714-4635 Attorneys for Defendants USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 30 of 310

EXHIBIT 1 to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss



CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	12 10 12 11 11 T	Presented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act	EISH AO X	FEPA EEOC	433-2017-01860
26171167	-5 FH 12+ TS	,	and EEOC
State or local A	ency, if any		
Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area	Code) Date of Birth
Mr. Anthony R. Dial		(910) 734-863	1968
- Cubber Manage	te and ZIP Code		
	roke, NC 283		
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprentices Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULA)	hip Committee, or S RS <i>below.</i>)	State or Local Governme	nt Agency That I Believe
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERV	ICES	500 or More	(910) 671-3500
Street Address City, Sta	le and ZIP Code		
120 Glen Cowan Road Lumb	erton, NC 283	60	
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
Street Address City, Sta	te and ZIP Code		L
		1 2475(2) 25025	SANIATION TOOK DI AGE
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).) X RACE COLOR X SEX RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN DATE(S) DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE Earliest Latest 10-10-2016 01-06-2017			
X RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY GENETIC INFORMATION OTHER (Specify) . X CONTINUING ACTION			CONTINUING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):			Hon of December
I. I was hired by the above named employer in August 2000, and last held the position of Program Manager. On October 10, 2016, I applied for the Director of Robeson County Department of Social Services. On November 22, 2016, I was interviewed. Shortly thereafter, I was informed that I scored the highest of all eight applicants who were selected for interview, but my employers wanted all interviews to be conducted again. On December 13, 2016, I was re-interviewed. On January 6, 2017, I was informed that I did not get the promotion.			
II. I believe that I have been discriminated against because of my race (Native American), my sex (male), and retaliated against for filing a previous EEOC Charge of Discrimination 846-2014-34667, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended.			
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any.	NOTARY - When	necessary for State and Loc	cal Agency Requirements
will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	Lauras	that I have read the abo	we charge and that it is to be
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.	the best of my k	nowledge, information a	ove charge and that it is true to nd belief.
May 05, 2017 An 7 Storeture	SUBSCRIBED AN (month, day, year)	ID SWORN TO BEFORE M	ETHIS DATE
Date Cherging Party Signature			

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 31 of 310

EXHIBIT 2 to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)						
Charge Of Discr	IMINATION	Charg	ge Pres	ented To: A	gency(ies) Charge No(s):
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 19 Stetement and priver intermation befor	74. See enclosed Privacy Act e completing this form.		X EE		4	33-2019-02828
12/10/19	AMENDED CHAP	OCE L				and EEOC
	State or local Agency	127				and EEOC
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)			Н	ome Phone (Incl. Area	Code)	Date of Birth
Anthony Dial			910	0-734-8636		1968
Street Address	City, State and	ZIP Code				
P.O. Box 3216, Pembroke, NC 28372						
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employ Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than to	ment Agency, Apprenticeship Co vo, list under PARTICULARS belo	mmittee, or ow.)	State or	r Local Government	Agency	That I Believe
Name			No	o. Employees, Members	Phon	ie No. (Include Area Code)
Robeson County Department Of Social Government and Robeson County Ma		County	5	500 or more	910-6	671-3500
120 Glen Cowan Road						
Lumberton, NC	28328				T	
Name			No	. Employees, Members	Phone	e No. (Include Area Code)
Street Address	City, State and	ZIP Code				T 25
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es	5).)			DATE(S) DISCRI		
χ RACE COLOR SEX	RELIGION NA	TIONAL OR	IGIN	May 1, 201		Latest continuing
x RETALIATION AGE	DISABILITY GENETIC	C INFORMA	TION			8
OTHER (Specify)				_ x _c	ONTINUI	ING ACTION
2019 I applied for the position of a position. On May 1, 2019 I was in the County Commissioners considerated process for the selection of the new staff indicating that Shelton Hill winformed in writing that I would applicant. Mr. Hill does not have position. II. I believe that I have been retalibeen discriminated against based Rights Act of 1964, as amended.	terviewed for the position tered my prior EEOC at a sasistant County Markas the new assistant County the position becaute the required qualificated against for filing to	ion. In ctivity a nager. ounty Mause allotions an	early as a no On Ju Ianag egedly d is le	June, 2019 I vegative factor ine 5, 2019 and er. On June I was not the ess qualified the charge. Furth	was in in the emai 6, 201 e most han I	oformed that eir decision I was sent to 19 I was t qualified am for the
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the SI will advise the agencies if I change my address or properate fully with them in the processing of my characteristics. I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is	hone number and I will arge in accordance with I sw s true and correct.	ear or affi	rm that my kno	wledge, informatio	ove cha	rge and that it is true
Dul The 12	/ 3 / 19 (mo	SCRIBED A		ORN TO BEFORE ME	THIS DA	TE
Date Charging	Party Signature					

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 32 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA Civil Action No.:1:20ev1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to
) Defendants' Motion to Dismiss
) pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6)
ROBESON COUNTY and)
ROBESON COUNTY DEPARTMENT)
OF SOCIAL SERVICES,)
)
Defendants.	

COMES NOW THE PLAINTIFF, by and through counsel, and hereby opposes the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. The allegations of the Complaint, when taken as true, present a prima facia case of discrimination, retaliation, and failure to promote under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act and a violation of 42 U.S.C. §1981 and §1983. In support of this position, Plaintiff states as follows:

- 1. The factual allegations of the Complaint when taken as true clearly support a finding that Plaintiff was denied the position of Director of the Department of Social Services on the basis of his race and/or sex.
- 2. The factual allegations of the Complaint when taken as true clearly support a finding that Plaintiff was denied the position of Assistant County Manager on the basis of his race.
- 3. The factual allegations of the Complaint when taken as true clearly support a finding that Plaintiff was denied the positions of Director of the Department of Social

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 33 of 310

Services and/or the Assistant County Manager in retaliation for his prior concerns and

objections to unlawful discrimination in the workplace.

4. The factual allegations of the Complaint when taken as true clearly support a

finding that Plaintiff's due process rights were denied in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1981 and

§1983 as demonstrated by a history of racially biased promotions.

5. That factual allegations beyond those delineated in the charge of discrimination

are actionable as they were included in the scope of the EEOC's investigation in which

Defendants participated.

6. That Departments of Social Services are unique in their ability to be treated as

legal entities depending upon the relationship to the State Department of Health and

Human Services.

A memorandum in opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is filed and served

contemporaneously herewith, and incorporated by reference.

Respectfully submitted, this the <u>12th</u> day of February, 2021.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn

Nancy P. Quinn,

Attorney for Plaintiff

NC State Bar No.: 16799

315 Spring Garden St., Suite 1D

Greensboro, NC 27401

Telephone: (336) 272-9072

E-mail: npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

2

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 34 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing and attached Response in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss was served on the Defendants electronically through the CM/ECF system, addressed as follows:

Ms. Katharine Barber-Jones Hartzog Law Group kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com 1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200 Cary, NC 27513

This the 12th day of February, 2021.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn

Nancy P. Quinn, Attorney for Plaintiff NC State Bar No.: 16799 315 Spring Garden St., Suite 1D Greensboro, North Carolina 27401 Telephone: (336) 272-9072

E-mail: npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 35 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ANTHONY DIAL,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:20CV1135

ROBESON COUNTY and ROBESON

COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL

SERVICES,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

OSTEEN, JR., District Judge

This matter comes before the court on the Motion to Dismiss for failure to state a claim filed by Defendants Robeson County and Robeson County Department of Social Services ("Robeson DSS"). (Doc. 7.) This motion is brought pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

For the reasons stated herein, this court will grant in part and deny in part Defendants' motion.

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On a motion to dismiss, a court must "accept as true all of the factual allegations contained in the complaint" Ray v. Roane, 948 F.3d 222, 226 (4th Cir. 2020) (quoting King v. Rubenstein, 825 F.3d 206, 212 (4th Cir. 2016)).

Although a motion to dismiss "tests the sufficiency of a complaint," Occupy Columbia v. Haley, 738 F.3d 107, 116 (4th Cir. 2013), and this court's evaluation is "thus generally limited to a review of the allegations of the complaint itself," Goines v. Valley Cmty. Servs. Bd., 822 F.3d 159, 165-66 (4th Cir. 2016), this court may consider documents that are incorporated into the complaint by reference where the document is integral to the complaint, see id. at 166, and the plaintiff does not challenge the documents' authenticity, see Phillips v. LCI Int'l, Inc., 190 F.3d 609, 618 (4th Cir. 1999); see also Norman v. Tradewinds Airlines, Inc., 286 F. Supp. 2d 575, 580 (M.D.N.C. 2003) ("The underlying concern in cases applying this rule is to protect a plaintiff who might not have notice of (and an opportunity to fully respond to) facts newly introduced by the defendant in conjunction with motion of dismissal."). Other courts within the Fourth Circuit have considered Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") charges attached to motions to dismiss, where plaintiffs relied on those documents in their complaints and did not contest the exhibits' authenticity. See, e.g., Alexander v. City of Greensboro, No. 1:09-CV-934, 2011 WL 13857, at *6-8 (M.D.N.C. Jan. 4, 2011); Cohen v. Sheehy Honda of Alexandria, Inc., No. 1:06cv441 (JCC), 2006 WL 1720679, at *2 (E.D. Va. June. 19, 2006) (finding

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 37 of 310

the EEOC charge was integral to complaint because plaintiff "would have been unable to file a civil action without first filing such a charge").

This court finds that the Complaint incorporates by reference Plaintiff's Charges of Discrimination to the EEOC, which Defendants attached as exhibits to their Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Dismiss. (Ex. 1 - 2017 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-1); Ex. 2 - 2019 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-2).)

First, the 2017 and the 2019 EEOC Charges are integral to the Complaint. The same incidents form the basis for the allegations in the Complaint and the 2017 and 2019 EEOC Charges, (compare Complaint ("Compl.") (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 4-13, 15-23, with 2017 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-1); 2019 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-2), and this court's jurisdiction is predicated on Plaintiff having filed the Charges of Discrimination and received Right to Sue Letters, see 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-(5)(f) et seq. The Complaint establishes that Plaintiff timely submitted charges to the EEOC, and the EEOC issued Plaintiff right to sue letters for both charges. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 12, 18, 22.)

Second, Plaintiff does not challenge the authenticity of the Charges of Discrimination. Plaintiff refers to the charges in his Complaint, (see id. $\P\P$ 12, 18), and in support of his arguments in his Memorandum in Opposition to Defendants' Motion

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 38 of 310

to Dismiss, (see Pl.'s Mem. in Opp'n to Defs.' Mot. to Dismiss ("Pl.'s Br.") (Doc. 10) at 2).

Defendants cite allegations in the Charges of

Discrimination in their Brief in Support of their Motion to

Dismiss. (See Br. in Supp. of Mot. to Dismiss ("Defs.' Br.")

(Doc. 8) at 4-5.)

In the absence of any objection, this court will consider the allegations in the Complaint to incorporate those in the Charges of Discrimination, and the facts contained therein will be considered as part of Plaintiff's Complaint. The facts, taken in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, are as follows.

Plaintiff is a Native American male who has worked for Defendants since 2000 "in a variety of capacities." (Compl. (Doc. 3) \P 4.) Plaintiff alleges that in 2014 he filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") regarding discrimination concerns. (Id. \P 7.)

A. <u>Director of DSS Position</u>

On October 10, 2016, Plaintiff applied for a position as the Director of DSS. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶ 8.) He interviewed and "scored the highest of all the candidates." ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$) After Plaintiff's initial interview, "Defendants re-opened the interview phase of the search, upon information and belief, to obtain a director of a

different race than Plaintiff." (Id. ¶ 9). Plaintiff
re-interviewed for the position, but this time "the new
questions were specifically focused on areas in which Plaintiff
ha[d] limited experience and that the other candidate, Velvet
Nixon, had extensive experience." (Id.) Plaintiff alleges these
questions were changed because Defendants wanted to hire a black
candidate. (Id.) The three finalists for the Director of DSS
position were black. (Id.) Ms. Nixon, a black female, was
offered and accepted the position. (Id. ¶ 10.) Plaintiff trained
Ms. Nixon in her new position. (Id. ¶ 11.)

Plaintiff filed an EEOC Charge of Discrimination within 180 days of these actions and incorporated concerns of retaliation because of his prior EEOC activity in 2014. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶¶ 12-13.)

B. <u>Assistant County Manager Position</u>

On May 1, 2019, Plaintiff applied and was interviewed for the position of Assistant County Manager. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶ 15.) Plaintiff was told he was not offered the position because "he was not the most qualified candidate." ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ ¶ 16.) He was also told "that his prior EEOC activity was a negative factor in his scoring for the position." ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$) Shelton Hill, a white male, "with less education and experience and fewer qualifications than Plaintiff" was offered and accepted the position. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 40 of 310

In July 2019, Plaintiff filed another EEOC Charge of Discrimination and amended the 2017 EEOC Charge to include "this new retaliatory information." (Id. \P 18.)

On August 14, 2020 and September 9, 2020, Plaintiff received right to sue letters on the Charges of Discrimination from the office of the EEOC. (Id. \P 22.)

C. Other Allegations of Retaliation

Plaintiff makes several additional allegations of retaliatory conduct by Defendants. First, Plaintiff alleges that during the interview phase for the Director of DSS position, the decisionmakers were told Plaintiff was under investigation for Medicaid fraud. (Id. ¶ 25.) Second, in spring 2019, Plaintiff was disqualified from consideration for the Deputy Director of DSS position because of a potential lawsuit related to the investigation. (Id. ¶ 27.) Third, Plaintiff alleges that because he voiced concerns regarding racial disparity in promotions and work environment for Native Americans, he "suffered excessive scrutiny of his performance, was subjected to factually unsubstantiated investigations, undermining of his authority with staff, and deprived of promotions and a professionally satisfying work environment as compared to his non-Native American coworkers." (Id. ¶ 29.)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 41 of 310

D. Procedural History

Plaintiff filed a charge of employment discrimination alleging race and sex discrimination and retaliation with the EEOC on May 16, 2017. (2017 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-1).) Plaintiff filed another charge of employment discrimination alleging race discrimination and retaliation with the EEOC on December 10, 2019. (2019 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-2).)

Plaintiff filed his Complaint in Guilford County Superior

Court on November 12, 2020. (Compl. (Doc. 3).) Defendants filed

a Petition for Removal on December 16, 2020. (Doc. 1.)

Defendants then moved to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.

12(b)(6), (Doc. 7), and filed a brief in support of their

motion, (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8)). Plaintiff responded, (Doc. 9),

and filed a brief in support of his response, (Pl.'s Br. (Doc.

10)); and Defendants replied, (Doc. 11).

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

Under federal law, "[t]o survive a motion to dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'" Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). To be facially plausible, a claim must "plead[] factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the

defendant is liable" and must demonstrate "more than a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully." Id. (citing Iwombly, 550 U.S. at 556-57). When ruling on a motion to dismiss, a court must accept the complaint's factual allegations as true. Id. Further, "the complaint, including all reasonable inferences therefrom, [is] liberally construed in the plaintiff's favor." Est. of Williams-Moore v. All. One Receivables Mgmt., Inc., 335 F. Supp. 2d 636, 646 (M.D.N.C.

Nevertheless, the factual allegations must be sufficient to "raise a right to relief above the speculative level" so as to "nudge[] the[] claims across the line from conceivable to plausible." Twombly, 550 U.S. at 555, 570; See also Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 680; Francis v. Giacomelli, 588 F.3d 186, 193 (4th Cir. 2009) (applying the Twombly/Iqbal standard to evaluate the legal sufficiency of pleadings). A court cannot "ignore a clear failure in the pleadings to allege any facts which set forth a claim." Est. of Williams-Moore, 335 F. Supp. 2d at 646.

Consequently, even given the deferential standard allocated to pleadings at the motion to dismiss stage, a court will not accept mere legal conclusions as true and "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 43 of 310

conclusory statements, [will] not suffice." <u>Iqbal</u>, 556 U.S. at 678.

Employment discrimination complaints must meet the Twombly/Iqbal plausibility standard; however, the plaintiff is not required to make out a prima facie case or satisfy any heightened pleading requirements at the motion to dismiss stage. Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A., 534 U.S. 506, 511 (2002); McCleary-Evans v. Md. Dep't of Transp., 780 F.3d 582, 584-85 (4th Cir. 2015). The plaintiff is, however, required to plead facts that permit the court to reasonably infer each element of the prima facie case, including less favorable treatment than similarly-situated employees outside of the protected class. McCleary-Evans, 780 F.3d at 585; See also Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 682-83 (plaintiff must plead facts supporting reasonable inference of discriminatory intent).

III. ANALYSIS

Plaintiff alleges the following causes of actions against Defendants: First, race and sex discrimination in violation of Title VII; second, retaliation in violation of Title VII; and third, violation of §§ 1981 and 1983. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 7-39.)

As an initial matter, the parties disagree about whether

Defendant Robeson DSS is a proper party to this lawsuit.

(Compare Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 8-9 with Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at

12-13.) Defendant Robeson DSS argues that it is not an entity capable of being sued. (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 8.) This court agrees and will dismiss all claims against Defendant Robeson DSS.

"The capacity of a governmental body to be sued in the federal courts is governed by the law of the state in which the district court is held." Avery v. Burke Cnty., 660 F.2d 111, 113-14 (4th Cir. 1981); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(b)(3). "In North Carolina, in the absence of a statute, 'the capacity to be sued exists only in persons in being.' Therefore, departments of municipalities and counties are not susceptible to suit without statutory authorization." Evans v. Pitt Cnty. Dep't of Soc.

Servs., 972 F. Supp. 2d 778, 788-89 (E.D.N.C. 2013), vacated in unrelated part, appeal dismissed in part sub nom. Evans v.

Perry, 578 F. App'x 229 (4th Cir. 2014), and aff'd in part, 616
F. App'x 636 (4th Cir. 2015) (quoting McPherson v. First & Citizens Nat'l Bank of Elizabeth City, 240 N.C. 1, 18, 81 S.E.2d 386, 397 (1954)).

Counties are legal entities capable of being sued, N.C.

Gen. Stat. § 153A-11; however, there is no statutory basis for suing a department of social services, see Evans, 972 F. Supp.

2d at 788 (noting no statutory basis for suing a department of social services in North Carolina); Malloy v. Durham Cnty. Dep't

of Soc. Servs., 58 N.C. App. 61, 67, 293 S.E.2d 285, 289 (1982) ("With respect to the County's rights of subrogation, its Department of Social Services is no more capable of suing in its own name than is some lower echelon employee of such Department."). It is for this reason that many district courts in North Carolina have dismissed claims against departments of social services. See Hester v. Colvin, No. 1:16CV410, 2017 WL 375656, at *3 (M.D.N.C. Jan. 26, 2017); Evans, 972 F. Supp. 2d at 789; Powell v. Nash Cnty. Dep't of Soc. Servs., No. 5:14-CV-281-FL, 2014 WL 4055831, at *2 (E.D.N.C. July 22, 2014), report and recommendation adopted, No. 5:14-CV-281-FL, 2014 WL 4062715 (E.D.N.C. Aug. 14, 2014); Moua v. Alexander Cnty., No. 5:09CV19-V, 2012 WL 252648, at *6 (W.D.N.C. Jan. 26, 2012); see also Johnson v. Marrow, 228 N.C. 58, 59, 44 S.E.2d 468, 470 (1947) ("Where a county is the real party in interest, it must sue and be sued in its name.").

Given the lack of statutory authority to proceed independently against Robeson County's Department of Social Services and following the lead of many other federal courts in this state, this court will dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant Robeson DSS.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 46 of 310

A. Title VII Discrimination

Plaintiff has alleged race-based employment discrimination against Defendant¹ pursuant to Title VII and 42 U.S.C. § 1981.² Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that Defendant failed to promote Plaintiff for the Director of DSS and Assistant County Manager positions in favor of a black female and a white male, respectively. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 10, 16.)

Title VII makes it unlawful for an employer to "discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's race, . . . [or] sex." 42 U.S.C. \$ 2000e-2(a)(1). The elements of a Title VII employment discrimination claim are: "(1) membership in a protected class; (2) satisfactory job performance; (3) adverse employment action; and (4) different treatment from similarly situated employees outside the protected class." Coleman v. Md. Ct. App., 626 F.3d 187, 190 (4th Cir. 2010); see also Gerner v. Cnty. of Chesterfield, 674 F.3d 264, 266-68 (4th Cir. 2012) (gender). At

¹ Because this court will dismiss the Complaint against Defendant Robeson DSS, this court will use "Defendant" hereinafter to refer to Defendant Robeson County, the remaining defendant in these proceedings.

² As the Fourth Circuit has explained, "[t]he elements an employee must prove are the same under either provision." Pryor v. United Air Lines, Inc., 791 F.3d 488, 495 (4th Cir. 2015).

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 47 of 310

the motion to dismiss stage, Plaintiff's allegations must also show that discrimination is a more likely reason for disparate treatment rather than any other "obvious alternative explanation" that is present on the face of the complaint and "justified by [] nondiscriminatory intent." See Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 682.

This court finds that Plaintiff has plausibly alleged the first three elements of a Title VII employment discrimination claim. First, Plaintiff has alleged that he is Native American. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 4.) Second, Plaintiff has alleged satisfactory job performance at the time he was not promoted. ($\underline{\text{See}}$ $\underline{\text{id.}}$ (alleging "Plaintiff is highly qualified and has served the Defendant in a variety of capacities, with distinction, while so employed").) Third, there is no apparent dispute between the parties as to whether failure to promote constitutes an adverse employment action, and the Fourth Circuit recognizes the failure to promote as such. See, e.g., Anderson v. Westinghouse Savannah River Co., 406 F.3d 248, 268 (4th Cir. 2005). Therefore, the relevant question in this case is whether Plaintiff has plausibly alleged that Defendant treated similarly-situated non-Native American employees more favorably. See Woods v. City of Greensboro, 855 F.3d 639, 641 (4th Cir.) (2017).

1. <u>Director of DSS Position</u>

Plaintiff alleges that his non-selection as Director of DSS was a violation of Title VII due to race and sex discrimination. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 12.) Defendant argues that Plaintiff has offered only conclusory statements that require this court to speculate on the reason Plaintiff was not chosen. (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 12-13.) Plaintiff responds that he has established a prima facie case of racial discrimination. (Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 7.)³ Plaintiff further argues that at the motion to dismiss stage he is not required to show he was more qualified than the person selected; rather, all he is required to show is that someone outside the protected class received the promotion. (Id. at 8.)

The Fourth Circuit has held that conclusory allegations that the employer was predetermined to select based on race do not plausibly state a claim for relief under Title VII. See

³ Plaintiff's response brief does not address sex discrimination in violation of Title VII. (See Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 6-9.) Because Plaintiff failed to address Defendant's arguments regarding whether Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff because of his sex, this court finds that Plaintiff has abandoned this claim, and therefore this court will dismiss Plaintiff's claim of sex discrimination in violation of Title VII. See Oliver v. Baity, 208 F. Supp. 3d 681, 690 (M.D.N.C. 2016) ("Courts have recognized that a party's failure to address an issue in its opposition brief concedes the issue."); see also Feldman v. L. Enf't Assocs. Corp., 955 F. Supp. 2d 528, 536 (E.D.N.C. 2013) (gathering cases).

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 49 of 310

McCleary-Evans, 780 F.3d at 588. In McCleary-Evans, the plaintiff, a black female, alleged her employer refused to hire her for two different positions because of her race and sex in violation of Title VII. Id. at 583. In support of that claim, the plaintiff alleged the employer was "biased" and had "predetermined" that it would select white applicants. Id. Importantly, the complaint "did not include any allegations regarding the qualifications or suitability of the persons hired to fill the two positions." <a>Id. at 584. The Fourth Circuit thus found it could "only speculate that the persons hired were not better qualified, or did not perform better during their interviews, or were not better suited based on experience and personality for the positions." Id. at 585-86. Therefore, the Fourth Circuit dismissed the complaint. Id. at 586 (quoting Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 678) (concluding the plaintiff's complaint "stop[ped] short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief").

This court finds that with respect to the non-hiring of Plaintiff for the Director of DSS position, Plaintiff has failed to plausibly allege a claim for relief. Although Plaintiff does allege Defendant failed to hire him for the Director of DSS position, he does not allege facts sufficient to show that the reason Defendant failed to hire him as the Director of DSS was

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 50 of 310

because of his race. Plaintiff repeatedly alleges Defendant did not promote Plaintiff because it wanted a non-Native American.

(See, e.g., Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 9.) But those "naked assertions" - a "formulaic recitation" of the necessary elements - "are no more than conclusions" and therefore insufficient. Igbal, 556

U.S. at 678-79.

Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant re-opened the interview phase "to obtain a director of a different race than Plaintiff," and that the questions in the second interview were changed to favor Ms. Nixon because the questions were about "areas in which Plaintiff has limited experience and that the other candidate, Velvet Nixon, had extensive experience." (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 9.) In short, Plaintiff is claiming that Defendant predetermined to select someone who was a different race than Plaintiff. This is conclusory and insufficient to state a claim for relief under Title VII. See McCleary-Evans, 780 F.3d at 586 ("The allegation that the [employer] did not hire [the employee] because its decision makers were biased is simply too conclusory."). Taking the facts alleged in the Complaint in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, Defendant decided to re-open the interview process and asked questions regarding areas in which Plaintiff had limited experience. (Compl. (Doc. 3) \P 9.) Plaintiff is asking this court to

-16-

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 51 of 310

impermissibly speculate that the reason for the re-interviewing and the change in questioning was because Defendant wanted a black person in the Director position. This court declines to do so. See Coleman, 626 F.3d at 191 (affirming the district court's finding that the plaintiff failed to state a Title VII race discrimination claim because "the complaint's allegations of race discrimination do not rise above speculation").

Plaintiff also argues he is not required to show he was more qualified than Ms. Nixon for the position of Director of DSS. (Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 7-8.) However, in the absence of any allegations of direct evidence of discrimination, Plaintiff must plead facts supporting a reasonable inference of discrimination. In Swierkiewicz, the Supreme Court noted that the plaintiff specifically alleged that the hired employee was "less experienced and less qualified" because that employee "had only one year of underwriting experience at the time he was promoted," whereas the plaintiff "had 26 years of experience in the insurance industry." Swierkiewicz, 534 U.S. at 508. The Supreme Court held that the plaintiff's complaint was sufficient to survive a motion to dismiss. Id. at 515. Moreover, the Fourth Circuit in McCleary-Evans found that because the plaintiff in McCleary-Evans alleged no facts indicating whether the plaintiff was more experienced or qualified than the employee hired, the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 52 of 310

plaintiff had not sufficiently stated a claim under Title VII.

See McCleary-Evans, 780 F.3d at 586 (citing Swierkiewicz, 534

U.S. at 508). An allegation that Plaintiff was more qualified than Ms. Nixon may not be required pleading, but Plaintiff must plead some facts to plausibly permit an inference of discrimination.

Unlike the plaintiff in <u>Swierkiewicz</u>, Plaintiff has not alleged Ms. Nixon was less experienced and less qualified for the position of Director of DSS. At most, Plaintiff alleged he had to train Ms. Nixon in her new position. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 11.) That allegation does not equate to a finding that Ms. Nixon was less qualified than Plaintiff. Additionally, Plaintiff's allegation that "he scored the highest of all the candidates and that he met the posted criteria," (<u>id</u>. ¶ 8), for the Director job does not plausibly allege he was more qualified than Ms. Nixon or any other candidate when Plaintiff fails to allege facts to explain why the "highest score" or meeting "the posted criteria" establishes that Plaintiff was more qualified than other candidates.

Even assuming Plaintiff has pled sufficient facts plausibly alleging he was not promoted because he is Native American, the face of the Complaint evidences a non-discriminatory reason for why Plaintiff was not hired for the Director position. The

Complaint alleges that "during the interview phase for the Director position, the decision makers were told that Plaintiff was under investigation alleging Medicaid fraud unrelated to his duties with the Defendants." (Id. ¶ 25.) This court is not precluded from considering obvious non-discriminatory reasons for any disparate treatment. See Tabb v. Bd. of Educ. of Durham Pub. Schs., No. 1:17CV730, 2019 WL 688655, at *8 (M.D.N.C. Feb. 19, 2019) (citing Woods, 855 F.3d at 647, 648, 649, 652, for the proposition that a court may consider "obvious non-discriminatory alternative reasons for any disparate treatment" at the motion to dismiss stage). The fact that Plaintiff alleges a fact which plausibly proves a non-discriminatory basis for the employer's decision further dispels any possible plausible inference of discrimination based on race. Thus, this court finds Plaintiff has failed to sufficiently plead facts stating a

⁴ Plaintiff has not alleged that Defendant was investigating the Medicaid fraud allegation against Plaintiff or who told the decisionmakers for the Director of DSS position about the investigation. Although it may be discriminatory for Defendant to initiate a baseless investigation against Plaintiff in retaliation against his protected activity, nothing in the Complaint supports the contention that Defendant was in any way involved with the Medicaid fraud investigation. Therefore, this court finds that consideration of the Medicaid fraud investigation during the interview process for the Director of DSS position is somehow a suggestion of discrimination. Similarly, Plaintiff offers no plausible reason why the fact of that investigation should not have been considered by an employer. Plaintiff appears to acknowledge he was under investigation. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 26.)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 54 of 310

plausible claim of race discrimination in violation of Title
VII. Accordingly, this court will grant Defendant's Motion to
Dismiss Plaintiff's claim for race discrimination in violation
of Title VII with respect to the non-hiring of Plaintiff as
Director of DSS.

2. Assistant County Manager Position

Plaintiff also alleges that Defendant violated Title VII's prohibition against racial discrimination when it failed to promote Plaintiff to Assistant County Manager. (Compl. (Doc. 3) \$\frac{1}{3}\$ 15-18.) Defendant argues that "[m]erely stating that Plaintiff, a Native American male, was not hired and Shelton Hill, a Caucasian male, was hired, is not sufficient to plausibly allege that the decision was made due to race discrimination." (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 14.) Plaintiff responds that he has made out a prima facie case of race discrimination and has pled facts showing he was at least as qualified as Mr. Hill. (Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 7-8.)

Here, Plaintiff alleges that he had "excellent qualification[s]" for the Assistant County Manager position but was told he was not promoted to the position "because he was not the most qualified candidate." (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 16.) Plaintiff further alleges "he was informed that his prior EEOC activity

was a negative factor in his scoring for the position." (Id.)⁵ Plaintiff appears to allege that County Manager Kellie Blue was a decisionmaker for the position, (see id. ¶ 17), but Plaintiff does not allege Ms. Blue was aware of Plaintiff's prior EEOC charges. Because Plaintiff has not pled facts plausibly alleging the decisionmakers for the Assistant County Manager position knew of Plaintiff's prior EEOC activity, Plaintiff's prior EEOC charge cannot be the basis of showing Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff when it did not promote Plaintiff to Assistant County Manager.

⁵ Although Plaintiff alleges that he was informed his prior EEOC activity negatively affected his application, Plaintiff does not describe who made this allegation, when it was made, or whether the source had any plausible basis for that allegation. Under these circumstances, the allegation is conclusory and fails to provide any plausible factual support for a claim of retaliation.

⁶ Plaintiff's allegations as to the relationship between the County Manager (Kellie Blue), the County Commissioners, and Plaintiff's application for Assistant County Manager is confusingly pled. (See Compl. (Doc. 3) § 17.) It appears Plaintiff alleges that Ms. Blue was the decisionmaker in hiring for the position. (Id. (alleging "while the selection decision for assistant county manager was within the scope of County Manager Kellie Blue's duties . . .")) It also appears the County Commissioners could have provided substantive input to Ms. Blue. (Id.) Even construing these allegations in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, Plaintiff offers no facts to plausibly suggest the County Commissioners were aware of Plaintiff's EEOC claim or that the County Commissioners did in fact provide input to Ms. Blue.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 56 of 310

However, other allegations in the Complaint support a finding by this court that Plaintiff has sufficiently stated a claim for relief under Title VII. The Complaint alleges that Mr. Hill had "less education and experience and fewer qualifications than Plaintiff." (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 16.) This is akin to the plaintiff in Swierkiewicz. See Swierkiewicz, 534 U.S. at 508, 515 (holding the complaint should not be dismissed where the plaintiff alleged that he had more years of experience and qualifications than the individual who was hired instead of the plaintiff). Because the Complaint alleges that Plaintiff had more education and experience than Mr. Hill (a white male), and Defendant promoted Mr. Hill over Plaintiff, Plaintiff has "allege[d] facts to satisfy the elements of a cause of action created by [Title VII]." McCleary-Evans, 780 F.3d at 585. Assuming the truth of Plaintiff's allegations at this stage of the proceedings, Plaintiff has alleged Defendant failed to promote him over a white person despite Plaintiff having more experience and qualifications. Thus, this court finds Plaintiff has sufficiently pled facts stating a plausible claim of race discrimination in violation of Title VII. Accordingly, this court will deny Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's claim for race discrimination in violation of Title VII with respect to the non-hiring of Plaintiff as Assistant County Manager.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 57 of 310

B. Title VII Retaliation

In addition to Plaintiff's claim for Title VII

discrimination, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant retaliated

against him in violation of Title VII and Section 1981.7 Title

VII makes it unlawful for an employer to "discriminate against

any of his employees . . . because [the employee] has made a

charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an

investigation, proceeding, or hearing" under Title VII. 42

U.S.C. \$ 2000e-3(a). "To establish a prima facie case of

retaliation . . . , a plaintiff must prove '(1) that [the

employee] engaged in a protected activity,' as well as '(2) that

[the] employer took an adverse employment action against [the

employee],' and '(3) that there was a causal link between the

two events.'" Boyer-Liberto v. Fontainebleau Corp., 786 F.3d

264, 281 (4th Cir. 2015) (quoting EEOC v. Navy Fed. Credit

Union, 424 F.3d 397, 405-06 (4th Cir. 2005)).

Proving causation at the pleading stage is "not [] onerous," and retaliation plaintiffs "do not have to show at the prima facie stage that their protected activities were but-for causes of the adverse action." Strothers v. City of Laurel, 895 F.3d 317, 335 (4th Cir. 2018). Still, plaintiffs must allege

This analysis is identical for Plaintiff's 42 U.S.C.
§ 1981 retaliation claim. <u>Boyer-Liberto v. Fontainebleau Corp.</u>,
786 F.3d 264, 281 (4th Cir. 2015).

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 58 of 310

facts plausibly supporting an inference of causation, a task that may be accomplished by alleging facts that show the employer took an adverse action "soon after becoming aware" of protected activity. Id. at 336 (emphasis added); see also Villa v. CavaMezze Grill, LLC, 858 F.3d 896, 899, 901 (4th Cir. 2017) (discussing importance of an employer's subjective knowledge since an adverse action must be motivated by a desire to retaliate in order to be actionable); Carter v. Ball, 33 F.3d 450, 460 (4th Cir. 1994) (dealing with termination following notice employee filed EEOC charge); Welton v. Durham Cnty., No. 1:17-CV-258, 2018 WL 4656242, at *3 (M.D.N.C. Sept. 27, 2018), aff'd, 781 F. App'x 242 (4th Cir. 2019) (discussing Strothers, 895 F.3d at 335-36).

If a plaintiff is proving causation by temporal proximity alone, the adverse employment action must be "very close" in time to the protected activity. Clark Cnty. Sch. Dist. v.

Breeden, 532 U.S. 268, 273 (2001) (per curiam). "A lengthy time lapse between the employer becoming aware of the protected activity and the alleged adverse employment action, as was the case here, negates any inference that a causal connection exists between the two." Dowe v. Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke Valley, 145 F.3d 653, 657 (4th Cir. 1998); see also

Penley v. McDowell Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 876 F.3d 646, 656 (4th

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 59 of 310

Cir. 2017) (finding that eight to nine months between awareness of the protected activity and the alleged adverse employment action is not sufficiently close in time). If too long a period of time passes between the protected activity and the retaliatory conduct, "courts may look to the intervening period for other evidence of retaliatory animus." Lettieri v. Equant Inc., 478 F.3d 640, 650 (4th Cir. 2007).

Additionally, the Fourth Circuit has consistently required the decisionmaker know of the protected activity at the time the alleged retaliation occurred. See Roberts v. Glenn Indus. Grp., Inc., 998 F.3d 111, 124 (4th Cir. 2021) (quoting Talley v. U.S. Postal Serv., 720 F.2d 505, 508 (8th Cir. 1983)) ("Thus, where a relevant decisionmaker is unaware of any prior complaints, a plaintiff 'cannot establish the necessary causal connection between [his] filing a complaint . . . and [his] termination.'").

1. <u>Director of DSS Position</u>

The Complaint alleges that Plaintiff was not promoted to Director of DSS because of his 2014 EEOC Charge. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 13.) Defendant argues that because three years separate the 2014 EEOC Charge and the non-hiring of Plaintiff for Director of DSS in 2017, too much time has passed for this court to infer a causal link. (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 18.) Although, "evidence of

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 60 of 310

recurring retaliatory animus during the intervening period can be sufficient to satisfy the element of causation, "Lettieri, 478 F.3d at 650, the Complaint does not allege additional adverse employment actions between 2014 and 2017. This court finds three years between the protected activity and the adverse employment action does not establish a causal link. See Breeden, 532 U.S. at 273-74 (2001). Thus, Plaintiff has not plausibly alleged that the failure to promote to Director of DSS was caused by Plaintiff's 2014 EEOC Charge. Accordingly, Plaintiff has failed to state a Title VII retaliation claim with respect to the non-hiring of Plaintiff for DSS Director.

2. Assistant County Manager Position

In addition to claiming Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff when it did not hire him as Director of DSS, Plaintiff claims Defendant retaliated against him when it did not hire him as Assistant County Manager. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 18.) Plaintiff claims he was not promoted because of his 2017 EEOC Charge. (Id.)

Here, two years passed between the protected activity (the 2017 EEOC Charge) and the adverse employment action (not hired as Assistant County Manager). This court finds that two years between the protected activity and adverse employment action does not establish a causal link, especially since Plaintiff has

not alleged any intervening circumstances of retaliatory conduct by Defendants. 8 See discussion supra Part III.B.1. Moreover, Plaintiff has not alleged that the decisionmakers for hiring the Assistant County Manager were aware of any of Plaintiff's protected activity. Although Plaintiff alleges "he was informed that his prior EEOC activity was a negative factor in his scoring for the position," (Compl. (Doc. 3) \P 16), he does not allege who informed him, nor does he allege that County Manager Kellie Blue or any other decisionmaker was aware of Plaintiff's protected activity. Because two years lapsed before any allegedly retaliatory conduct by Defendant, and because Plaintiff has not alleged any decisionmaker was aware of his protected activity, this court finds Plaintiff has not plausibly alleged that the failure to promote to Assistant County Manager was caused by Plaintiff's 2017 EEOC Charge. Accordingly,

⁸ Plaintiff argues in his response brief that "[t]he causal connection between the reporting of his concerns about racial and gender discrimination in the first interview process and the fraud allegations during the second interview process a few weeks later creates a clear temporal proximity between the events." (Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 10.) That Plaintiff raised concerns about race and gender discrimination in the interview for the Director of DSS position is alleged nowhere in the Complaint. "It is well-established that parties cannot amend their complaints through briefing or oral advocacy." S. Walk at Broadlands Homeowner's Ass'n v. OpenBand at Broadlands, LLC, 713 F.3d 175, 184-85 (4th Cir. 2013) (citations omitted). Therefore, this court will not consider these new allegations in Plaintiff's response brief.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 62 of 310

Plaintiff has failed to state a Title VII retaliation claim with respect to the non-hiring of Plaintiff for Assistant County Manager.

3. Deputy Director of DSS Position

The Complaint also alleges Defendant retaliated against

Plaintiff because Plaintiff was disqualified from consideration

for Deputy Director of DSS in spring 2019 because of a potential

lawsuit arising out of a Medicaid fraud investigation. (Compl.

(Doc. 3) ¶ 27.) This court finds Plaintiff has failed to

plausibly allege a claim for retaliation based on these facts.

First, assuming that being disqualified from consideration for a position is an adverse employment action, it is unclear what protected activity is linked to Defendant's non-consideration of Plaintiff for Deputy Director of DSS. The Complaint contains no allegation linking Plaintiff's non-consideration to any protected activity. Further, the Complaint offers a non-discriminatory reason for why Plaintiff was not considered for the position: he was under investigation for Medicaid fraud, which could ultimately result in litigation.

Second, it is unclear why this adverse employment action was not mentioned in the 2019 EEOC Charge. The non-consideration for Deputy Director of DSS occurred in "spring 2019" before

Plaintiff filed the 2019 EEOC Charge in July 2019, yet the 2019 EEOC Charge does not contain allegations that Plaintiff was not considered for Deputy Director of DSS. (2019 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-2).) Defendant argues this goes beyond the scope of the allegations in the 2019 EEOC Charge, and "factual allegations in formal litigation must correspond to the allegations in an EEOC Charge." (Defs.' Br. (Doc. 8) at 19-20 (citing Chacko v. Patuxent Inst., 429 F.3d 505, 509 (4th Cir. 2005)).) Plaintiff responds that Chacko does not apply because that case dealt with one type of Title VII violation in the EEOC charge and a different type of violation at trial. (Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 9.)9

Even if the non-consideration for Deputy Director of DSS was linked to the 2017 EEOC charge - the most recent protected activity prior to spring 2019 - Plaintiff has not plausibly

⁹ In Nealon v. Stone, the Fourth Circuit held that a plaintiff may raise a retaliation claim for the first time in federal court where the plaintiff did not initially allege retaliation in the plaintiff's EEOC charge. Nealon, 958 F.2d 584, 590 (4th Cir. 1992). Nealon engaged in protected activity by reporting alleged gender discrimination due to different pay grades for males and females at the same job. Id. at 587. Nealon failed to include this protected activity as evidence of retaliation in her EEOC charge. Id. The Fourth Circuit found a plaintiff can raise a retaliation claim for the first time in federal court. Id. However, Nealon does not apply because in this case Plaintiff alleges retaliation that occurred after the protected activity. The EEOC charge was in 2017, and the non-selection for Deputy Director of DSS was in spring 2019. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 12, 27.)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 64 of 310

alleged a causal link between the two. Two years passed without additional retaliatory acts between the protected activity and retaliatory conduct. Moreover, Plaintiff does not allege that Ms. Nixon - the decisionmaker - was aware of any of Plaintiff's prior EEOC charges. Therefore, this court finds Plaintiff has failed to state a Title VII retaliation claim with respect to the non-consideration of Plaintiff for Deputy Director of DSS.

4. Other Retaliation Allegations

The Complaint alleges additional retaliations against Plaintiff. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 25, 29.) Plaintiff appears to allege Defendant retaliated against him because he was subjected to a Medicaid fraud investigation. (See id. ¶ 25; Pl.'s Br. (Doc. 10) at 9.) This argument fails for several reasons. First, Plaintiff does not allege what protected activity is linked to the initiation of this fraud investigation. Second, Plaintiff does not allege Defendant was initiating the investigation, or that Defendant told the decisionmakers for the Director of DSS position about this investigation. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 25.) For these reasons, Plaintiff has not plausibly alleged a causal link between the Medicaid fraud investigation and any protected activity. See supra note 4.

Plaintiff further alleges he "suffered excessive scrutiny of his performance, was subjected to factually unsubstantiated

investigations, undermining of his authority with staff, and deprived of promotions and a professionally satisfying work environment as compared to his non-Native American coworkers."

(Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 29.) Although this may constitute an adverse employment action, these allegations are unconnected to any protected activity. Plaintiff does not allege when these retaliatory acts occurred. Because Plaintiff has not alleged any temporal connection between the additional retaliatory acts and protected activity, Plaintiff fails to plausibly allege a Title VII retaliation claim.

In sum, Plaintiff has failed to sufficiently plead facts that plausibly allege Plaintiff was retaliated against in violation of Title VII. Accordingly, this court will grant Defendant's Motion to Dismiss with respect to Plaintiff's retaliation claim.

C. §§ 1983 and 1981^{10}

Finally, Plaintiff alleges Defendant has violated Plaintiff's due process rights in violation of § 1983. (Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶ 35-37.) "To state a claim for relief in an action brought under § 1983, respondents must establish that they were deprived of a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the

¹⁰ This court has previously addressed Plaintiff's § 1981 claims in its discussion of Plaintiff's Title VII discrimination and retaliation claims. See supra notes 2, 7.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 66 of 310

United States, and that the alleged deprivation was committed under color of state law." Am. Mfrs. Mut. Ins. Co. v. Sullivan, 526 U.S. 40, 49-50 (1999). Congress did not intend to impose liability on a municipality for a violation of a plaintiff's constitutional rights unless deliberate action attributable to the municipality itself was the "moving force" behind the plaintiff's deprivation. Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. v. Brown, 520 U.S. 397, 400 (1997) (citing Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 436 U.S. 658, 694 (1978)). Thus, a plaintiff must prove two essential elements to establish liability under § 1983: "(1) that the defendant[] acted under color of state law and (2) that the plaintiff suffered a deprivation of a constitutional right as a result of that action." Stevens v. Cabarrus Cnty. Bd. of Educ., 514 F. Supp. 3d 797, 808 (M.D.N.C. 2021) (quoting Davis v. Durham Mental Health Developmental Disabilities Substance Abuse Area Auth., 320 F. Supp. 2d 378, 403 (M.D.N.C. 2004)).

Under the "color of state law" requirement, a § 1983

plaintiff alleging race discrimination in violation of § 1981

and Title VII must adequately plead the following elements: "(1)

the existence of an official policy or custom (2) that is fairly

attributable to the local government (3) that proximately caused

the underlying § 1981 race discrimination." Davis, 320 F. Supp.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 67 of 310

2d at 403 (citing <u>Jordan ex rel. Jordan v. Jackson</u>, 15 F.3d 333, 338 (4th Cir. 1994)); <u>see also Monell</u>, 436 U.S. at 691 ("Congress did not intend municipalities to be held liable unless action pursuant to official municipal policy of some nature caused a constitutional tort.").

The existence of an official policy or custom may be established in four ways: "(1) through an express policy . . .; (2) through the decisions of a person with final policymaking authority; (3) through an omission . . . that manifests deliberate indifference to the rights of citizens; or (4) through a practice that is so persistent and widespread as to constitute a custom or usage with the force of law." Lytle v. Doyle, 326 F.3d 463, 471 (4th Cir. 2003) (internal quotation marks omitted).

In support of Plaintiff's claim that Defendant violated his right to due process, (Compl. (Doc. 3) \P 35), Plaintiff alleges "[t]hat the Defendants' conduct as herein set out was purposeful, deliberate, intentional, and done with reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiff," (id. \P 36). Plaintiff further alleges "Defendants acted intentionally under color of law." (Id. \P 37.) This court finds that Plaintiff's allegations are unsupported by specific facts indicating there was any express policy, decision of a person with final policymaking

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 68 of 310

authority, omission amounting to deliberate indifference, or pervasive practice.

Because "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice," Iqbal, 556 U.S. at 678, Plaintiff's allegations do not lead to a reasonable inference that Plaintiff's constitutional rights were violated by Defendant under color of law.

Accordingly, this court will dismiss Plaintiff's § 1983 claim.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss,

(Doc. 7), is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART. The motion is

GRANTED as to Plaintiff's claim of Title VII race discrimination

in the non-promotion to Director of Department of Social

Services, Plaintiff's Title VII retaliation claim, and

Plaintiff's § 1981 and § 1983 claims. The motion is DENIED as to

Plaintiff's claim of Title VII race discrimination in the non
promotion to Assistant County Manager.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED as to Defendant Robeson County Department of Social Services and this Defendant is hereby DISMISSED from this action.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 69 of 310

This the 29th day of September, 2021.

William L. Oshur, M.
United States District Judge

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 70 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)	
]	Plaintiff,)))	
v.		ANSWEI	R
ROBESON COUNTY,)	
]	Defendant.)) .)	

Defendant, ROBESON COUNTY (the "County" or "Defendant") by and through its undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby respectfully submits the following Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint [DE 3].

ANSWER AND FIRST DEFENSE

Without waiving any other defenses asserted herein, Defendant responds to the individually numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Complaint [DE 3] (hereinafter, "Complaint") as follows:

- 1. The allegations of Paragraph 1 are admitted upon information and belief.
- 2. It is admitted that Defendant is a county as defined in N.C. Gen. Stat. § 153A-1(3) and thereby exercises those powers delegated to it by the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 71 of 310

North Carolina General Assembly as a unit of local government. It is further

admitted that the primary location of Defendant's offices and facilities is in

Lumberton, Robeson County, North Carolina. It is further admitted that

Defendant employs 15 or more employees in each of the 20 or more calendar

weeks in the current calendar year and did so at the time the Complaint in this

action was filed. Except as specifically admitted, the remaining allegations

constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent

that a response is required to any such allegation, or that any allegation of

Paragraph 2 is not specifically admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 2 are

denied.

3. It is admitted that the Robeson County Department of Social

Services ("RCDSS") is a governmental entity that is part of Robeson County,

but also undertakes some of its functions as an agent of the State of North

Carolina. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 3 constitute legal

allegations to which no response is required. To the extent a response is

required and except as specifically admitted, the remaining allegations of

Paragraph 3 are denied.

4. It is admitted that Plaintiff Anthony Dial was first employed by

Robeson County Department of Social Services ("RCDSS") in August 2000. It

is admitted upon information and belief that Plaintiff is Native American. It is

further admitted that Plaintiff has worked in several positions for RCDSS:

2

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 72 of 310

Foster Care Social Worker III, Child Protective Services Social Worker III, Foster Care Social Work Supervisor III, Child Protective Services Social Work Supervisor III, Program Administrator for Income Maintenance, and Program Manager for Child Support. It is admitted that Plaintiff informed RCDSS and Defendant that, prior to his work for RCDSS, Plaintiff was employed as a corrections officer, and previously as a sergeant in the United States Marine Corps. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 constitute conclusory or legal allegations to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required and except as specifically admitted, the remaining allegations of Paragraph 4 are denied.

- 5. It is admitted that, as a Department of Social Services, RCDSS provides extensive programming and services to the people of Robeson County. It is further admitted that RCDSS is funded primarily by Robeson County and in part by State agencies for which it provides services pursuant to an annual written agreement entered between RCDSS and the Department of Health and Human Services, pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 108A-74. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations of Paragraph 5 are denied.
- 6. It is admitted that Plaintiff generally performs his job duties. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief concerning the truth of Plaintiff's allegations regarding his internal motivations or involvement in activities outside of his employment, and the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 73 of 310

same are therefore denied. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 6 constitute conclusory statements to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required to such allegations and except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 are denied.

- 7. It is admitted that Plaintiff filed a Charge with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") against RCDSS in approximately 2014 and that the EEOC concluded its investigation without filing suit against RCDSS. It is further admitted that Plaintiff continued his employment throughout this period. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 are denied.
- 8. It is admitted that Plaintiff applied for the posted position of Director of RCDSS on or about October 10, 2016 and was interviewed for the position on or about November 22, 2016 along with eight other candidates. It is further admitted that all candidates who were interviewed met the posted criteria for the position. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant lacks sufficient information or knowledge to form a belief regarding the truth of the remaining allegations of Paragraph 8 and the same are therefore denied. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied.
- 9. It is admitted that the RCDSS governing board conducted a second round of interviews and that Plaintiff and the other qualified candidates were

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 74 of 310

interviewed in December 2016. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are denied.

10. It is admitted that RCDSS informed Plaintiff that he would not be selected for the position on or about January 6, 2017. It is further admitted that Ms. Velvet Nixon was a Black female candidate for the position of DSS

that his, verves remain was a Black female candidate for the position of Bas

Director and was selected and hired to serve in the position. Except as

specifically admitted, the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied.

11. The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are denied upon

information and belief.

12. It is admitted that Plaintiff filed a Charge with the EEOC within

180 days of his non-selection for the position of DSS Director (the "2017

Charge"). It is further admitted that Plaintiff alleged that he was not selected

for the position due to race and sex discrimination, but Defendant and RCDSS

deny any and all allegations of discrimination. Except as specifically admitted,

the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 are denied.

13. It is admitted that Plaintiff included allegations of retaliation in

the 2017 Charge, but Defendant and RCDSS deny any and all allegations of

retaliation. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 13 are denied.

14. The allegations contained in Paragraph 14 are denied.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 75 of 310

15. It is admitted that Plaintiff applied for the position of Assistant County Manager of Robeson County and was interviewed for the position on or

about May 1, 2019. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained

in Paragraph 15 are denied.

16. It is admitted that Plaintiff was informed on or about June 17,

2019 that he was not selected for the position of Assistant County Manager. It

is further admitted that a Caucasian male candidate for the position, Shelton

Hill, was selected, but Defendant denies any and all allegations that Mr. Hill

was less qualified for the position or had less experience or relevant experience

than Plaintiff. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 16 are denied.

17. It is admitted that County Manager Kellie Blue directed the

recruitment process for the position of Assistant County Manager and made

the selection decision for the position. It is further admitted that the County

Manager, by statute and practice, has the authority over all personnel

decisions for the County, except for her own position, which is appointed by the

Robeson County Board of Commissioners. Except as specifically admitted, the

allegations contained in Paragraph 17 are denied.

18. It is admitted that Plaintiff filed a Charge with the EEOC in or

about July 2019 alleging that Defendant engaged in discrimination and

retaliation by not selecting Plaintiff for the position of Assistant County

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 76 of 310

Manager (the "2019 Charge"), but Defendant denies any and all allegations of

discrimination and retaliation. Except as specifically admitted, the allegations

contained in Paragraph 18 are denied.

19. The allegations contained in Paragraph 19 are denied.

20. The allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21. The allegations contained in Paragraph 21 are denied.

22. It is admitted that Plaintiff was issued Notices of Right to Sue

concerning the 2017 Charge and the 2019 Charge. It is admitted upon

information and belief that Plaintiff filed the subject lawsuit within 90 days of

receiving the Notice of Right to Sue. Except as specifically admitted, the

allegations contained in Paragraph 22 are denied.

23. The allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are denied.

24. Defendant's responses to the foregoing paragraphs are

incorporated by reference as though fully stated herein.

25. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

26. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 77 of 310

27. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

28. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

29. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

30. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

31. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

32. Plaintiff's retaliation claims have been dismissed by Order of the

Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be

required, these allegations are denied.

33. Defendant's responses to the foregoing paragraphs are

incorporated by reference as though fully stated herein.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 78 of 310

34. Plaintiff's Section 1981 and 1983 claims have been dismissed by Order of the Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is

deemed to be required, these allegations are denied.

35. Plaintiff's Section 1981 and 1983 claims have been dismissed by Order of the Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is

deemed to be required, these allegations are denied.

36. Plaintiff's Section 1981 and 1983 claims have been dismissed by

Order of the Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is

deemed to be required, these allegations are denied.

37. Plaintiff's Section 1981 and 1983 claims have been dismissed by

Order of the Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is

deemed to be required, these allegations are denied.

38. Plaintiff's Section 1981 and 1983 claims have been dismissed by

Order of the Court and no response is required. To the extent a response is

deemed to be required, these allegations are denied.

39. The allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied.

EACH AND EVERY ALLEGATION NOT SPECIFICALLY ADMITTED

HEREIN, INCLUDING THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE PRAYER FOR

RELIEF, ARE HEREBY DENIED.

SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and his

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 79 of 310

claims should be dismissed, in whole or in part, pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6).

THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant alleges that, to the extent Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages,
Plaintiff's claims and the relief sought are barred, at least in part.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant has complied in good faith with all applicable laws and regulations and having so complied, acted without improper motive, and any injury accruing to Plaintiff, the existence of which is specifically denied, is not actionable.

ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

Defendant reserves the right to amend its Answer and to assert any additional defenses as the claims of the Plaintiff are more fully disclosed and additional evidence is discovered during the course of this litigation.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, having answered each and every allegation contained in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant prays the Court as follows:

- 1. That the Plaintiff have and recover nothing from Defendant;
- 2. That the costs of this action, including a reasonable attorney's fee for the defense thereof, be taxed against the Plaintiff;
 - 3. For a trial by jury of all issues of fact so triable herein; and

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 80 of 310

4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this the 13th day of October, 2021.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

Telephone: (919) 670-0338 Facsimile: (919) 714-4635 Attorneys for Defendants USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 81 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that this day the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will provide electronic notice to the following:

Nancy P. Quinn 315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D Greensboro, NC 27401 Email: npquinn@triadbiz.rr.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

This the 13th day of October, 2021.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES

N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email: kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com

2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

Telephone: (919) 670-0338 Facsimile: (919) 714-4635 Attorneys for Defendants USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 82 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
GREENSBORO DIVISION

Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY	DIAL,)
)
	Plaintiff,)
)
V.) DEFENDANT ROBESON COUNTY'S
) MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
ROBESON	COUNTY,)
)
	Defendant.)
)

Defendant, ROBESON COUNTY (the "County"), by and through its undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby moves the Court to grant Defendant summary judgment and dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff's remaining claims, because there is no genuine issue of material fact and Defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law on Plaintiff's claim of disparate treatment on the basis of race in connection with the 2019 selection for the position of Assistant County Manager.

In support, Defendant submits the following attached materials: (1) Transcript of the Deposition of Kellie Blue; (2) Transcript of the Deposition of Shelton Hill; (3) Excerpts from the Transcript of the Deposition of Anthony Dial; (4)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 83 of 310

Robeson County's EEO-4 Report from 2019; and (5) Declaration of H. T. ("Tom") Taylor, Jr. Defendant also submits the separately-filed Declaration of Kellie Blue with exhibits and a Brief in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant summary judgment to Defendant and dismiss Plaintiff's remaining claims with prejudice.

This the 6th day of September, 2022.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones

KATHERINE BARBER-JONES N.C. State Bar No. 44197

Email:

jones@hartzoglawgroup.com
2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205

Raleigh, North Carolina 27608

Telephone: (919) 670-0338

Facsimile: (919) 714-4635

Attorneys for Defendant Robeson

kbarber-

County

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 84 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned attorney hereby certifies that this day the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, which will provide electronic notice to the following:

Nancy P. Quinn
315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D
Greensboro, NC 27401
Email: npquinn@triadbiz.rr.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

This the 6th day of September, 2022.

HARTZOG LAW GROUP LLP

/s/ Katherine Barber-Jones
KATHERINE BARBER-JONES
N.C. State Bar No. 44197
Email: kbarberjones@hartzoglawgroup.com
2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205
Raleigh, North Carolina 27608
Telephone: (919) 670-0338
Facsimile: (919) 714-4635
Attorney for Defendant Robeson
County

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 85 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION Civil Action No.: 1:20CV01135	
ANTHONY DIAL,) Plaintiff,) V.	
ROBESON COUNTY,) Defendant,)	
Deposition of KELLIE BLUE	
Held Remotely All Parties On Zoom North Carolina	
Monday, August 1, 2022 2:00 P.M.	
Volume 1	
Pages 1 through 21	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 86 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
                 APPEARANCES
 4
     For the Plaintiff Dial:
     (via Zoom)
 5
     Nancy P. Quinn, Esquire
 6
     The Quinn Law Firm
     315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D
7
     Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
     (336) 272-9072
8
     npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com
9
10
     For the Defendant Robeson County:
     (via Zoom)
11
     Katherine Barber-Jones, Esquire
12
     Hartzog Law Group, LLP
     2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205
     Raleigh, North Carolina
13
                               27608
     (919) 670-0338
14
     kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com
15
16
17
     Also present:
18
     (via Zoom)
19
20
    Rob Davis, Esquire
21
22
23
     (via phone)
2.4
25
     Anthony Dial
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 87 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

		Page 3
1		
2		
3	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
4	Witness Direct Cross Redirec	t Recross
5	Kellie Blue	
6		
7	by Ms. Quinn: 4-19 20	
8		
9	by Ms. Barber-Jones: 19-20	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	EXHIBITS	
22		
23	Number Description	Marked
24		
25	No exhibits	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 88 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 4
1	PROCEEDINGS 2:00 P.M.
2	(Whereupon,
3	Kellie Blue
4	was called as a witness, duly affirmed, and testified
5	as follows:)
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION 2:00 P.M.
7	By Ms. Quinn:
8	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Blue; my name is Nancy
9	Quinn. I'm representing Anthony Dial in a lawsuit that
10	he's filed against the county. We appreciate you
11	taking time out of your schedule to do this today.
12	Have you ever been deposed before?
13	A I think so, many, many times ago. I'm sure
14	have. I don't exactly remember.
15	Q Okay, I just kind of want to refresh your
16	memory on some of the ground rules and how it's
17	important that your answers be verbal, you know, that
18	only one of us talk at a time so the court reporter can
19	get a good record. If at any time you don't understand
20	my questions, please let me know. I want to be clear,
21	you know, before you answer that we're on the same
22	page. I always ask everybody this question, so please
23	don't take it personally, but are you on any medication
24	today that would affect your ability to answer
25	truthfully?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 89 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 5
А	No.
Q	And without discussing any conversations
you've had	d with your attorneys, what did you do in
preparation	on for today's deposition?
А	Came to the meeting, came in here, and got
ready for	the meeting.
Q	Very good.
A	I actually live for a meeting.
Q	Who is your current employer, Ms. Blue?
A	Robeson County.
Q	How long have you been employed by Robeson
County?	
A	This is my twenty-fifth year.
Q	What positions have you held with the County
over those	e twenty-five years?
А	Assistant Finance Director, Finance Director,
Assistant	County Manager, and currently County Manager.
Q	When did you assume the role of County
Manager?	
А	2019.
Q	Could you just briefly explain the general
duties for	r us of the County Manager?
А	Overseeing, basically, all of the operations
for the Co	ounty from all the departments that fall
within co	unty government. There are Health & Human
	you've had preparation A ready for Q A Q A Q County? A Q Over those A Assistant Q Manager? A Q duties for A for the County Count

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 90 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 6
1	Services, our public safety, our enterprise departments
2	as well as general governmental departments, so all of
3	that.
4	Q All right, who would be the person you would
5	report to as your supervisor?
6	A The board of commissioners.
7	Q And I understand that there are Assistant
8	County Managers that work with you, is that correct?
9	A Yes, ma'am.
10	Q How many people fill those positions?
11	A Two.
12	Q Can you give me kind of an overview of how
13	much supervisory capacity the board has in your day-to-
14	day operations and how much independence you have, for
15	example?
16	A I'll you know, they signed my contract, of
L7	course, but the day-to-day operations, I run the
18	County's day-to-day operations.
L9	Q Is there anything in that job duties that
20	requires you to go to the county commissioners first to
21	get approval to do things?
22	A There are certain things that require board
23	actions, certain contracts, and things.
24	Q Other than contracts, what else?
25	A They're all specified in our budget

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 91 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 7
1	ordinance, the items that require their approval.
2	Q Do they assist you at all in the hiring
3	process of employees?
4	A No, they don't, uh-uh.
5	Q Do you receive input either solicited or
6	unsolicited from board members about candidates for
7	managerial positions?
8	A I don't.
9	Q Do you get involved in any of the EEOC
10	investigations involving county employees?
11	A I may be, if like say, for instance, we are
12	being, I guess, the attorney in the back room if we are
13	being updated on a case, I may hear something, but
L4	other than that, no, when he's updating the board. We
15	have a legal department.
16	Q Is there a specific county policy or
17	procedure for hiring management level people under you?
18	A Job description or that kind of thing, no
19	particular procedure; I have hiring authority for
20	those.
21	Q When you're looking at job descriptions, is
22	there any particular guidance or procedures on
23	evaluating work experience versus educational
24	qualifications or candidates?
25	A It's a combination of both.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 92 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 8 Let me ask my question another way. 1 Q Ιt 2 wasn't very well worded. When it comes to looking at 3 work experience, how do you factor that as a substitute for educational experience? 4 5 I think you have to look at both of those. Α We look at whatever the combination is. 6 7 Q That final determination is at your discretion, is that correct? 8 9 Α It is. 10 In those discretionary-type factors, does the 0 11 racial makeup of the county factor into candidate 12 selection? 13 For me absolutely not. Α So there isn't any concern about racial 14 0 15 equity imbalance between the population and the staff of the county manager's office? 16 17 Α No. 18 And would that be across the board for all 0 19 management positions or just in your office? 2.0 If I'm making the decision, yes, ma'am. Α 21 Would you have been involved, say, in the tax administrator position, so is that someone else's 22 23 department? 24 Α That is the board that appoints that 25 position.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 93 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 9
1	Q When there are board-appointed positions,
2	does the board ever come to you and ask for your input
3	about the candidates?
4	A Normally not, because I don't have the
5	decision; I can't make the decision. It's not my
6	employee.
7	Q Does Robeson County have a veteran's
8	preference policy in hiring?
9	A No, we don't.
10	Q Is that something that you're looking to do?
11	A Not currently.
12	Q How do you know Anthony Dial?
13	A He is an employee of DSS.
L4	Q When did you first come to know him?
15	A Anthony, I don't know exactly what year.
16	Q Did you come to know him strictly in a
L7	professional capacity or out in the community?
18	A He was older than me. He was he went to
19	the same high school I I did.
20	Q When did you first get to know him
21	professionally?
22	A I guess when I came to the County if he was
23	here. I don't remember the exact year.
24	Q Through the interactions you've had with Mr.
25	Dial over the years, would you have an opinion as to

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 94 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 10
1	his work ethic?
2	A I haven't worked with him directly. He works
3	at our DSS, like I said.
4	Q So you have no opinion about his work ethic?
5	A I haven't worked with him directly is what I
6	said.
7	Q During the years that you've known him, have
8	you had any interactions or sufficient interactions to
9	know about his ability to work or to lead other people?
10	A Like I said, I haven't worked with him
11	directly. I know that he works at our DSS and,
12	obviously, has supervisory roles out there.
13	Q In some of the updates you've had from the
14	legal department or the county commissioners, did you
15	come to learn that he had filed an EEOC charge against
16	the County at some point?
L7	A Absolutely, like I said, I'm in those
18	sessions when the attorney updates our board because
19	I'm part of the management team, so I would have heard
20	those.
21	Q Okay, do you recall when you learned that he
22	had filed a complaint
23	A I don't.
24	Q with the EEOC?
25	A I don't.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 95 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 11

Q Looking to the Assistant County Manager position that came open in 2019, I wanted to ask some questions about the hiring process if I could. We have received some documents from Ms. Barber-Jones in discovery about Mr. Hill and Mr. Dial's application, and I just wanted to ask a couple questions about some notes I saw on those. In the top right-hand corner, there were some handwritten notes like a day and a time. What were those for?

A It may have been the time I interviewed him. I'm not sure.

Q Okay, did you all the -- did you interview all of the candidates who applied for that position?

A The ones who was trickled down for qualifications.

Q Do you recall how many people were eliminated without having those interview-eligible qualifications?

A I do not.

Q Would you have been part of the initial qualification screening process, or did human resources or someone else do that?

A Human resources.

Q I'm sorry?

A Human resources.

Q Do you recall who in -- who in human

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 96 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 12
1	resources made that initial qualifications finding?
2	A I do not have a clue. No, I don't.
3	Q Tell me a little bit about the procedure for
4	those candidates who made the cut to get interviews?
5	A My administrative assistant, who would be
6	clerk to the board at the time, she would call and set
7	up the interviews, and then she would give me a list of
8	the designated times and the individuals that she had
9	scheduled.
10	Q In the discovery, we saw a selection of
11	questions that were presented to Mr. Hill and Mr. Dial.
12	Were those same questions presented to all candidates?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Were those the only questions asked during
15	Mr. Dial's interview?
16	A I don't remember. I would have followed the
17	script for each employee but or applicant.
18	Q Would you have taken additional notes about
19	the interviews or just the ones that are on those
20	interview sheets?
21	A The ones on the sheets.
22	Q There was a a budgetary question on there.
23	Was that the only skills sort of oriented test that was
24	given to the applicants?
25	A That was just that is just a a standard

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 97 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 13
1	question, because that person would have to do budgets,
2	and so that's just a an application process, I
3	guess, a hands-on is what they call it.
4	Q And that was given to all of the candidates
5	who made the cut to the interviews?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And just for the record, I'm sure it goes
8	without saying that, as Assistant County Manager,
9	that's an important position for the County?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And are the Assistant County Manager's duties
12	in part to fill in when you're not available?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And the Assistant County Managers need to be
15	able to answer, I guess, tough questions about what's
16	going on with the county manager's office in the
17	county, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And they need to be able to work
20	independently?
21	A Yes, uh-huh.
22	Q Does each Assistant County Manager get
23	assigned certain departments that they're responsible
24	for, or does everybody share totally all of the
25	departments?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 98 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

		Page 14
1	A T	hey're they are deviated by manager.
2	Q A	nd as Assistant County Manager, they have to
3	have knowle	dge about fiduciary responsibilities with
4	respect to	county funds, is that correct?
5	A Y	es.
6	Q A	nd as an Assistant County Manager, they need
7	to understa	nd the legal obligations that that office is
8	under, corr	ect?
9	A Y	es.
10	Q D	uring that 2019 candidate search for an
11	Assistant C	ounty Manager, do you recall your interview
12	with Shelto	n Hill?
13	A B	riefly, yeah.
14	Q H	ad you ever interviewed him in the past for
15	other posit	ions?
16	A I	had not.
17	Q D	o you recall how long the interview lasted?
18	A N	· ·
19	Q W	hat, during that interview process, made Mr.
20	Hill stand	out as a good candidate as the Assistant
21	County Mana	ger?
22	A I	think he met the qualifications I was
23	looking for	. He had a broad range of skill set that
24	would be es	sential to the manager's office in an
25	assistant m	anager role.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 99 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 15
1	Q Any particular skill that comes to mind?
2	A He had worked in our human resource office,
3	handled risk management. That is a key fact or a key,
4	I guess, facet of an assistant manager. One of the
5	other areas is SEATS. He had worked in our regional
6	transportation department, very familiar with that
7	process with with the SEATS program that we assumed
8	from
9	Q What negative concerns came out of that
10	interview process for Mr. Hill?
11	A None.
12	Q Did anyone from the board give you any
13	suggestions or input into his application for the
14	position?
15	A No.
16	Q Based on the posting for that job, Mr. Hill
17	didn't have the educational requirements, is that
18	correct?
19	Ms. Barber-Jones: Objection; you can
20	answer.
21	The Witness: I mean, are you asking if
22	he has a four-year degree. Is that what you're asking?
23	By Ms. Quinn:
24	Q That was one of the requirements on the job
25	description, was it not?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 100 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 16 1 Α I don't --2 Nancy, I'm going to Ms. Barber-Jones: 3 You're misrepresenting what's on the job description. You can bring it up and show it to her if 4 5 you want to ask her questions about what's in there 6 but. 7 By Ms. Quinn: 8 0 Well, let me ask it another way. Looking at 9 minimum training and experience on the job description, 10 it reads graduation from a four-year college or university with a master's degree in public 11 12 administration, business administration, or a related 13 field with a master's degree in public administration preferred and five to seven years of increasing 14 15 responsible experience in the administration and 16 management of local government programs and personnel 17 or any equivalent combination of training and 18 experience, which provides the required skills, 19 knowledge, and abilities. Does that sound accurate? I don't have it front of me. I'm going off 2.0 Α 21 what you read. 22 The beginning of that minimum training seems 23 to put a fair amount of emphasis on college education. 24 Is that reasonable to say? 25 Α No, because there's an or in there.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 101 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 17
1	Q So the lack of college education wasn't a
2	concern with respect to Mr. Hill's candidacy?
3	A No.
4	Q And there's no particular procedure or
5	formula for the comparing work experience versus
6	education in these decisions, is that correct?
7	A No.
8	Q It's not correct, or there isn't any
9	procedure?
LO	A There's not a procedure. I chose the best
11	person.
12	Q In your review of EEOC matters, were you
13	familiar with another employee's EEOC matter that
14	involved a position Mr. Hill had received earlier in
15	the county Assistant County Manager?
16	A Like I said earlier, I am when the
L7	attorney briefs the board, I am in there, but I'm not
18	involved in the EEOC process.
19	Q Prior to the Assistant County Manager
20	interviews in 2019, had you ever interviewed Anthony
21	Dial for any positions?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you recall how long his interview lasted?
24	A No.
25	Q Do you recall anything in particular that

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 102 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 18
1	stood out in his application to make him a good
2	candidate?
3	A Say your question again. I didn't quite get
4	the first part of it.
5	Q Okay, do you recall anything in Mr. Dial's
6	interview with you that stood out to make him a good
7	potential candidate?
8	A No.
9	Q Is it fair to say that he did meet the
LO	qualifications for the position as it was posted?
11	A I assume he did because it was handed up to
12	me from HR, so I'm assuming he did.
13	Q Do you recall any negatives about his
14	application process that would have prevented him from
15	getting the position?
16	A I don't recall anything particular about his
L7	application.
18	Q Any concerns about his supervisory
L9	experience?
20	A None of that was a factor.
21	Q Anyone from the board of commissioners
22	discuss his potential application with you for
23	Assistant County Manager?
24	A Again, no.
25	Q Do you recall why he wasn't selected for the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 103 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 19
1	position?
2	A The reason I didn't choose him?
3	Q Yes.
4	A Because I didn't think he was best suited; I
5	chose the person I thought best suited.
6	Q Did his pending EEOC action have anything to
7	do with his not being selected for Assistant County
8	Manager?
9	A No.
10	Q And just to kind of wrap up and be clear, Ms.
11	Blue, you were the sole decision-maker with respect to
12	not hiring Mr. Dial as the Assistant County Manager in
13	2019?
14	A Yes.
15	Ms. Quinn: I believe that's all of
L6	the questions I have. Ms. Barber-Jones may have some
17	for you.
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION 2:33 P.M.
19	By Ms. Barber-Jones:
20	Q I just wanted to clarify the question and
21	answer for one of the exchanges you had with Ms. Quinn
22	earlier. I think you were asked whether you had any
23	concerns about Mr. Dial's interview process for his
24	application that would have made his would have
25	stood out as a negative in his his candidacy for the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 104 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 20				
1	position. You know, measuring Mr. Dial, head-to-head				
2	with Mr. Hill, was there any difference in their				
3	qualifications or experience that led to your decision?				
4	A I will say Mr. Dial's concentration has been				
5	in one area, and that is our DSS department, and then				
6	Mr. Hill had a broad array a broad array of				
7	experience of what we needed in the manager's office				
8	with some HR, risk management, which is a big part of				
9	what we do.				
10	Ms. Barber-Jones: Thank you; that's all the				
11	questions I have. Did you have any follow-ups after				
12	that, Nancy?				
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2:24 P.M.				
14	By Ms. Quinn:				
15	Q I've just got one, Ms. Blue. When you were				
16	looking at broader experience, did you look at any of				
17	Mr. Dial's community experience, disaster management				
18	assistance, those kinds of things?				
19	A That wasn't a factor in the decision.				
20	Ms. Quinn: That's all my questions;				
21	thank you.				
22	Ms. Barber-Jones: Can we go off the record				
23	to ascertain Ms. Blue's information?				
24	(The proceedings were concluded at 2:24 P.M.)				
25					

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 105 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

	Page 21
1	
2	
3	NORTH CAROLINA
4	WAKE COUNTY
5	CERTIFICATE
6	I, David L. Overby, Notary/Reporter, do
7	hereby certify that the foregoing deposition of Kellie
8	Blue was taken by Lynn Houchins, Notary/Reporter, and
9	transcribed under my direction and that the twenty-one
10	pages which constitute this deposition are a true and
11	accurate transcript of the witness's testimony.
12	I certify that I am not counsel for, or
13	employed by either party in this action, nor am I
14	interested in the outcome of this action.
15	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	
17	hand this 8th day of August, 2022.
18	
19	
20	
21	_David L. Overby
22	
23	David L. Overby
24	Notary Public
25	Certificate No.: 19930120037

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 106 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 22

	l	l	l <u>.</u>	1
A	ascertain 20:23	Blue's 20:23	choose 19:2	11:1 13:8,9,11
abilities 16:19	asked 12:14	board 6:6,13,22	chose 17:10 19:5	13:14,16,17,22
ability 4:24 10:9	19:22	7:6,14 8:18,24	Civil 1:2	14:2,4,6,11,21
able 13:15,19	asking 15:21,22	9:2 10:18 12:6	clarify 19:20	17:15,15,19
absolutely 8:13	assigned 13:23	15:12 17:17	clear 4:20 19:10	18:23 19:7,12
10:17	assist 7:2	18:21	clerk 12:6	21:4
accurate 16:19	assistance 20:18	board-appointed	clue 12:2	County's 6:18
21:11	assistant 5:16,17	9:1	college 16:10,23	couple 11:6
action 1:2 19:6	6:7 11:1 12:5	briefly 5:21	17:1	course 6:17
21:13,14	13:8,11,14,22	14:13	combination	court 1:1 4:18
actions 6:23	14:2,6,11,20,25	briefs 17:17	7:25 8:6 16:17	Cross 3:4
additional 12:18	15:4 17:15,19	bring 16:4	come 9:2,14,16	current 5:9
administration	18:23 19:7,12	broad 14:23 20:6	10:15	currently 5:17
16:12,12,13,15	assume 5:18	20:6	comes 8:2 15:1	9:11
administrative	18:11	broader 20:16	commissioners	cut 12:4 13:5
12:5	assumed 15:7	budget 6:25	6:6,20 10:14	D
administrator	assuming 18:12	budgetary 12:22	18:21	
8:22	attorney 7:12	budgets 13:1	community 9:17	D 4:1,6 20:13
affect 4:24	10:18 17:17	business 16:12	20:17	David 21:6,23
affirmed 4:4	attorneys 5:3	<u>C</u>	comparing 17:5	Davis 2:20
afternoon 4:8	August 1:18	-	complaint 10:22	day 6:14 11:8
ago 4:13	21:17	C 2:3 3:3 4:1,6	concentration	21:17
amount 16:23	authority 7:19	19:18 20:13	20:4	day-to- 6:13
answer 4:21,24	available 13:12	21:5,5	concern 8:14	day-to-day 6:17
13:15 15:20	Avenue 2:12	call 12:6 13:3	17:2	6:18
19:21	B	called 4:4	concerns 15:9	decision 8:20 9:5
answers 4:17	-	can't 9:5	18:18 19:23	9:5 20:3,19
Anthony 1:5 2:25	B 3:3,21 back 7:12	candidacy 17:2 19:25	concluded 20:24	decision-maker 19:11
4:9 9:12,15			constitute 21:10	
17:20	Barber-Jones	candidate 8:11	contract 6:16	decisions 17:6
applicant 12:17	2:11 3:9 11:4	14:10,20 18:2,7	contracts 6:23,24	Defendant 1:9
applicants 12:24	15:19 16:2	candidates 7:6	conversations	2:10
application 11:5	19:16,19 20:10	7:24 9:3 11:13	5:2	degree 15:22
13:2 15:13 18:1	20:22 Paged 15:16	12:4,12 13:4 capacity 6:13	corner 11:7	16:11,13
18:14,17,22	Based 15:16	9:17	correct 6:8 8:8	department 7:15 8:23 10:14 15:6
19:24	basically 5:23		13:17 14:4,8	
applied 11:13	beginning 16:22 believe 19:15	Carolina 1:1,16	15:18 17:6,8	20:5 departments
appoints 8:24		2:7,13 21:3	counsel 21:12	_
appreciate 4:10	best 17:10 19:4,5	case 7:13	county 1:8 2:10	5:24 6:1,2 13:23,25
approval 6:21	big 20:8 bit 12:3	certain 6:22,23 13:23	4:10 5:10,12,14	deposed 4:12
7:1	Blue 1:11 3:5 4:3	Certificate 21:25	5:17,17,18,22	deposed 4.12 deposition 1:11
area 20:5	4:8 5:9 19:11		5:24,25 6:8,20	5:4 21:7,10
areas 15:5	20:15 21:8	certify 21:7,12 charge 10:15	7:10,16 8:11,16	description 3:23
array 20:6,6	20.13 21.8	charge 10.13	9:7,22 10:14,16	uescripuon 5.25
<u> </u>				

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 107 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 23

7:18 15:25 16:4	E 2:3,3 3:3,3,21	explain 5:21	goes 13:7	HR 18:12 20:8
16:9	4:1,1,6,6 19:18		going 13:16 16:2	human 5:25
descriptions 7:21	20:13,13,13	F	16:20	11:20,22,24,25
designated 12:8	21:5,5	F 3:3 21:5	good 4:8,19 5:7	15:2
determination	earlier 17:14,16	facet 15:4	14:20 18:1,6	
8:7	19:22	fact 15:3	government 5:25	I
deviated 14:1	education 16:23	factor 8:3,11	16:16	I'll 6:16
Dial 1:5 2:4,25	17:1,6	18:20 20:19	governmental	I'm 4:9,13 8:20
4:9 9:12,25	educational 7:23	factors 8:10	6:2	10:17,19 11:11
12:11 17:21	8:4 15:17	fair 16:23 18:9	graduation 16:10	11:23 13:7 16:2
19:12 20:1	EEOC 7:9 10:15	fall 5:24	Greensboro 1:2	16:20 17:17
Dial's 11:5 12:15	10:24 17:12,13	familiar 15:6	2:7	18:12
18:5 19:23 20:4	17:18 19:6	17:13	ground 4:16	I've 20:15
20:17	either 7:5 21:13	fiduciary 14:3	Group 2:12	imbalance 8:15
didn't 15:17 18:3	eliminated 11:16	field 16:13	guess 7:12 9:22	important 4:17
19:2,4	else's 8:22	filed 4:10 10:15	13:3,15 15:4	13:9
difference 20:2	emphasis 16:23	10:22	guidance 7:22	increasing 16:14
Direct 3:4	employed 5:11	fill 6:10 13:12 final 8:7	H	independence 6:14
direction 21:9	21:13	Finan 8:7	H 3:21	independently
directly 10:2,5,11	employee 9:6,13	finding 12:1	hand 21:17	13:20
Director 5:16,16	12:17	Firm 2:6	handed 18:11	individuals 12:8
disaster 20:17	employee's 17:13	first 6:20 9:14,20	handled 15:3	information
discovery 11:5	employees 7:3,10	18:4	hands-on 13:3	20:23
12:10	employer 5:9	five 16:14	handwritten 11:8	initial 11:19 12:1
discretion 8:8	enterprise 6:1	follow-ups 20:11	Hartzog 2:12	input 7:5 9:2
discretionary-t	equity 8:15	followed 12:16	haven't 10:2,5,10	15:13
8:10 discuss 18:22	equivalent 16:17	follows 4:5	he's 4:10 7:14	instance 7:11
discussing 5:2	Esquire 2:5,11 2:20	foregoing 21:7	head-to-head	interactions 9:24
DISTRICT 1:1,1	essential 14:24	formula 17:5	20:1	10:8,8
DIVISION 1:2	ethic 10:1,4	four-year 15:22	Health 5:25	interested 21:14
documents 11:4	evaluating 7:23	16:10	hear 7:13	interview 11:12
don't 4:14,19,23	everybody 4:22	front 16:20	heard 10:19	12:15,20 14:11
7:4,8 9:4,9,15	13:24	funds 14:4	held 1:14 5:14	14:17,19 15:10
9:23 10:23,25	exact 9:23		hereunto 21:15	17:23 18:6
12:2,16 16:1,20	exactly 4:14 9:15	G	high 9:19	19:23
18:16	example 6:15	G 4:1	Hill 11:5 12:11	interview-eligi
DSS 9:13 10:3,11	exchanges 19:21	Garden 2:6	14:12,20 15:10	11:17
20:5	exhibits 3:25	general 5:21 6:2	15:16 17:14	interviewed
duly 4:4	experience 7:23	getting 18:15	20:2,6	11:10 14:14
duties 5:22 6:19	8:3,4 16:9,15	give 6:12 12:7	Hill's 17:2	17:20
13:11	16:18 17:5	15:12	hiring 7:2,17,19	interviews 12:4,7
	18:19 20:3,7,16	given 12:24 13:4	9:8 11:3 19:12	12:19 13:5
E	20:17	Glenwood 2:12	Houchins 21:8	17:20
		go 6:20 20:22		
	1			1

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 108 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 24

	 , ,_,_	<u> </u>	0.1.10	
investigations	level 7:17	meet 18:9	office 8:16,19	population 8:15
7:10	list 12:7	meeting 5:5,6,8	13:16 14:7,24	position 8:22,25
involved 7:9 8:21	little 12:3	members 7:6	15:2 20:7	11:2,13 13:9
17:14,18	live 5:8	memory 4:16	Okay 4:15 10:21	15:14 17:14
involving 7:10	LLP 2:12	met 14:22	11:12 18:5	18:10,15 19:1
isn't 8:14 17:8	local 16:16	MIDDLE 1:1	older 9:18	20:1
it's 4:16 7:25 9:5	long 5:11 14:17	mind 15:1	ones 11:14 12:19	positions 5:14
17:8	17:23	minimum 16:9	12:21	6:10 7:7 8:19
items 7:1	look 8:5,6 20:16	16:22	open 11:2	9:1 14:15 17:21
J	looking 7:21 8:2	misrepresenting	operations 5:23	posted 18:10
	9:10 11:1 14:23	16:3	6:14,17,18	posting 15:16
job 6:19 7:18,21	16:8 20:16	Monday 1:18	opinion 9:25 10:4	potential 18:7,22
15:16,24 16:3,9	Lynn 21:8		ordinance 7:1	preference 9:8
K		N	oriented 12:23	preferred 16:14
Katherine 2:11	<u>M</u>	N 2:3 3:3,3 4:1,6	outcome 21:14	preparation 5:4
	M 4:6 19:18	4:6 19:18,18	Overby 21:6,23	present 2:17
kbarber-jones	20:13	20:13,13	Overseeing 5:23	presented 12:11
2:14 V : W : 1:11 2:5	ma'am 6:9 8:20	name 4:8	overview 6:12	12:12
Kellie 1:11 3:5	makeup 8:11	Nancy 2:5 4:8		prevented 18:14
4:3 21:7	making 8:20	16:2 20:12	P	Prior 17:19
key 15:3,3	management	need 13:14,19	P 2:3,3,5 4:1	procedure 7:17
kind 4:15 6:12	7:17 8:19 10:19	14:6	P.M 1:19 4:1,6	7:19 12:3 17:4
7:18 19:10	15:3 16:16 20:8	needed 20:7	19:18 20:13,24	17:9,10
kinds 20:18	20:17	negative 15:9	page 4:22	procedures 7:22
know 4:17,20,21	manager 5:17,17	19:25	pages 1:25 21:10	proceedings
6:16 9:12,14,15	5:19,22 11:1	negatives 18:13	part 10:19 11:19	20:24
9:16,20 10:9,11	13:8,22 14:1,2	Normally 9:4	13:12 18:4 20:8	process 7:3 11:3
20:1	14:6,11,21,25	North 1:1,16 2:7	particular 7:19	11:20 13:2
knowledge 14:3	15:4 17:15,19	2:13 21:3	7:22 15:1 17:4	14:19 15:7,10
16:19	18:23 19:8,12	Notary 21:24	17:25 18:16	17:18 18:14
known 10:7	manager's 8:16	Notary/Reporter	Parties 1:15	19:23
	13:11,16 14:24	21:6,8	party 21:13	professional 9:17
<u>L</u>	20:7	notes 11:7,8	pending 19:6	professionally
L 3:3 21:6,23	managerial 7:7	12:18	people 6:10 7:17	9:21
lack 17:1	Managers 6:8	npquinn@theq	10:9 11:16	program 15:7
lasted 14:17	13:14	2:8	person 6:4 13:1	programs 16:16
17:23	Marked 3:23	Number 3:23	17:11 19:5	provides 16:18
Law 2:6,12	master's 16:11		personally 4:23	public 6:1 16:11
lawsuit 4:9	16:13	0	personnel 16:16	16:13 21:24
lead 10:9	matter 17:13	O 3:3,3 4:1,6	phone 2:23	put 16:23
learn 10:15	matters 17:12	19:18,18 20:13	Plaintiff 1:6 2:4	
learned 10:21	mean 15:21	object 16:3	please 4:20,22	Q
led 20:3	measuring 20:1	Objection 15:19	point 10:16	qualification
legal 7:15 10:14	medication 4:23	obligations 14:7	policy 7:16 9:8	11:20
14:7		obviously 10:12		
	l	<u> </u>	l	l

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 109 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 25

1.00 - 4.0	0.22.12.16	QEADQ 15.5.7		441-6-11 4-25
qualifications	9:23 12:16	SEATS 15:5,7	T	truthfully 4:25
7:24 11:15,17	Remotely 1:14	selected 18:25	T 3:3,3,3,21 4:6,6	twenty-fifth 5:13
12:1 14:22	report 6:5	19:7	19:18 20:13,13	twenty-five 5:15
18:10 20:3	reporter 4:18	selection 8:12	21:5,5	twenty-one 21:9
question 4:22 8:1	representing 4:9	12:10	take 4:23	Two 6:11
12:22 13:1 18:3	require 6:22 7:1	Services 6:1	taken 12:18 21:8	\mathbf{U}
19:20	required 16:18	sessions 10:18	talk 4:18	uh-huh 13:21
questions 4:20	requirements	set 12:6 14:23	tax 8:21	uh-uh 7:4
11:3,6 12:11,12	15:17,24	21:15	team 10:19	understand 4:19
12:14 13:15	requires 6:20	seven 16:14	Tell 12:3	6:7 14:7
16:5 19:16	resource 15:2	share 13:24	test 12:23	
20:11,20	resources 11:20	sheets 12:20,21	testified 4:4	UNITED 1:1
Quinn 2:5,6 3:7	11:22,24 12:1	Shelton 14:12	testimony 21:11	university 16:11
4:7,9 15:23	respect 14:4 17:2	show 16:4	thank 20:10,21	unsolicited 7:6
16:7 19:15,21	19:11	signed 6:16	that's 13:2,9	updated 7:13
20:14,20	responsibilities	skill 14:23 15:1	19:15 20:10,20	updates 10:13,18
quite 18:3	14:3	skills 12:23 16:18	there's 16:25	updating 7:14
R	responsible	sole 19:11	17:4,10	V
	13:23 16:15	solicited 7:5	THEREOF	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ 1:7
R 2:3 4:1,6 19:18	review 17:12	sorry 11:23	21:15	verbal 4:17
20:13,13 21:5	right 6:4	sort 12:23	they're 6:25	versus 7:23 17:5
racial 8:11,14	right-hand 11:7	sound 16:19	13:23 14:1	versus 7:23 17:3 veteran's 9:7
Raleigh 2:13	risk 15:3 20:8	specific 7:16	thing 7:18	
range 14:23	Rob 2:20	specified 6:25	things 6:21,22,23	Volume 1:23
read 16:21	Robeson 1:8 2:10	Spring 2:6	20:18	\mathbf{W}
reads 16:10	5:10,11 9:7	staff 8:15	think 4:13 8:5	WAKE 21:4
ready 5:6	role 5:18 14:25	stand 14:20	14:22 19:4,22	want 4:15,20
reason 19:2	roles 10:12	standard 12:25	thought 19:5	16:5
reasonable 16:24	room 7:12	STATES 1:1	time 4:11,18,19	wanted 11:2,6
recall 10:21	rules 4:16	stood 18:1,6	11:9,10 12:6	19:20
11:16,25 14:11	run 6:17	19:25	times 4:13 12:8	wasn't 8:2 17:1
14:17 17:23,25		Street 2:6	today 4:11,24	18:25 20:19
18:5,13,16,25	S	strictly 9:16	today's 5:4	way 8:1 16:8
receive 7:5	S 2:3 3:3,21 4:1	substitute 8:3	top 11:7	we're 4:21
received 11:4	19:18,18	sufficient 10:8	totally 13:24	
17:14	safety 6:1	suggestions	tough 13:15	went 9:18 what's 13:15
record 4:19 13:7	saw 11:7 12:10	15:13	training 16:9,17	16:3,5
20:22	saying 13:8	Suite 2:6,12	16:22	witness 3:4 4:4
Recross 3:4	schedule 4:11	suited 19:4,5	transcribed 21:9	
Redirect 3:4	scheduled 12:9	supervisor 6:5	transcript 21:11	15:21 21:15
refresh 4:15	school 9:19	supervisory 6:13	transcript 21.11	witness's 21:11
regional 15:5	screening 11:20	10:12 18:18	15:6	worded 8:2
related 16:12	script 12:17	sure 4:13 11:11	trickled 11:14	work 6:8 7:23 8:3
remember 4:14	search 14:10	13:7	true 21:10	10:1,4,9 13:19
			14C 21.10	17:5
<u> </u>	I	1	1	1

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 110 of 310

Kellie Blue August 1, 2022

Page 26

				Page 26
worked 10:2,5,10	2626 2:12			
15:2,5	272-9072 2:7			
works 10:2,11	27401 2:7			
wrap 19:10	27608 2:13			
X	3			
X 3:21 4:6 19:18	315 2:6			
20:13	336 2:7			
Y	4			
yeah 14:13	4-19 3:7			
year 5:13 9:15,23	5			
years 5:15 9:25				
10:7 16:14	6			
you're 7:21 9:10	670-0338 2:13			
13:12 15:22	070-0336 2.13			
16:3	7			
you've 5:3 9:24				
10:7,13	8			
Z	8th 21:17			
Zoom 1:15 2:4,10	9			
2:18	919 2:13			
0				
1				
1 1:18,23,25				
1:20CV01135 1:2				
19-20 3:9				
19930120037				
21:25				
1D 2:6				
2:00 1:19 4:1,6				
2:24 20:13,24				
2:24 20:13,24 2:33 19:18				
2:33 19:18 20 3:7				
2019 5:20 11:2				
14:10 17:20				
19:13				
2022 1:18 21:17				
2022 1:18 21:17 205 2:12				
205 2:12 21 1:25				
41 1.23				
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 111 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION Civil Action No.: 1:20CV01135	
ANTHONY DIAL,) Plaintiff,) V.	
ROBESON COUNTY,) Defendant,)	
Deposition of SHELTON HILL	
Held Remotely All Parties On Zoom North Carolina	
Monday, August 1, 2022 2:29 P.M.	
Volume 1	
Pages 1 through 19	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 112 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
                 APPEARANCES
 4
     For the Plaintiff Dial:
     (via Zoom)
 5
     Nancy P. Quinn, Esquire
 6
     The Quinn Law Firm
     315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1D
7
     Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
     (336) 272-9072
8
     npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com
9
10
     For the Defendant Robeson County:
     (via Zoom)
11
     Katherine Barber-Jones, Esquire
12
     Hartzog Law Group, LLP
     2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205
     Raleigh, North Carolina
13
                               27608
     (919) 670-0338
14
     kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com
15
16
17
     Also present:
18
     (via Zoom)
19
20
    Rob Davis, Esquire
21
22
23
     (via phone)
2.4
25
     Anthony Dial
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 113 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

			Page 3
1			
2			
3		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
4	Witness	Direct Cross Redirect	Recross
5	Shelton Hil	.1	
6			
7	by Ms.	Quinn: 4-16	
8			
9	by Ms.	Barber-Jones: 16-17	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21		EXHIBITS	
22		1 7 11 7 1 1 2	
23	Number	Description	Marked
24	114111201		1.02.1200
25	No exhibits	3	

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 114 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2:29 P.M. 2 (Whereupon, 3 Shelton Hill was called as a witness, duly affirmed, and testified 4 5 as follows:) 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2:29 P.M. 7 By Ms. Quinn: 8 0 Good afternoon, Mr. Hill; my name is Nancy 9 I'm representing Anthony Dial in an action he's Ouinn. 10 brought against Robeson County. How are you today? 11 Α Good. 12 0 Could you state your name for the record? Shelton Hill. 13 Α 14 0 Have you ever been deposed before, Mr. Hill? 15 Α Yes. Even though you've done it before, I just 16 0 17 want to reiterate some of the ground rules to refresh 18 your memory. It's important to give verbal answers. 19 Obviously, it's also important that we not talk over 2.0 each other so the court reporter can get a good 21 transcript. And one of the questions I ask everyone, 22 please don't take it personally is, is there any 23 reason, any medications you may be on that would 24 prevent you from answering truthfully? 25 Α No.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 115 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

	Page 5
1	Q If at any time you don't understand my
2	questions, which is entirely possible will happen,
3	please let me know, and I'll do my best to rephrase it.
4	A Sure.
5	Q Without discussing any conversation you've
6	had with your attorneys, what did you do in preparation
7	for this deposition today?
8	A Just went back, reviewed some of my notes and
9	things.
10	Q All right, other than your notes, did you
11	review any other documents?
12	A My application.
13	Q And just for the record, Mr. Hill, which race
14	do you identify with?
15	A Caucasian.
16	Q Thank you; how are you currently employed?
17	A I'm sorry?
18	Q How are you currently employed?
19	A As Assistant County Manager for Robeson
20	County.
21	Q And when did you start in that position?
22	A June of 2019.
23	Q What are your general duties as the Assistant
24	County Manager?
25	A I manage eight departments and perform as

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 116 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 6

directed by county management and/or assisting other departments in providing leadership for them and oversight.

- Q Okay, which departments do you supervise?
- A The 911 communications, emergency medical services, emergency management, the fire marshal's office, the office of risk management, the NCDOT public transportation hub, which is a county department, the Robeson County Sheriff's Office Jail Health Administration, the community development, which covers the inspections, planning, zoning, all of the functions that would be underneath the community development, and I'm thinking that's it. I think that's eight.
- Q Okay, who do you report to?
- 15 A Kellie Blue.
- 16 Q Have you held other positions with Robeson 17 County?
- 18 A I'm sorry?
- 19 Q Have you held other positions with Robeson
- 20 County?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 21 A I have.
- 22 0 What were those?
- A The office for risk management, safety
 officer, operations manager, and safety officer for the
 DOT transportation system, and assistant director of

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 117 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 7

human resources/risk manager. That was a combined duty during that period.

- Q Okay, which is the one you held immediately before Assistant County Manager?
 - A The office of risk management.
 - Q And when did you go into that position?
- A We separated those duties from about 2018 and moved the assistant director back to just that sole duty, and I became risk manager again in 2018, had served in that function since 2012, combined with other duties. I went into the position in 2012, so it was split duties up until 2018.
- 2 So in 2018, did that become a new position?
 - A No, there -- it was just very time consuming, time intensive, and the position in human resources had originally only been one function, so we -- we made the decision to separate those functions.
 - Q Was there an application process for that split function?
 - A No; to apply for it, yes, there was, to apply for the assistant human resource director that was in 2016. It was the assistant human resource director/risk manager.
- 24 Q And did you apply for that position in 2016?
 - A Yes, yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 118 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

	Page 8
1	Q But not the one in 2018?
2	A No.
3	Q How long did you serve as the operations
4	manager in the transportation area?
5	A Before we moved it into the county services,
6	I began in 2004. We moved it into Robeson County from
7	a state agency in 2006, and I worked in that position
8	until 2012.
9	Q Do you recall the number of employees you
10	would have supervised in that position?
11	A I'm sorry?
12	Q Do you recall the number of employees you
13	would have supervised in that position?
14	A It would vary between eighteen and twenty
15	with the transportation system.
16	Q And as assistant director in human resources
17	or slash risk manager, did you have any supervisory
18	obligations?
19	A Some, two employees, along with working with
20	other departments, but not direct supervision over the
21	other departments.
22	Q What made you decide to apply for the
23	Assistant County Manager position in 2019?
24	A Well, I just thought it was an opportunity to
25	work with staff development a little more closely and

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 119 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

	Page 9
1	also to fulfill a desire I had for many years to work a
2	little closer with the departments in developing people
3	and also doing some things to hopefully expedite the
4	service and improve the delivery of services to the
5	citizens.
6	Q Did you read the job description before you
7	applied for it?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Did you have any concerns about the preferred
10	educational requirements in that position?
11	A No concerns.
12	Q And at that time, you did not have any
13	college degrees, is that correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q On your application for the position, you
L6	referred to some trainings you had taken. Did you
L7	submit any of the certifications that came along with
18	those trainings as part of your application?
19	A I can't remember.
20	Q Prior to applying for the Assistant County
21	Manager position, who did you discuss the decision to
22	apply with?
23	A My family.
24	Q Mr. Hill, prior to applying for it, did you
25	hear my question?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 120 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Ī				
	Page 10			
1	Ms. Barber-Jones: Yes, he answered it. Did			
2	you hear his answer?			
3	Ms. Quinn: I did not, sorry.			
4	The Witness: I'm sorry. I discussed			
5	it with my family.			
6	Ms. Quinn: Okay, unfortunately,			
7	that's one of the vagaries of the technologies. It			
8	doesn't always come all the way through.			
9	By Ms. Quinn:			
10	Q Did you discuss it with any of the county			
11	commissioners?			
12	A No.			
13	Q Did you think you were fully qualified for			
14	this position when you applied?			
15	A I felt I could receive consideration. I felt			
16	like I was qualified in many areas.			
17	Q Were you concerned that maybe you were			
18	unqualified for it?			
19	Ms. Barber-Jones: Objection to form; you			
20	can answer.			
21	The Witness: I'm sorry?			
22	Ms. Barber-Jones: You can answer.			
23	The Witness: Okay; I didn't have any			
24	concerns about any of the duties.			
25	By Ms. Quinn:			

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 121 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 11

Q Prior to coming on as Assistant County
Manager, did you have experience with working with
legal documents for the County?

A I did. I was asked to be safety officer by the Assistant County Manager about 2007. I began working very closely with an Assistant County Manager, and I was conducting most of the risk management duties at the time, the insurance claims, and other legal matters in working with the legal team here. I conducted inspections of departments in compliance areas, that type thing. That was back, again, with split duties and some other things.

Q Did you have experience with the Americans with Disabilities Act compliance?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q Through which position?

A My textile career prior for many years, well, ever since the Act of 1990 that was required annual training, refresher training, that type of thing, and several positions with my employment with the County, with NCDOT.

Court Reporter: Katherine, real quick, let me interrupt. A moment ago, you did an objection. Was it an object to form?

Ms. Barber-Jones: Yes, it was.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 122 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

	Page 12				
1	Court Reporter: I couldn't hear the last				
2	part; thank you, sorry to interrupt.				
3	Ms. Barber-Jones: Thank you.				
4	By Ms. Quinn:				
5	Q Mr. Hill, did anyone encourage you to apply				
6	for this Assistant County Manager position?				
7	A No.				
8	Ms. Barber-Jones: Did you hear him?				
9	Ms. Quinn: I think I lost your				
10	answer again.				
11	The Witness: No.				
12	By Ms. Quinn:				
13	Q Did anyone promise you the position if you				
14	applied for it?				
15	A No.				
16	Q In the application, there was a cover letter.				
17	Was that one that you drafted for the application?				
18	A Yes.				
19	Q When you received the position as Assistant				
20	County Manager, do you know who took over your previous				
21	position?				
22	A No one took it over. I continued with that				
23	office until filling it in, I think, it was the year				
24	2020.				
25	Q I'm going down my list of questions, Mr.				

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 123 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 13

Hill. I think we've already talked about many of them. When you went into the position you had just before the Assistant County Manager where I think you said split the duties, was there someone else who also wanted to have that position?

- A Which position is that?
- Q The one you had just before Assistant County Manager?
- A No, I didn't -- I didn't have a person picked out for that that I -- I could identify as we went through an application process, and we were successful in placing one.
- Q Okay, when you were put in the position, was there another Robeson County employee who also would have liked to have had that position?
 - A I didn't discuss it with anyone.
- Q And at that time, did you feel like you were qualified for the position when they created it?
- A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Have you had any dealings with the EEOC while you've been an employee with the Robeson County?
- A Responding in preparation of documents for responses, that type of thing.
- Q Did any of those investigations that required your assistance with responses bear directly on any of

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 124 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 14 your activities with the County? 1 2 Ms. Barber-Jones: Object to form; you can 3 answer. The Witness: 4 Can you repeat the 5 question? 6 By Ms. Quinn: 7 Q Sure; when you participated in responses to 8 the EEOC investigations, were any of those 9 investigations involving things you had done? 10 Ms. Barber-Jones: Objection; you can 11 answer. 12 The Witness: I'm really not sure what you're asking, things I had done. 13 14 By Ms. Quinn: 15 Or people thought you had done and were Q 16 concerned were discriminatory? 17 Α Not to my -- I don't ever remember anything, 18 no. 19 Ms. Quinn: All right, Mr. Hill, I 20 think that's all the questions I have for you. No, no, 21 I'm going to take that back. I'm sorry. I've got a 22 couple more. 23 By Ms. Quinn: 24 0 Do you have a good working relationship with 25 some of the county managers?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 125 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

		Page 15			
1	А	I feel like I've always gotten along well			
2	with them, had good experiences.				
3	Q	What about social relationships with any of			
4	the count	y managers?			
5	A	I don't have a social relationship with any			
6	of them.				
7	Q	Okay, not even like David Edge?			
8	A	County managers.			
9	Q	No, county commissioners.			
10	A	I			
11		Ms. Barber-Jones: I'm sorry; Nancy, you			
12	said coun	ty managers in your questions.			
13		Ms. Quinn: I'm sorry.			
14		Ms. Barber-Jones: Do you want to rephrase			
15	those?				
16		Ms. Quinn: Let me rephrase my			
17	question.				
18		By Ms. Quinn:			
19	Q	Do you see any of the county commissioners			
20	socially?				
21	А	A number of them over the years.			
22	Q	Can you tell me who?			
23	А	I know Commissioner Tom Taylor's parents and			
24	him all my	y life, very little social activity. I've			
25	known Com	missioner Edge many years, some social			

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 126 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

```
Page 16
    activity, very limited years ago. Commissioner Roger
 1
 2
    Oxendine, Ex-Commissioner Roger Oxendine, I've known
 3
    him for many years, some social activity like the
    others; lived in -- lived in the same community with
 4
 5
    them.
 6
               Do you think any of those relationships
         O
 7
    helped you progress through the positions with the
8
    County?
9
               I couldn't identify in the past when that --
         Α
10
    that happened.
11
              Ms. Quinn:
                                   All right, that truly is
12
    the end of my questions, Mr. Hill; thank you.
13
               The Witness:
                                   Okay, sure thing.
14
              Ms. Barber-Jones:
                                  All right, well, do you
15
    mind if we take a break of a couple minutes then before
16
    I decide whether I need to ask any questions?
17
               Ms. Ouinn:
                                   Sure.
18
              Ms. Barber-Jones:
                                   Okay, thanks; we'll just
19
    go on to mute.
2.0
               (A short break was taken at 2:50 P.M.)
21
               (The proceedings resumed at 2:53 P.M.)
22
               Ms. Barber-Jones:
                                   Thank you all.
23
            CROSS-EXAMINATION
                                                  2:53 P.M.
24
               By Ms. Barber-Jones:
25
              Mr. Hill, I just have a couple clarifying
         Q
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 127 of 310

2.0

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 17

questions about the questions that Ms. Quinn asked you about your social activities with the commissioners.

Can you describe whether any of those social activities or relationships were close relationships, such as going to each other's houses?

A Probably two, one ex-commissioner, but he's been out since 2018. I've been to his house a number of times, Commissioner Oxendine, and I don't think any of the other commissioners I've been to their homes except Commissioner Oxendine and went to two events over the course of the years to Commissioner Edge's home, and I think we traveled about one time about fifteen years ago to D.C. for a weekend with our families and that was — that was I'm thinking around twelve to fifteen years ago.

Q For most of those social activities, about how many people would be involved?

A 50, 75, 100, at an event, the last -- the only two events I've been to Commissioner Edge's home, a large number of people there.

Q Are you related by marriage to any of the commissioners?

A No.

Ms. Barber-Jones: Okay, those are all my questions; thank you, all.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 128 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

```
Page 18
 1
                Ms. Quinn:
                                      All right, thank you, Mr.
 2
     Hill; I don't have any other questions.
 3
                (The proceedings were concluded at 2:55 P.M.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 129 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

	Page 19
1	
2	
3	NORTH CAROLINA
4	WAKE COUNTY
5	CERTIFICATE
6	I, David L. Overby, Notary/Reporter, do
7	hereby certify that the foregoing deposition of Shelton
8	Hill was taken by Lynn Houchins, Notary/Reporter, and
9	transcribed under my direction and that the nineteen
10	pages which constitute this deposition are a true and
11	accurate transcript of the witness's testimony.
12	I certify that I am not counsel for, or
13	employed by either party in this action, nor am I
14	interested in the outcome of this action.
15	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my
16	
17	hand this 8th day of August, 2022.
18	
19	
20	
21	_ David L. Overby
22	
23	David L. Overby
24	Notary Public
25	Certificate No.: 19930120037

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 130 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 20

	10.6 10 12 2 7	aslless 0.12	le 14.00	J:ma a4 2: 4 0: 20
A	12:6,19 13:3,7	college 9:13	couple 14:22	direct 3:4 8:20
accurate 19:11	assisting 6:1	combined 7:1,10	16:15,25	directed 6:1
Act 11:14,18	attorneys 5:6	come 10:8	course 17:11	direction 19:9
action 1:2 4:9	August 1:18	coming 11:1	court 1:1 4:20	directly 13:25
19:13,14	19:17	Commissioner	11:22 12:1	director 6:25 7:8
activities 14:1	Avenue 2:12	15:23,25 16:1	cover 12:16	7:21 8:16
17:2,3,16	B	17:8,10,11,19	covers 6:10	director/risk
activity 15:24		commissioners	created 13:18	7:23
16:1,3	B 3:3,21	10:11 15:9,19	Cross 3:4	Disabilities 11:14
Administration	back 5:8 7:8	17:2,9,22	currently 5:16,18	discriminatory
6:10	11:11 14:21	communications		14:16
affirmed 4:4	Barber-Jones	6:5	<u> </u>	discuss 9:21
afternoon 4:8	2:11 3:9 10:1	community 6:10	D 4:1,6	10:10 13:16
agency 8:7	10:19,22 11:25	6:12 16:4	D.C 17:13	discussed 10:4
ago 11:23 16:1	12:3,8 14:2,10	compliance	David 15:7 19:6	discussing 5:5
17:13,15	15:11,14 16:14	11:10,14	19:23	DISTRICT 1:1,1
Americans 11:13	16:18,22,24	concerned 10:17	Davis 2:20	DIVISION 1:2
and/or 6:1	17:24	14:16	day 19:17	documents 5:11
annual 11:18	bear 13:25	concerns 9:9,11	dealings 13:20	11:3 13:22
answer 10:2,20	began 8:6 11:5	10:24	decide 8:22 16:16	doesn't 10:8
10:22 12:10	best 5:3	concluded 18:3	decision 7:17	doing 9:3
14:3,11	Blue 6:15	conducted 11:10	9:21	don't 4:22 5:1
answered 10:1	break 16:15,20	conducting 11:7	Defendant 1:9	14:17 15:5 17:8
answering 4:24	brought 4:10	consideration	2:10	18:2
answering 4.24 answers 4:18		10:15	degrees 9:13	DOT 6:25
	C	constitute 19:10	delivery 9:4	drafted 12:17
Anthony 1:5 2:25 4:9	C 2:3 3:3 4:1,6	consuming 7:14	department 6:8	duly 4:4
· ·	16:23 19:5,5	continued 12:22	departments	duties 5:23 7:7
application 5:12	called 4:4	conversation 5:5	5:25 6:2,4 8:20	7:11,12 10:24
7:18 9:15,18	can't 9:19	correct 9:13,14	8:21 9:2 11:10	11:7,12 13:4
12:16,17 13:11	career 11:17	couldn't 12:1	deposed 4:14	duty 7:1,9
applied 9:7 10:14	Carolina 1:1,16	16:9	deposition 1:11	
12:14	2:7,13 19:3	counsel 19:12	5:7 19:7,10	E
apply 7:20,20,24	Caucasian 5:15	county 1:8 2:10	describe 17:3	E 2:3,3 3:3,3,21
8:22 9:22 12:5	Certificate 19:25	4:10 5:19,20,24	description 3:23	4:1,1,6,6 16:23
applying 9:20,24	certifications	6:1,8,9,17,20	9:6	19:5,5
area 8:4	9:17		desire 9:1	Edge 15:7,25
areas 10:16 11:11	certify 19:7,12	7:4 8:5,6,23 9:20 10:10 11:1	developing 9:2	Edge's 17:11,19
asked 11:4 17:1	citizens 9:5		development	educational 9:10
asking 14:13	Civil 1:2	11:3,5,6,20	6:10,12 8:25	EEOC 13:20
assistance 13:25	claims 11:8	12:6,20 13:3,7	Dial 1:5 2:4,25	14:8
assistant 5:19,23	clarifying 16:25	13:14,21 14:1	4:9	eight 5:25 6:13
6:25 7:4,8,21	close 17:4	14:25 15:4,8,9	didn't 10:23 13:9	eighteen 8:14
7:22 8:16,23	closely 8:25 11:6	15:12,19 16:8	13:9,16	either 19:13
9:20 11:1,5,6	closer 9:2	19:4	15.5,10	Citile 17.13
	10001 7.2			

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 131 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 21

emergency 6:5,6	G 4:1	<u> </u>	L	moved 7:8 8:5,6
employed 5:16	Garden 2:6	I'll 5:3	L 3:3 19:6,23	mute 16:19
5:18 19:13	general 5:23	I'm 4:9 5:17 6:13	large 17:20	
employee 13:14	give 4:18	6:18 8:11 10:4	Law 2:6,12	N
13:21	Glenwood 2:12	12:25 14:12,21	leadership 6:2	N 2:3 3:3,3 4:1,6
employees 8:9,12	go 7:6 16:19	14:21 15:11,13	legal 11:3,8,9	4:6 16:23,23
8:19	going 12:25	17:14	letter 12:16	name 4:8,12
employment	14:21 17:5	I've 14:21 15:1	life 15:24	Nancy 2:5 4:8
11:20	good 4:8,11,20	15:24 16:2 17:7	liked 13:15	15:11
encourage 12:5	14:24 15:2	17:9,19	limited 16:1	NCDOT 6:7
entirely 5:2	gotten 15:1	identify 5:14	list 12:25	11:21
Esquire 2:5,11	Greensboro 1:2	13:10 16:9	little 8:25 9:2	need 16:16
2:20	2:7	immediately 7:3	15:24	new 7:13
event 17:18	ground 4:17	important 4:18	lived 16:4,4	nineteen 19:9
events 17:10,19	Group 2:12	4:19	LLP 2:12	North 1:1,16 2:7
ex-commissioner		improve 9:4	long 8:3	2:13 19:3
16:2 17:6	H	inspections 6:11	lost 12:9	Notary 19:24
exhibits 3:25	H 3:21	11:10	Lynn 19:8	Notary/Reporter
expedite 9:3	hand 19:17	insurance 11:8		19:6,8
experience 11:2	happen 5:2	intensive 7:15	M	notes 5:8,10
11:13	happened 16:10	interested 19:14	M 4:6 16:23	npquinn@theq
experiences 15:2	Hartzog 2:12	interrupt 11:23	manage 5:25	2:8
	he's 4:9 17:6	12:2	management 6:1	number 3:23 8:9
F	Health 6:9	investigations	6:6,7,23 7:5	8:12 15:21 17:7
F 3:3 19:5	hear 9:25 10:2	13:24 14:8,9	11:7	17:20
families 17:14	12:1,8	involved 17:17	manager 5:19,24	0
family 9:23 10:5	held 1:14 6:16,19	involving 14:9	6:24 7:1,4,9,23	
feel 13:17 15:1	7:3	it's 4:18,19	8:4,17,23 9:21	O 3:3,3 4:1,6
felt 10:15,15	helped 16:7		11:2,5,6 12:6	16:23,23
fifteen 17:13,15	hereunto 19:15	J	12:20 13:3,8	object 11:24 14:2 objection 10:19
filling 12:23	Hill 1:11 3:5 4:3	Jail 6:9	managers 14:25	11:23 14:10
fire 6:6	4:8,13,14 5:13	job 9:6	15:4,8,12	obligations 8:18
Firm 2:6	9:24 12:5 13:1	June 5:22	Marked 3:23	Obviously 4:19
follows 4:5	14:19 16:12,25		marriage 17:21	office 6:7,7,9,23
foregoing 19:7	18:2 19:8	K K	marshal's 6:6	7:5 12:23
form 10:19 11:24	home 17:12,19	Katherine 2:11	matters 11:9	officer 6:24,24
14:2	homes 17:9	11:22	medical 6:5	11:4
fulfill 9:1	hopefully 9:3 Houchins 19:8	kbarber-jones	medications 4:23	Okay 6:4,14 7:3
fully 10:13	house 17:7	2:14 Valla 6:15	memory 4:18	10:6,23 13:13
function 7:10,16 7:19	houses 17:5	Kellie 6:15 know 5:3 12:20	MIDDLE 1:1	15:7 16:13,18
functions 6:11	hub 6:8	15:23	mind 16:15	17:24
7:17	human 7:1,15,21	known 15:25	minutes 16:15	operations 6:24
	7:22 8:16	16:2	moment 11:23	8:3
G	7.22 0.10	10.2	Monday 1:18	opportunity 8:24
		<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 132 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 22

originally 7:16	13:22	receive 10:15	8:17 11:7	Street 2:6
other's 17:5	present 2:17	received 12:19	Rob 2:20	submit 9:17
outcome 19:14	prevent 4:24	record 4:12 5:13	Robeson 1:8 2:10	successful 13:11
Overby 19:6,23	previous 12:20	Recross 3:4	4:10 5:19 6:9	Suite 2:6,12
oversight 6:3	prior 9:20,24	Redirect 3:4	6:16,19 8:6	supervise 6:4
Oxendine 16:2,2	11:1,17	referred 9:16	13:14,21	supervised 8:10
17:8,10	Probably 17:6	refresh 4:17	Roger 16:1,2	8:13
	proceedings	refresher 11:19	rules 4:17	supervision 8:20
P	16:21 18:3	reiterate 4:17		supervisory 8:17
P 2:3,3,5 4:1	process 7:18	related 17:21	S	sure 5:4 14:7,12
P.M 1:19 4:1,6	13:11	relationship	S 2:3 3:3,21 4:1	16:13,17
16:20,21,23	progress 16:7	14:24 15:5	16:23,23	system 6:25 8:15
18:3	promise 12:13	relationships	safety 6:23,24	
pages 1:25 19:10	providing 6:2	15:3 16:6 17:4	11:4	T
parents 15:23	public 6:7 19:24	17:4	see 15:19	T 3:3,3,3,21 4:6,6
part 9:18 12:2	put 13:13	remember 9:19	separate 7:17	16:23 19:5,5
participated 14:7		14:17	separated 7:7	take 4:22 14:21
Parties 1:15	Q	Remotely 1:14	serve 8:3	16:15
party 19:13	qualified 10:13	repeat 14:4	served 7:10	taken 9:16 16:20
people 9:2 14:15	10:16 13:18	rephrase 5:3	service 9:4	19:8
17:17,20	question 9:25	15:14,16	services 6:6 8:5	talk 4:19
perform 5:25	14:5 15:17	report 6:14	9:4	talked 13:1
period 7:2	questions 4:21	reporter 4:20	set 19:15	Taylor's 15:23
person 13:9	5:2 12:25 14:20	11:22 12:1	Shelton 1:11 3:5	team 11:9
personally 4:22	15:12 16:12,16	representing 4:9	4:3,13 19:7	technologies 10:7
phone 2:23	17:1,1,25 18:2	required 11:18	Sheriff's 6:9	tell 15:22
picked 13:9	quick 11:22	13:24	short 16:20	testified 4:4
placing 13:12	Quinn 2:5,6 3:7	requirements	slash 8:17	testimony 19:11
Plaintiff 1:6 2:4	4:7,9 10:3,6,9	9:10	social 15:3,5,24	textile 11:17
planning 6:11	10:25 12:4,9,12	resource 7:21,22	15:25 16:3 17:2	thank 5:16 12:2,3
please 4:22 5:3	14:6,14,19,23	resources 7:15	17:3,16	16:12,22 17:25
position 5:21 7:6	15:13,16,18	8:16	socially 15:20	18:1
7:11,13,15,24	16:11,17 17:1	resources/risk	sole 7:8	thanks 16:18
8:7,10,13,23	18:1	7:1	sorry 5:17 6:18	that's 6:13,13
9:10,15,21	R	Responding	8:11 10:3,4,21	9:14 10:7 14:20
10:14 11:16		13:22	12:2 14:21	THEREOF
12:6,13,19,21	R 2:3 4:1,6 16:23	responses 13:23	15:11,13	19:15
13:2,5,6,13,15	19:5	13:25 14:7	split 7:12,19	thing 11:11,19
13:18	race 5:13	resumed 16:21	11:12 13:3	13:23 16:13
positions 6:16,19	Raleigh 2:13 read 9:6	review 5:11	Spring 2:6	things 5:9 9:3
11:20 16:7	read 9:6 real 11:22	reviewed 5:8	staff 8:25	11:12 14:9,13
possible 5:2	really 14:12	right 5:10 14:19	start 5:21	think 6:13 10:13
preferred 9:9	reany 14:12 reason 4:23	16:11,14 18:1	state 4:12 8:7	12:9,23 13:1,3
preparation 5:6		risk 6:7,23 7:5,9	STATES 1:1	14:20 16:6 17:8
	recall 8:9,12			

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 133 of 310

Shelton S-Hill August 1, 2022

Page 23

				Page 23
17:12	W	1990 11:18	911 6:5	
thinking 6:13	WAKE 19:4	19930120037	919 2:13	
17:14	wart 4:17 15:14	19:25	2.10	
thought 8:24		1D 2:6		
14:15	wanted 13:4			
time 5:1 7:14,15	way 10:8	2		
9:12 11:8 13:17	we'll 16:18	2:29 1:19 4:1,6		
17:12	we've 13:1	2:50 16:20		
times 17:8	weekend 17:13	2:53 16:21,23		
today 4:10 5:7	went 5:8 7:11	2:55 18:3		
Tom 15:23	13:2,10 17:10	2004 8:6		
training 11:19,19	witness 3:4 4:4	2006 8:7		
trainings 9:16,18	10:4,21,23	2007 11:5		
trainings 9.10,18 transcribed 19:9	12:11 14:4,12	2012 7:10,11 8:8		
	16:13 19:15	2016 7:22,24		
transcript 4:21	witness's 19:11	2018 7:7,9,12,13		
19:11	work 8:25 9:1	8:1 17:7		
transportation	worked 8:7	2019 5:22 8:23		
6:8,25 8:4,15	working 8:19	2019 3.22 8.23 2020 12:24		
traveled 17:12	11:2,6,9 14:24	2020 12.24 2022 1:18 19:17		
true 19:10		2022 1.18 19.17 205 2:12		
truly 16:11	X	2626 2:12		
truthfully 4:24	X 3:21 4:6 16:23	272-9072 2:7		
twelve 17:15	Y	27401 2:7		
twenty 8:14				
two 8:19 17:6,10	year 12:23	27608 2:13		
17:19	years 9:1 11:17	3		
type 11:11,19	15:21,25 16:1,3	315 2:6		
13:23	17:11,13,15	336 2:7		
	you're 14:13	330 2.7		
	you've 4:16 5:5	4		
underneath 6:12	13:21	4-16 3:7		
understand 5:1	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$			
unfortunately	zoning 6:11	5		
10:6	Zoom 1:15 2:4,10	50 17:18		
UNITED 1:1	2:18			
unqualified	2.10	6		
10:18	0	670-0338 2:13		
v				
$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ 1:7	1	7		
vagaries 10:7	11:18,23,25	75 17:18		
vagaries 10:7	1:20CV01135 1:2	8		
vary 8:14 verbal 4:18	100 17:18			
	16-17 3:9	8th 19:17		
Volume 1:23	19 1:25	9		
]	-	-	-	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-cv-1135 ANTHONY DIAL, Plaintiff, vs. ROBESON COUNTY, Defendant. DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY DIAL April 27, 2022 - 10:05 a.m. Greensboro, North Carolina Stenographically Reported by: Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 135 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	Nancy P. Quinn, Esq. Quinn Law Firm
4	315 Spring Garden Street, Suite 1-D Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
5	(336) 790-4178 npquinn@triadbiz.rr.com
6	
7	On behalf of the Defendant:
8	Katherine Barber-Jones, Esq. Hartzog Law Group
9	2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 205 Raleigh, North Carolina 27608
10	(919) 670-0338 kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com
11	inal self of the s
12	
13	This is the deposition of ANTHONY DIAL,
14	taken pursuant to Notice of the parties and in
15	accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil
16	Procedure before Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR, in
17	the offices of Quinn Law Firm, 315 Spring Garden
18	Street, Suite 1-D, Greensboro, North Carolina, on
19	the 27th day of April, 2022, beginning at 10:05
20	a.m.
21	The reading and signing of this
22	transcript is reserved.
23	
24	
25	

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 136 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

1			1
			Page 3
1		INDEX	
2			PAGE
3	EXAMINATIO	4	
4			
5		EXHIBITS	
6			
7	DIAL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8		Amended Notice of Deposition	FAGE 6
9	EXHIBIT 2	Plaintiff's Responses to	28
)	DMIIDII 2	Defendant Robeson County's First	20
10		Set of Interrogatories and	
1 1		Request for Production	
11	EXHIBIT 3	Complaint	34
12			0 1
1.0	EXHIBIT 4	Photocopied Pages from	65
13		Calendar/Diary	100
14	EXHIBIT 5	Notice of Charge of Discrimination, December 4, 2019	107
15		Discrimination, becomes 1, 2013	
	EXHIBIT 6	EEOC Charge Detail Inquiry,	119
16		2/5/2020	4.0.4
17	EXHIBIT 7	Dismissal and Notice of Rights, 8/14/2020	124
18		0/14/2020	
	EXHIBIT 8	Leave and Earnings Statement and	140
19		W-2s for Years 2018, 2019, 2020,	
20		and 2021	
20	EXHIBIT 9	Assistant county manager Job	164
21		Description	
22			
23			
24			
25			

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 137 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 20

had a lot of leadership classes and supervisor training with the County, with the State --

Q. Uh-huh.

- A. -- but I cannot -- it's been so long I don't re- -- I couldn't give you the name of the classes or anything. No, I couldn't. I had a lot of leadership classes in the Marine Corps, but I didn't bring any of that paperwork with me.
- Q. But you haven't taken any courses, for example, toward a master's degree?
 - A. Oh, no. No. No. No. I haven't.
- Q. Okay. And aside from the training that you received with the County or in the Marines, is there any other certification training or coursework that you've -- you've undertaken?
- A. It probably -- it's -- it may or not be important, but I also worked with the North Carolina Department of Corrections from '94 to 2000. I was a certified correctional officer. I was a certified armorer, certified with Remington, Ruger, and Smith & Wesson. I was in charge of weapons at the prison when I worked at the prison.
 - Q. And which prison did you work at?
 - A. Lumberton -- Lumberton Correctional

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 138 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 21

Institution. I was there from, I think I said earlier, '94 to 2000.

- Q. Uh-huh.
- A. May I clear something up?
- Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- It may look odd, but during that time, Α. I was going to school at night while I was working at the prison, so that may not add up for some- -- for somebody just -- from -- from --I -- I think I started college at -- at Robeson County Community College in '95 and I -- you know, I was there for two years, but I was working at the prison at that time. Then when I transferred to UNCP, I -- I -- I still went to school at night the best I could, but it -- the prison worked with me, but I still was able to finish my degree because there were some classes I had to take during the day so they -- they worked with me. So it may not -- it may look odd, but I was working at the same time that I was going to school. It took me five years, I think.
- Q. And at that point when you worked for the Department of Corrections, that was you working as an employee of the State, correct?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 139 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 22
1	A. I was an employee with the State of
2	North Carolina, yes, for six and a half years.
3	Q. Did you participate in the state
4	employees retirement plan?
5	A. Yes, I did.
6	Q. Have you transferred your credits over
7	into the local government employees retirement
8	plan?
9	A. I don't have to
10	Q. Uh-huh.
11	A because it's just sitting there.
12	There's two different I'm sure you know.
13	There's two different, the state level and then
14	the local government, and it's still sitting
15	there. I haven't touched it.
16	Q. I understand, yes. So if you could
17	you served in the Marines from 1987 to 1993
18	A. '3.
19	Q right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. What did you do day to day when
22	you were serving as a Marine?
23	A. Obviously, I was a basic rifleman;
24	every Marine is. I went to boot camp in Parris
25	Island, South Carolina. From there, I was my

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 140 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 23

actual MOS was aviation -- or avionics, rather. I went to -- they call it Memphis. naval -- it's a air station in Memphis. At that time, it was actually Millington. I was there for basic electronics. I done very well in electronics. I was top of my class so they sent me to Mare Island, which is near San Francisco, and I was in school there for a little while. Then I come back to Memphis again, finished up avionics curriculum or whatever it was called at that time. Then I went to the Fleet and I was stationed in Jacksonville, North Carolina, and from there, I was deployed basically to the Mediterranean Sea. I came back shortly, for about a month or so, and then Saddam Hussein invaded Kuwait so I went back again to Desert Storm -- Per- -- Persian Gulf at that time, and I stayed there basically till I got out of the Marine Corps in '93. How long were you deployed overseas

- for?
- I had 15 months of oversea duties. went a hundred days without seeing land. At that time, they anchored the boat and we had two beers. I -- my sleeping quarters was about this

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 141 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 24
1	wide (indicates).
2	Q. And you when did you get married?
3	A. I got married when I got back home from
4	my deployment in May of '91.
5	Q. What is your anniversary?
6	A. May the 8th, 1991.
7	Q. Coming up soon.
8	A. Yes. She was talking about it coming
9	up here.
10	Q. And let's see. Did you go directly
11	to work in corrections after you left the
12	Marines?
13	A. Not immediately. I did a little
14	odd-and-end jobs, whatever I could find until I
15	was able to get a job with the prison, but I
16	started I I think I started at the prison
17	in '94. I think I started in June of '94.
18	Q. And what caused you to decide on
19	working for the Department of Corrections?
20	A. At that time, there were not many jobs
21	open in Robeson County. That was the you
22	know, it was a good job. It was it was, you
23	know, good benefits and I really I had a GI
24	Bill so I really wanted to do something so I
25	could continue my education. You know, it seemed

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 142 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 25
1	like the best place to go.
2	Q. And then in the year 2000, you got your
3	degree?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. You left the Department of Corrections?
6	A. Yes. They were not happy with me.
7	Q. What happened?
8	A. Because they had helped me get my
9	degree and they didn't want me to leave. They
10	really they liked me. But it was more money
11	and it was more geared to human services and I
12	thought it was it was a better fit fit for
13	me and, you know
14	Q. So you're talking about going from the
15	Department of Corrections to being employed by
16	Robeson County?
17	A. Exactly.
18	Q. Okay. I believe that you have just
19	answered my next question, which is, what brought
20	you to Robeson County DSS? Is there were
21	there any other reasons why you went to go work
22	for the County?
23	A. More ben it's surprisingly, but

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

24

25

their benefits are better than the State's.

say, again, it was an opportunity for me to

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 143 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 26 1 help -- help more people because even working at 2 the prison, it was like a revolving door. You 3 know, these guys -- just the little time I was there, they were in and out and, you know -- I 4 made -- I made a little bit of a difference but 5 6 not much. I made a lot more difference with the 7 County. Uh-huh. And did you start in Child 8 Protective Services? 9 Yes. It's called Child Protective 10 Α. 11 Services, but actually, it was -- foster care is 12 where I actually started as a foster care worker -- a foster social worker. 13 14 Did you need to do any additional 15 education or training to become a social worker? 16 Yes. I forgot I got certified in that, Α. 17 too. You -- I -- I missed that. Yes, I did. 18 Q. What --19 In fact, we had to come here to 20 Greensboro to the -- the college here for, 21 like --22 Q. UNCG? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Uh-huh. Q. 25 -- for, like, three weeks to get our Α.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 144 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 35

MS. BARBER-JONES: Once I find it.
BY MS. BARBER-JONES:

Q. This is the complaint that was filed in this matter. And it has an exhibit sticker on it that says, Exhibit 1, just because that was attached to the notice of removal that was filed in this case, but today it's Exhibit 3.

And have you seen this document before, Mr. Dial?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Okay. I will represent to you that it is the par- -- the complaint that was filed in this case and that not all of the claims in the complaint have reached the point of discovery. So I'm just going to ask you about the allegations related to the violation of Title VII section starting at Paragraph 15 about the assistant county manager position.

And in Paragraph 16 there you refer to Shelton Hill, a Caucasian male who had less education and experience and fewer qualifications than plaintiff.

What did you mean by that statement?

A. I meant for qualifications -- it gave -- I cannot tell you exact qualifications on

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 145 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 36

the -- on the job posting, but it stated -- it gave the requirements, have had an education -- college education, even a master's degree. And a combination of this and this and this and this is what the qualifications said, but I don't remember specifically what the qualifications said.

- Q. So are you saying that --
- A. I'm saying that I have a four-year degree and Mr. Shelton does not.
- Q. You also refer here to experience.

 What -- how do you have -- or how does Mr. Hill
 have less experience to you -- than you, rather,
 to your knowledge?
- A. It would be hard for me to say exactly other than I just know what I -- I can tell -- I can answer for -- exactly for what I've done as far as leadership role and being with the County in a leadership role compared to what I have seen Mr. Shelton do as a -- you know, in a leadership role or supervisor role with -- with the County. I think he had some experience with the -- we call it SEATS, which is a travel -- I mean, a transportation system with the County. I think he supervised that and he was also in charge of

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 146 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 37
1	safety with the County.
2	Q. Did you work with Mr. Hill at all in
3	your job duties with the County?
4	A. Yes and no. It would depend on what we
5	were doing. If I needed help, say, during
6	during disaster food stamps relief I don't
7	know if you're familiar with that. If we needed
8	help with transportation, we would have to
9	coordinate, obviously, with SEATS. If he was in
10	charge of that, then he would be our our point
11	of contact. So if if I worked with him, it
12	would be in that capacity
13	Q. Uh-huh.
14	A not from day to day. It would be
15	something, you know it would be hard to
16	explain but, yes.
17	Q. So you worked for DSS and he works in
18	the county structure, but sometimes you would
19	work with him if you needed
20	A. This
21	Q services
22	A. This is prior to his pos current
23	position?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 147 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 38

- Q. So to just go back and redo that question, you worked for DSS, correct?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And he worked for the County prior to this application for assistant county manager?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you would occasionally work with him if your job duties required you to get assistance with transportation?
- A. Exactly. Even when he was a safety office- -- officer for the County, if a -- if I had a vehicle that was in a accident and you -- you know, you have to get -- you'd call him and get, you know, the proper procedures of what to do for this accident. If it's -- if it's an employee, you needed to get a drug test, all that kind of -- that kind of stuff. That would be my interaction with him.
- Q. Otherwise, did you have any other interactions with him while you were undertaking your job duties or he was undertaking his?
- A. No. I can't remember. I don't think so.
- Q. Have you ever talked to other people about what Mr. Hill's job duties --

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 148 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 39

A. No.

Q. -- were?

A. No, I have not.

- Q. So is your information about Mr. Hill's experience that's known to you in making this allegation in Paragraph 16, is that just based on your interactions with him in your transportation needs for your role?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The allegation in Paragraph 16 says, less education and experience and fewer qualifications.

Does the reference to less education and fewer qualifications -- are those referring to different things?

- A. Yes and no. Education. Obviously, a four-year degree would -- compared to a high school diploma is different, I would think.

 Experience. I have a lot of experience in supervision and leadership with both the Marine Corps, Department of Corrections, and very much so with the County. I have a lot of qualifications.
- Q. So you have qualifications that are related to your supervision and leadership

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 149 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 44

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. What do you know about that?
- A. I know -- I wish I had my calendar, but I can't refer to any date in my calendar. But according to Tom Taylor, who's county commissioner, he stated that that position would go to Mr. Shelton Hill before the position was even posted or advertised. I think I skipped one of your --
 - O. Yeah. I --
 - A. Too fast. I don't know.
- Q. I'm actually going to go back and -I'm sorry to make you do this without reference
 to your application, but I'd actually just like
 to cover your employment history with the County
 real quick --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. -- and -- and go over what your duties were in each position. So when you first started with the County, what was your position?
 - A. I was a foster care social worker.
 - Q. And how long were you in that position?
- A. At least two years, maybe two and a half.
- Q. From approximately 2000 to 2002?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 150 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 45

A. Yes.

- Q. And what were your job duties in that position?
- A. I supervised visits for children that were in care. I offered services to their families. I worked on definitely reunification with the families. If those reunification efforts failed, of course, we changed the plan to guardianship with a relative, placement with a relative, or even adoption.
- Q. Did you work with anyone else in your department in this role or did -- were your job duties mainly, like, solo?
- A. We had maybe four or five other super- -- I had four or five in my unit. No.

 No. No. We had -- I wish I could tell you how many social workers we had, but, no, that was just one. I worked in one unit and we had about five social workers in my unit. We probably had about four other units in foster care because it's a combination of foster care and adoptions.
- Q. And what was your leadership role, if any, in this position?
 - A. As a worker?
 - Q. Uh-huh.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 151 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 46

- A. I wasn't a supervisor at that time.

 My -- my main, I guess, focus or job was to

 manage my caseload. I didn't supervise anybody

 other than monitoring, you know, the families,

 make sure they receive services, but it wasn't -
 it wasn't a supervisory role.
- Q. And what was the next position you held with DSS?
- A. I was a Child Protective Services investigator.
- Q. Is it possible that the formal title for that position could have been Child Protective Services Social Worker III?
- A. That's probably the technical name for it, yeah.
 - Q. And how long were you in that position?
- A. Probably another two, two and a half years.
 - Q. So approximately 2002 to 2005?
 - A. I -- I would -- yes, maybe. Yes.
- Q. And what were your job duties as an investigator?
- A. I received referrals or complaints out in the community for children that were abused or neglected and I would go out and I would

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 152 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 47
1	investigate those complaints. And if they were
2	confirmed, we would offer services. If it was
3	if it was really bad, we would take custody of
4	the children and at the same time still try to
5	front load services. I would also build that
6	case and get it to what we what we call case
7	management and case management would work with
8	that family.
9	Q. Did you have any supervisory duties in
10	this role?
11	A. No, other than, again, managing my
12	caseloads.
13	Q. And what was the next role that you had
14	with DSS?
15	A. I think I was a sup I became a
16	supervisor in 2005, I think.
17	Q. Uh-huh.
18	A. I but I I think I became a
19	supervisor in investigations. It was either
20	investigations or foster care. I can't remember.
21	Q. I have a reference to Foster Care
22	Social Work Supervisor III.
23	A. That's what I done. I supervised
24	foster care then.
25	Q. And for how long were you in that

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 153 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 48

position?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm actually -- I'm saying the same answers all over again. Two and a half years, maybe. They -- there was an -- an issue with -they had a shortage of staff or they needed help in supervision and investigations, so I think I did that for a couple years. And they asked if I would go to help with investigations as a supervisor, so I moved there probably two, two and a half years, I think. I can't remember exact years.

- And what did you do in that supervisory position?
 - Α. For the foster care --
 - Ο. Yes.

-- supervisor? Again, I managed the --Α. the unit. I managed caseloads. Then for foster care, money and budgeting are very important because you have federal funding, you have state funding, you -- you have county funding. So when a child's placed, you build that case. It's very important -- very important when you build that case, if that child receives state or federal funding, you have to make sure it's correct or you're -- or you're going to have to pay that

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 154 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 49

money back so I had to monitor that to make sure the budget was right when the child was placed for five different workers. I also had to make sure that the families received ser- -- proper services.

- Q. So when you say manage the budget, are you referring to making sure that the -- the state or federal aid received by the child was correct?
- A. That we -- that DSS receives it and when we pay out money for placement, whether it be in a group home or another setting, there's so much money that's paid per day that we have to pay, but we can get reimbursed from the feds so it has to be correct. It has to be -- if there's an error, the State -- the -- the County has to eat it. It has to -- I shouldn't use that word. The County has to pay it if we mis- -- make -- make an error with placement and with the paperwork.
- Q. Were you budgeting in the sense of having, like, a pot of money and making decisions about how that should be spent?
 - A. Yes. Yes. Definitely.
 - Q. So, for example, would you -- what

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 155 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 50

kinds of things would you have to pay for?

- A. Like, placement of the child versus if you got to pay \$3,000 a month for this child to be here or \$1500 a month here, which is the best, and and also, is the child getting proper services? You had to weigh that in as well. Anything the child needed, things like that. It varied.
- Q. Uh-huh. Did you have regulatory or policy guidance on what the child should be receiving?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Uh-huh.
- A. Yeah. Even with foster homes -- that's another thing. I forgot to mention that. With foster homes -- it's changed since I worked down there, but there's a certain amount per child depending on their age that that -- that -- that that foster home would receive for that placement and, again, we had to make sure that was correct even when -- the time that the child was there. Everything had to be right.
- Q. Uh-huh. And in addition to managing the caseloads and budgeting, did you have any other duties in that position?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 156 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 51

- A. There's always other, which -- which would mean anything that we needed at the time. If we were having a party for the children -- which I forgot to mention. I also supervised LINKS, which is a -- it's a -- a program that helps children transition that -- sometimes children don't come out of foster care. They end up aging out and LINKS helps them by either figuring out what track -- if they want to go to college, if they're going to pick up a trade, whatever they want to do, LINKS would help with those children so I also supervised that. That was another budgeting issue.
- Q. And you had mentioned that you moved over to the investigative unit as a supervisor.
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And how did your job duties as a supervisor in the investigations unit differ from your duties as a foster care supervisor?
- A. Foster care is slower pace.

 Investigations is extremely fast because you can get a referral. It could be immediate. A child may be at home alone and you have to respond immediately. Sometimes it may be a -- a referral where you'd have to respond in 24 hours, but the

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 157 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 52
1	max is 72 hours. I had to make sure those
2	investigators got their referrals, that they
3	responded in a timely manner because if you
4	didn't, we would get, you know, hits from the
5	State and lose funding. It was very each
6	each one was very important. Child Protective
7	Services is a very important area for DSS.
8	Q. And how long were you in that position?
9	A. I think two and a half years as
10	supervisor in investigations. I think I've done
11	it for about two and a half years.
12	Q. So between those two supervisor jobs,
13	were you a supervisor for, like, about five
14	years?
15	A. I think so.
16	Q. Okay. So that would take us into, I
17	think, about 2010.
18	A. '9, maybe.
19	Q. 2009? Okay.
20	A. 2009, I I was income maintenance
21	administrator, I think.
22	Q. And so what what is involved for the
23	job of income maintenance administrator?
24	A. Back then and things change rapidly
25	at DSS, but then for income maintenance

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 158 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 53

administrator, it was having food nutrition services under you, Medicaid -- which all of them are income -- considered income maintenance, but they're two different programs -- child support, office support. Also, at that time, I wrote a grant for a child ad- -- advocacy unit, a five-year grant, and -- and child advocacy unit was pretty much something that assisted with the DA's unit when you want to prosecute an alleged perp on a child. We sent investigators to, I think, South Dakota to be able to actually witness in court to -- I'd forgotten about that. I'd done that, too.

- Q. Did you obtain the grant and --
- A. Yes.
 - O. -- start a unit?
- A. Yeah, we started a unit. We had four social workers in that -- and a supervisor in that department just for ad- -- child ad- -- advocacy.
- Q. Did you have any role in serving in that unit?
- A. I was -- just as supervisor for it, just -- you know, I just -- I -- I'd go to the monthly meetings. We actually had what we --

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 159 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 54
1	back then we called them community meetings with
2	teams with the DA's office with other
3	stakeholders or anybody that had anything to do
4	with with the program, but it lasted five
5	years.
6	Q. I've heard of those referred to as
7	multidisciplinary
8	A. Yes.
9	Q meetings.
LO	A. MDTs.
L1	Q. And so you would meet with the DA's
L2	office, law enforcement, potentially medical
L3	A. Yes.
L 4	Q and social workers
L5	A. Yeah.
L 6	Q and anyone else involved in
L7	providing services?
L 8	A. In fact, that was the only piece I
L 9	could not get. I could not get anybody from
20	Robeson County, any medical provider, that would
21	be willing to be a part of it for testimony
22	and and testifying. That that was why we
23	lost the grant. I had five years to find someone
24	who would do it. I I couldn't get anybody
25	that would commit.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 160 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 55
1	Q. That's a shame.
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. So in your income maintenance
4	administrator position actually, I need to go
5	back. There's a question I forgot to ask.
6	When you were a supervisor for foster
7	care and investigations, who did you report to?
8	A. Oh, God. For foster care?
9	Q. Right. And you don't necessarily
10	need I'm more interested in, like, what was
11	the position that you or of the person who
12	you
13	1
14	1 3
15	Q reported to?
	A. I think her
16	Q. A program manager?
17	A. I think her name was Linda Galacci for
18	foster care.
19	Q. Uh-huh. And was there a program
20	manager for foster care?
21	A. Linda Galacci.
22	Q. So that's
23	A. Did I say that?
24	Q a yes?
25	A. Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 161 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 56

- Q. And when you moved over to supervise investigations, was there a different program manager that you re- -- reported to?
 - A. Tina Barnes Dawson.
 - Q. And what -- what was her --
- A. Let me stop. I can't remember if it was Tina or not. Tina was my supervisor at one time. I think Tina was the program manager when I was over there. I can't remember, but it was a program manager position.
- Q. What unit does the investigations fall under as far as the program manager? Who's above it?
- A. Program administrator for -- for Child Protective Services. CPS administrator, I guess you'd call it, is over the program managers and then the administrator reports to the director.
- Q. And who is that program administrator at that time?
 - A. Tom Oxendine.
- Q. Uh-huh. So there was someone in between you and Ms. Oxendine?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then when you moved to the income maintenance administrator position, who did you

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 162 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 57
1	report to?
2	A. The director
3	Q. Okay.
4	A Becky Morrow.
5	Q. Did you supervise anyone in that
6	position?
7	A. The child advocacy unit, the supervisor
8	for child support. The program manager see,
9	they had a program manager for at that time,
10	they had a program manager for food and nutrition
11	services and a program manager for Medicaid and I
12	supervised them.
13	Q. And they would have had reports under
14	them that they were supervising?
15	A. Yes. Exactly. We were they're
16	actually the State comes down every month to
17	evaluate the cases and then we would meet and
18	they would tell me what we were doing wrong or
19	what we needed to work on.
20	Q. And how long were you in this position
21	of income maintenance administrator?
22	A. 2009 to 2014, I think.
23	Q. And what position did you hold next?
24	A. The one I hold right now. I'm program
25	manager for child support, I'm I'm I handle

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 163 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 58
1	PR at work, and I'm the hearing officer for
2	fraud.
3	Q. You're the hearing officer for fraud?
4	A. For fraud for Medicaid if someone
5	files a a false Medicaid report or food and
6	nutrition services report that's that's
7	fal I mean actually, it's called program
8	integrity, but it's fraud.
9	Q. So this complaint about alleged
10	Medicaid fraud
11	A. Uh-huh.
12	Q that was sort of relevant to your
13	job duties at the time?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. And program integrity, program
16	manager for child support, and what was the third
17	part of your your current position?
18	A. I said PR, program integrity, and I'm
19	here I'm Medi I'm actually over child
20	support right now.
21	Q. So in your prior position as income
22	maintenance administrator, was there a program
23	manager for child support at that time?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

24

25

Α.

He le- -- that's another thing.

left and they had -- they didn't even freeze his

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 164 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 59 1 position. They just got rid of it entirely. no, there is -- there wasn't one at that time. 2 3 So what is your official title now? Q. Program manager for child support. 4 Α. 5 Okay. Is that lateral to your position 0. 6 as income maintenance --7 Α. Yes. Ο. -- administrator? 8 9 Same pay grade, Pay Grade 77. 10 Uh-huh. And then is serving as hearing Ο. 11 officer, is that, like, an additional position 12 that you hold? 13 It is. It is. It's the duty I --14 there's always other, but that's part -- that's what I do. 15 16 And then you mentioned PR. 17 Like, with the university. Like, 18 yesterday I was at Robeson Community College. 19 They had a job fair and I went out there for 20 our -- represent our agency. University of North 21 Carolina-Pembroke, when they have any functions, 22 I assist with that. I'm doing a -- what they 23 call a stand-down with the veteran's office, the 24 Lumbee Tribe. That's going to be, like, two

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

weeks and I'm -- I'll be there for that.

25

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 165 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 60
1	Q. So is the PR is that, like, a role
2	with a job description?
3	A. It is something the directors have
4	asked me to do, but it's not really I don't
5	even know that it's actually in writing. I
6	don't I don't think it is. It's just what I
7	was asked to do.
8	Q. Do you receive any additional pay or
9	compensation
LO	A. No.
L1	Q for that role?
L2	A. No, I don't.
L3	Q. And when did you start doing PR?
L 4	A. 2014.
L5	Q. And at that time, the director was
L 6	Becky Morrow, right?
L7	A. Yes.
L 8	Q. Have your job duties changed since you
L 9	started in this role in 2014?
20	A. No, other than, obviously, I had to
21	take a a leadership role during the
22	hurricanes. You know, no one saw that coming so
23	that was just something that was added.
24	Q. Can you remind me. What what year
25	were Matthew and Florence?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 166 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 61

- Α. '16 and '18.
- Q. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Two 100-year floods in less than two Α. years.
- And so the -- the shelter administrator --
- Shelter manager. I'd work hand in hand with -- with Red -- Red Cross whenever they would arrive and hopefully be able to transition it to them. Hopefully but that didn't happen.
- Did you receive additional compensation Q. for that role?
- During Matthew I wouldn't consider it compensation, but they gave us -- because we were working, like, 12- and 14-hour days, we did get something in our paycheck, but it was just that one time. It wasn't anything extra. I mean, no. That was only during Matthew. We didn't get anything for Florence and it was basically just overtime. That was all it was.
- And what is your current pay grade and salary?
- I should know that. My pay grade is a Pay Grade 77. I think with my longevity, I may be around 86,000. I'm not sure. I think we're

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 167 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 62

getting a pay raise this payday. That's what I was told anyway.

- And do you know what step you would be? 0.
- If I get another step -- I wish I could tell you. Okay. I'm -- I wish I had a copy of Year -- I'm, like, a Pay Grade 77 and then each year you're with the County, you get steps. So I'm a Pay Grade 77, Step 21.
 - Okay. 2000 until 2000- --Q.
 - 2020- -- exactly. Α.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- It's so much per year for incentive raises to try to get employees to stay, retain employees.
- So how do you believe that your experience as we've discussed it in the past half hour or so would have transitioned over into qualifications for the assistant county manager position?
- I hate -- I hate to ask this: could they not? You know, an assistant county manager position, it's a key position because you have all these other departments under the County including sort of DSS. Even though DSS reports to -- is -- is a stand-alone almost and so is the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 168 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 63
1	health department, but like, the water
2	department, parks and rec, all the other
3	departments, waste management, all of that falls
4	under the County. I don't mean to be coy or
5	whatever, but all you really need leadership,
6	you really need experience in budgeting, you
7	really need experience with being able to
8	collaborate with other entities because you
9	always need help especially during an emergency.
10	You need to know how to speak with workers. You
11	need to be, you know, able to communicate.
12	All all that comes from leadership is what
13	I would think you would need as assistant county
14	manager.
15	Q. And there are two assistant county
16	managers, right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. The other one is Jason King?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And do the two co assistant county
21	managers have responsibility for different areas
22	of the County's work?
23	A. Yes, they do.
24	Q. So within the position that you were
25	applying for, do you know what programs that

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 169 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 64

position would be responsible for?

- A. I -- I do not. Part of her questions, I think, had something to do with the water department so I assume the water department would be under that position, but I don't -- I really don't know. I really couldn't answer that question because I don't know. I don't know how Ms. Blue has the -- you know, the departments under each admin- -- I mean, manager. I don't know.
- Q. And at the time that you were applying for the position of assistant county manager, who do you think would have been the most knowledgeable about the qualifications for that position?
 - A. Ms. Blue, I would think.
- Q. Uh-huh. And she actually held that position at one point, right?
 - A. She did.
- Q. Uh-huh. Did you talk to anyone about, you know, what that position did or what the qualifications were before you interviewed for the position?
- A. Just -- I just read the qualifications from the job posting.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 170 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 65
1	Q. Uh-huh. And I think my records reflect
2	that you applied for the job in February of
3	2015 or February of 2019. Does that
4	A. I think so. I I don't remember the
5	exact date.
6	Q. All right. I am going to go through
7	and give out copies of a document that I got from
8	your attorney and I think you would have given to
9	her and mark this as Exhibit 4.
10	(DIAL EXHIBIT 4, Photocopied Pages from
11	Calendar/Diary, was marked for identification.)
12	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
13	MS. BARBER-JONES: Sorry. That was a
14	brain lapse.
15	BY MS. BARBER-JONES:
16	Q. And I'll let you look through it and
17	then we'll talk about what it is.
18	MS. BARBER-JONES: And, actually, would
19	you all be agreeable to about a five-minute
20	break?
21	MS. QUINN: That's probably good for
22	him to review this many pages.
23	MS. BARBER-JONES: Uh-huh. So if we
24	could go off the record.
25	(Whereupon, there was a recess in the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 171 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 68
1	not answer that call or those calls or another
2	call that you received on Thursday.
3	Is that an accurate reading of your
4	notes here?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Uh-huh. Okay. And after that note
7	also on Thursday, January 3rd, it says, spoke
8	with Tom Taylor and Reese three-way.
9	Is that Reese Oxendine?
LO	A. Yes, it is.
L1	Q. Okay. And who is Reese Oxendine?
L2	A. He is a county employee. He works with
L3	the waste management system.
L 4	Q. What is his role with the waste
L5	management system?
L 6	A. He is, like, a I would call it law
L7	enforcement. Anyone if he finds any
L 8	anybody that's violating litter policies or
L 9	rules, he's he has authority to write a a
20	litter ticket or citation.
21	Q. Is he in a supervisory or leadership
22	role?
23	A. No. No. Just enforcement.
24	Q. And it says on a three-way. Does that
25	mean a three-way call?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 172 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 69
1	A. Yes, it does.
2	Q. And what were you discussing on the
3	three-way call?
4	A. I can't remember why Reese would be
5	calling me, but he put Tom Taylor on three-way.
6	Reese called me and he put Tom Taylor on the
7	call.
8	Q. Why did Reese call you?
9	A. I can't remember exactly why he'd be
10	calling me that day. I might have been out still
11	with my grandson, who was born that day before.
12	Q. And what is your relationship with
13	Reese Oxendine?
14	A. We're friends.
15	Q. Do you have any interaction in your job
16	roles for the County?
17	A. With Reese?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. Again, I forgot. I I'm responsible

for the recycling project at DSS and I did get assistance from waste management in placing recycling stations all throughout the agency separating plastics and bottles and regular trash.

Q. Is that done through Reese?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

20

21

22

23

24

25

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 173 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

n		
es		

Page 70

A. He assisted or gave me knowledge or what to do. He assisted.

- Q. But other than that, your job duties don't overlap?
 - A. With Reese? No.
- Q. Yes. And then Tom Taylor is a Robeson County commissioner?
 - A. Yes, he is.
- Q. And it said, asked about county business. What does that mean?
- A. Where I'm from, if a county commissioner calls you or you're talking to them, you'll -- normally you'll say, what's going on? It's basically county business. Anything -- what's new coming, you know, what can we expect? Example would be, you think we'll get a cost-of-living raise this year, things like that.
- Q. And so is this a -- a conversation among friends and acquaintances or would you consider this to be a conversation you had as part of your job duties for -- for DSS?
- A. Friends and acquaintances, I would guess.
 - Q. Are you friends with Tom Taylor?
 - A. He says that we are friends.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 174 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 71

Q. You don't agree?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Depends on what you call a friend -your definition of a friend is, but I -- I
 would -- I -- it would be hard to answer. I
 couldn't answer that question.
- Q. Could you describe for me the nature of your relationship with Tom Taylor.
- I'm a county employee. He's a county Α. commissioner. We speak when we see each other. If I need something for DSS, say -- ano -- an example would be during COVID, no one could get their hands on hand sanitizer. Mr. Taylor is on the board with the county fair. The county fair has those big barrels with water and soap that you can wash your hands. That's -- that's all we could get our hands on during COVID because nobody could get their hands on any hand sanitizer. I -- I actually called Mr. Taylor and asked could we borrow one for DSS to put at the front door. That's the kind of calls I would make with Mr. Taylor. He was -- since he's on the board, he was able to get one for the front and the back of the entrance during COVID. would help me if I asked for help and I would do the same for him.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 175 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 72

- Q. So you would call him about things for the County, but maybe this wasn't, you know, a -- an official -- I'm sorry. I'm blanking on the term. -- line of leadership or --
- A. No. It was just Reese called me and I answered the phone.
- Q. Uh-huh. And it says that -- it just -- when you -- in your description in the entry for January 3rd, it goes on to say, stated assistant comanager position should be filled by a white person, She- -- Shelton Hill.

Did somebody state that on the call?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Who said that?
- A. Commissioner Tom Taylor.
- Q. And how did it come up that he said that?
- A. I think Reese asked about the county commissioner -- assistant county manager. Did you have -- here's how Reese said it: Do you have any idea about the assistant county count- -- county manager position? And then that's when Tom said what he just said and what you just read.
 - Q. And was he saying that as his own

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 176 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 73
1	personal opinion?
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Do you did he give any context for
4	why he was saying that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What did he say?
7	A. He said, you would not have two Indians
8	and African-American in administration. You'd
9	have to have a white.
LO	Q. So are you saying that because you and
L1	Ms. Kellie Blue are Native American and the other
L2	assistant county manager who's currently in that
L3	position is African-American Jason King,
L 4	right?
L5	A. Yes.
L 6	Q are you saying that Mr. Taylor said
L7	you, Anthony Dial, could not be in the position
L 8	of assistant county manager because you're Native
L 9	American?
20	A. That's what I gathered.
21	Q. Did he outright say that?
22	A. Yes, he did.
23	Q. What did he say precisely?
24	A. Exactly what I just said. He said that
25	we would not have two Native Americans, an

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 177 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 74
1	African-American uptown. You'd have to have a
2	white as well.
3	Q. Uh-huh. And was he saying we as in the
4	board of commissioners?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Was he saying this as we this is my
7	personal opinion and I'm going to talk to the
8	other county commissioners about it or was he
9	telling you that this is what the other county
10	commissioners felt about it?
11	A. He just said we. That was how he
12	exactly how he I can't
13	Q. So he didn't specifically tell you who
14	he was talking about?
15	A. He said we.
16	Q. We. And you inferred that he was
17	referring to the county commissioners?
18	A. I would think so.
19	Q. Okay. When you inferred that, were
20	there any specific county commissioners who you
21	thought he might have been referring to?
22	A. I was I it would be speculation
23	if I even said. I couldn't I don't know.
24	Q. Okay. Why would Reese bring Tom Taylor
25	onto the phone other than to talk about the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 178 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 75
1	assistant county manager position?
2	A. I think they were actually in a
3	conversation about something else and they
4	they called me. I really don't know why they
5	were talking. I don't know.
6	Q. So it may have been that he and
7	Mr. Taylor were on the phone and they brought you
8	in?
9	A. Yes. Exactly.
10	Q. Okay. And then your note goes on to
11	say, however, stated Shelton does not have a
12	four-year degree.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Is that something that Tom said
15	Taylor said to you?
16	A. No. It's just a note.
17	Q. Ah. Well, it says, stated; is that
18	right?
19	A. Maybe Reese may have said that then
20	Q. You
21	A because Tom didn't say it.
22	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Taylor say anything
23	related to that statement about Shelton Hill does
24	not have a four-year degree?
25	A. I can't remember what Tom said after

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 179 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 76

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And the -- the next note in here says, which means they will rewrite the job classification to fit Mr. Hill.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did somebody say that?
- A. No. But for my speculation of him not ha- -- I -- I -- I pretty much thought that a position as assistant county manager, you -- the minimum would be a four-year degree. Most people would think that.
- Q. In your opinion, are you saying that you don't think there is any equivalent of experience and training that could replace a four-year degree in the qualifications for assistant county manager?
- A. It would be difficult. I'm sure it's possible.
- Q. It would be difficult, but you're sure it's possible?
 - A. It -- it could be.
- Q. It could be possible. At that point in time when you had this conversation on January 3rd of 2019, had you seen any copies of the job description for assistant county manager?

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 180 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Lage //

- A. I don't even think it had been advertised. Like I said earlier, I don't think it had been posted.
 - Q. So how would people be discussing the availability of that position?
 - A. I can't remember when Kellie Blue moved up so it was a vacant position so people are going to talk about that vacant position. It's vacant so they're going to ask about it. I can't remember exactly when Kellie moved.
 - Q. Was there any --
 - A. You had --
 - Q. -- assumption among people that the position would be filled internally by someone working for the County already?
 - A. I don't know.
 - Q. And then the final sentence here says, another discrimination suit in the making.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So what -- what does that mean?
 - A. The County has a history of discriminating against employees.
 - Q. Are you talking about discrimination suits filed against the County?
- 25 A. Yes.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 181 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 78
Q. Okay.
A. Or at least complaints.
Q. And so you believed that what you heard
from or from Mr. Taylor during this
conversation reflected potential discrimination
by the County?
A. Yes.
Q. Does this refer at all to you planning
to file a discrimination suit?
A. No. I didn't plan it. I did not plan
it.
Q. Is there anything else that you
remember about this conversation on January 3rd
that we haven't discussed?
A. No. I think you've been pretty
Q. Okay. So going on to the next page
that I have on Exhibit 4, it looks like it's
February 11th, which is a Monday at the top. So
jumping from January 3rd to February 11th. It
looks like the just above that entry is an
EEOC charge number.
Is that what that is?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that written by you about one of
your charges?

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 182 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 79

A. Yes.

- Q. And it says, informed Velvet is fishing for e-mails. What does that refer to?
 - A. I'm trying to think. This was in 2019?
 - Q. Uh-huh.
- A. I think Ms. -- it says, referenced Mitszi. She's a supervisor in child support. I think she had an opening, maybe, and there may have been some kind of conversation about positions and I think Ms. Velvet was asking about certain e-mails and I just wrote that down. I really don't know specifically what it was about now. I can't remember.
- Q. When you use the word fishing, do you mean that she had some kind of bad intent?
- A. No. She was just asking questions about some kind of e-mails in reference to positions -- possible positions. I don't even know if they even had an opening.
- Q. Uh-huh. And then after that it says, assistant county manager position posted.
- A. I think it posted on February 11th if I wrote it down.
- Q. And it says after that, informed position will be filled by Mr. Shelton Hill.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 183 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 80
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did somebody inform you of that on
3	February 11th?
4	A. No. That was my note from January 3rd.
5	I just put it as a reminder
6	Q. So that
7	A for me.
8	Q. Oh, sorry.
9	A. It's just a remind you can I
10	talk?
11	Q. I yes. I was stopping myself from
12	interrupting you.
13	A. That's just a reminder from the note
14	from the 3rd.
15	Q. So that that note on February 11th,
16	informed position will be filled by Mr. Shelton
17	Hill, refers back to that note from January
18	A. Back to
19	Q 3rd?
20	A the previous note, yes.
21	Q. Uh-huh. And then moving on to the next
22	page, I believe this is Friday, February 15th,
23	just judging from part of the page before.
24	Does that look about right to you?
25	A. Yes.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 184 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 81

Q. Okay. And it looks like you said that you dropped off your assistant county manager application. It says, reception downtown HR.

Is that where you dropped off your application?

- A. You would think you would but -- yes, I did, but luckily, I -- which you -- I'm sure you're going to get to it. You're supposed to do it online, but I dropped a paper application off downtown and left it with HR and I documented it because, obviously, I wanted to make sure they had it on time. And I did let Ms. Velvet know that I was doing that, that I was applying for that position.
- Q. And did you schedule a meeting with her to let her know?
- A. No. I just walk- -- her off- -- we're -- we're in the same wing. I just walked by and just told her what I was doing.
- Q. And it says here, stated I am doing an awesome job and would make an excellent assistant county manager.

Is that referring to something Ms. Nixon said?

A. Yes. About me.

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 185 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

D 2 6 6	0 2
Page	82

- 1 Q. During that conversation on February 2 15th?
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And then moving on to the next page, 0. this entry on February 18th is circled. It said, hand delivered college transcript to assistant HR Brian.
- I can't remember Brian's last name. He's -- he's no longer with the County, but his first name is Brian.
- So at this point, you were still submitting paper application materials. Under that it says, spoken with T Taylor. Is that Tom Taylor?
 - Yes, it is. Α.
- And stated assistant county manager would go to Shelton Hill.
 - Α. Yes.
- So how did you end up speaking with Mr. Taylor on February the 18th?
- Okay. Went -- downtown Lumberton is Α. where our HR is. I dropped my transcript off there. Tom Taylor has a business downtown. I stopped and spoke and said hello to him and I did. I asked about the assistant county manager

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 186 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 83
1	job and, again, he said that would go to Shelton
2	Hill.
3	Q. And when he said this, did he say this
4	in the context of telling you to expect not to
5	receive the position?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did he say this as a as a as
8	though it was a fact or
9	A. Yes. It appeared.
LO	Q. Did he state anything indicating it was
L1	his opinion like, I think the position should go
L2	to Shelton Hill?
L3	A. No. It was not in his opinion; it was
L 4	a fact.
L5	Q. And the next day, Tuesday the 19th, it
L 6	says that you had breakfast with Sheriff Ferris.
L7	Is that the county sheriff?
L 8	A. Yes, it is.
L 9	Q. Okay. And was it for a work breakfast
20	or a personal matter?
21	A. It was work. It was break it
22	actually, his name is Burnis Wilkins. That's
23	just my note, the way I wrote it. Fran's is the
24	actual restaurant.
25	Q. Ah, at Fran's.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 187 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 134

happened during your actual interview for --

A. For my --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- this position.

Α. I went into a room and I'd forgotten my glasses and I think I was -- I was given like a -- some type of budget thing and it had -it -- yeah, you wouldn't tell what department it was or what was going on, but you had to look at the numbers and figure out what offset was or why the numbers were in the red or why this was going I had to explain the budget from -- from what -- from what I was reading. And I just wrote down what I thought it was and I really don't know what the answer was. I -- no one ever told me. I think it had a lot -- and I think, again -- I keep saying the water department. It had something do with the water department and the County getting new meters that you don't have to actually physically inspect. Some kind of internet or some kind of a signal to get their meter readings and I was explaining that from what it looked like to me, because the -- the budget had gone down in maintenance and up in buying equipment and to me, it was them buying that upgraded equipment versus staff and that's

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 188 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 135
1	how I explained it when I answered the question,
2	I think.
3	Q. Uh-huh. So no one ever told you, like,
4	what the correct answer to that
5	A. I have no idea.
6	Q. Did you answer any questions during
7	your interview?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Who interviewed you?
LO	A. Kellie Blue.
L1	Q. Did was anyone else in there asking
L2	questions?
L3	A. No.
L 4	Q. Was anyone else in there, period?
L5	A. Her clerk came in and out but didn't
L 6	stay. There was also a time reading that on
L7	that budget. I can't remember how much it
L8	was, like, ten or 15 minutes.
L 9	Q. Did you do that before or after you
20	answered questions?
21	A. Before I answered. The questions were
22	the last.
23	Q. Uh-huh. Did anyone tell you in advance
24	of the interview what the questions would be?
25	A. Oh, no.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 189 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 136
1	Q. And before this interview, were you
2	previously acquainted with Kellie Blue?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. What is the nature of your
5	relationship, if any, with Kellie Blue?
6	A. Again, just county business. You know,
7	if I need something, she she helps me. If
8	she's needing something, I'll I'll help her
9	just if you know, if she needs something from
10	my department.
11	Q. Did you know her when you were or,
12	rather, when she was in the assistant county
13	manager position?
14	A. I knew her also when she was in
15	finance.
16	Q. Do you associate with her outside of
17	the office at all?
18	A. Rarely. Rarely. I I in fact, I
19	saw her at the bank yesterday and I spoke to her.
20	Q. So you're you're work acquaintances.
21	Would that be accurate?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And Kellie Blue is Native American
24	A. Yes.
25	O right? Do vou have any reason to

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 190 of 310

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 137

believe that she would view your qualifications more negatively because you're a Native American?

- A. I don't know. I would -- I would hope not, but I don't know.
- Q. Do you have any information or evidence known to you that would indicate to you she would view your qualifications more negatively because you're Native American?
- A. As I said earlier, the only way that I could think was if she was influenced by the commissioners to get someone else of a different race because they are her bosses and she does what they tell her to do.
- Q. Do you know or has anyone told you about any conversations between commissioners and Ms. Blue about the selection for this position?
 - A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- Q. So no one has told you a- -- about any conversations between Ms. Blue and any commissioner?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the board of commissioners called her into a closed session to discuss the selection process?
 - A. It's possible, but I can't say yes or

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 191 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 138

no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Uh-huh. Do you -- do you think that's something that Mr. Cummings would have told you about if he was present for a closed session where the assistant county manager selection process was discussed?
- A. He was not in that circle. If there was a meeting, he would not have been a part of it.
- Q. So I'm talk- -- he was a county commissioner at that point in 2019, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm talking about an official meeting of the board of commissioners. If they went into closed session and discussed the selection for this position, do you believe that Mr. Cummings would have told you about it?
- A. I can't say because that was the time that he was sick. I can't say. He was in and out of the hospital, I think.
- Q. Have you ever discussed not getting this position with Kellie Blue?
- A. No. I mean, we -- I wouldn't do that. That's not professional. I wouldn't do that.
 - Q. Have you ever discussed not getting

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 192 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Page 175
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	I, ANTHONY DIAL, do hereby certify that I
3	have read the foregoing transcript of my
4	testimony, and further certify that it is a true
5	and accurate record of my testimony (with the
6	exception of the corrections listed below):
7	Page Line Correction
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	WITNESS my hand and seal on this, the
17	day of, 20
18	<u> </u>
19	WITNESS SIGNATURE
20	This deposition was signed in my presence by
21	, on the,
22	20
23	
24	NOTARY PUBLIC NOTARY NO.
25	My commission expires:

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 193 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 176

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

North Carolina

Wake County

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I, Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR, Notary Public in and for the State of North Carolina, certify that on April 27, 2022, in Greensboro, North Carolina, ANTHONY DIAL, having produced satisfactory evidence of identification and having been first duly sworn by me to tell the truth, thereupon testified as set forth in the preceding 175 pages, exclusive of errata sheet and signature page, if required, the examination being reported by me verbatim and reduced to typewritten form by me personally.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or in the employ of the parties to this action; that I am not related by blood nor connected by marriage to the parties of this action; that I am not interested in the outcome thereof; that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of said proceeding to the best of my ability and understanding.

This the 10th day of May, 2022.

Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR

Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR Notary Public, #19981350007

www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 194 of 310

EQUAL EMPLO		OMB Control No. 3046-0008							
STATE AND LOCAL	. GOVERNMENT INFOR EEOC Form 164	MAT	ION REPORT (EEO	-4)					
	Control Number:		0790						
A	. TYPE OF GOVERNMEN	NT (C	Check one box on	y)					
☐ 1. State	ınty 🔲 3. Cit	ty	4. Tow	nship	5. Sp	pecial District			
6. Other (Specify)									
	B. IDENTI	FIC	ATION						
	1. NAME OF POLIT								
	ROBESON	A CO	UNIY						
2. MAILING ADDRESS	CITY/TOWN		COUNTY	STATE		ZIP			
701 N ELM ST	LUMBERTON		ROBESON NC		NC				
	C. FUNC	стіо)N						
FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION collection, budgeting, purchasing, similar financial administration car auditor's or comptroller's office and auditor's or comptroller's office.	N. Tax billing and central accounting and ried on by a treasurer's,	~	8. HEALTH . Provision of public health services, outpaticlinics, visiting nurses, food and sanitary inspections, mental health, alcohol rehabilitation service, etc.						
GENERAL CONTROL. Duties usual of supervisors or commissioners, coffices and agencies, central perso agencies, all judicial offices and en magistrates, bailiffs, et al.)	ally performed by boards entral administration		 HOUSING. Cod fair housing ordina housing rehabilitati 	nce enforcemer	nt, ho	rent public housing, using for elderly,			
STREETS AND HIGHWAYS. M construction and administration of sidewalks, roads, highways, and bi	streets, alleys,		10. COMMUNITY development, oper			anning, zoning, land n, preservation.			
3. PUBLIC WELFARE . Maintenand institutions for the needy; administration assistance. (Hospitals should be re	ration of public		11. CORRECTION homes, halfway ho activities.	S . Jails, reform uses, prisons, p	atorie arole	es, detention and probation			
4. POLICE PROTECTION . Duties sheriff's, constable's, coroner's offi technical and clerical employees el activities.	ce, etc. Including		12. UTILITIES AN supply, electric powdransportation and	ver, transit, gas		ON . Includes water ports, water			
5. FIRE PROTECTION . Duties or and clerical employees. (Forest fire should be reported under function	the uniformed fireforce protection activities 6.)		13. SANITATION garbage and refuse maintenance and casystems and sewage	sal. Provision,					
6. NATURAL RESOURCES . Agricu fire protection, irrigation drainage,			14. EMPLOYMEN governments only.	SECURITY. S	State				
PARKS AND RECREATION. Provoperation of parks, playgrounds, s auditoriums, museums, marinas, z	wimming pools,	~	15. OTHER (Specif	·y.)					
7. HOSPITALS . Operation and ma for inpatient medical care.	intenance of institutions		X - SUMMARY FUN	CTION SELECTE	D				

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D.	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	nction 3	- PUBLIC	WELFA	RE					
	1					1.	FULL-TI	ME EMPL	OYEES								
				1				RACE	/ETHNIC	ITY							
			ANIC OR	NOT-HISPANIC OR LATINO													
		LA	ATINO MALE FEMALE														
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS	
	1. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
RS	2. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
S- TO	3. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IAL TR/	4. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	5. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	6. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
AD	7. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	8. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	9. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PROFESSIONALS	10. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	11. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	12. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	
ES	13. \$33.0 - 42.9	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	14	0	0	3	0	22	
30₽	14. \$43.0 - 54.9	1	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	13	24	0	0	23	0	67	
4	15. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	7	10	0	0	12	0	32	
	16. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	
	17. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	18. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TECHNICIANS	19. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Į.	20. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Ξ	21. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IEC	22. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
•	23. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	
	24. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ш	25. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SERVICE	26. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ER	27. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
VE S	28. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	29. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ŢĒ	30. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PROTECTI	31. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	32. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
N,	33. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NAL	34. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	
101	35. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
ESS	36. \$25.0 - 32.9	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	17	18	0	0	24	0	63	
20F	37. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	14	13	0	0	17	0	46	
AP	38. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	15	6	0	0	14	0	37	
PARAPROFESSIONALS	39. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	
-	40. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D 1	EMPLOYM	FNT DA	ΤΔ ΔS Ω	F IIINF	30	F	unction 3	B - PUBLI	C WELF	ARE				
			LIMI LOTTI	LIVI DA	<u> </u>	1.		IME EMPL								
							TOLL T		/ETHNIC	ITY						
		HTSPA	ANIC OR							IC OR LAT	TNO					
			TINO		MALE FEMALE											
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	41. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
¥.	42. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I E	43. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
l R	44. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	7	0	0	6	1	24
NIS	45. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	5
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	46. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
ĄĘ,	47. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	48. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	50. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
₹	51. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SKILLED CRAFT	52. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	53. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
K	54. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
, v	55. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	56. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ш	58. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	59. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
I CE	60. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SE.	61. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	62. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	63. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	64. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65. TO	OTAL FULL-TIME	3	3	13	6	0	0	9	0	93	98	0	0	105	1	331
(1	LINES 1-64)		<u> </u>			<u> </u>						L				<u> </u>
	ICIALS - MINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	2. OTHI	O 0	O 0	0 0	7EES	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HNICIANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HNICIANS TECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	APROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
71. ADM	INISTRATIVE	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
73. SER		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	AL OTHER THAN	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	6
(LI	NES 66 - 73)		1													<u> </u>

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 197 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Reporting Year: 2019

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

	D. I	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	unction 3	- PUBLI	C WELFA	ARE				
		RACE/ETHNICITY													
		NIC OR					NOT	-HISPAN	IC OR LAT	INO					
	LA	TINO			MA	ALE					FEM	IALE			
	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	•		3. N	IEW HIRE	S DURING	G EEO-4 F	ISCAL YE	AR (JULY	1 – JUNE	30)	•				
75. OFFICIALS – ADMINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76. PROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	13	0	0	4	0	21
77. TECHNICIANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
78. PROTECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79. PARAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	8	0	17
80. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	5
81. SKILLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82. SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83. TOTAL NEW HIRES (LINES 75 - 82)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	21	0	0	13	1	43
İ						ENCIEC I					_				

E. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

F. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES NOT INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

G. REMARKS ABOUT THIS FUNCTION REPORT

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D. 1	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	nction 4	- POLICE	E PROTE	CTION						
						1.		ME EMPL	OYEES									
									/ETHNIC	ITY								
	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	HISPA	ANIC OR							IC OR LAT	INO							
			TINO		MALE FEMALE													
JOB CATEGORY		MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS		
	1. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
RS	2. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S-	3. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TR/	4. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FIC	5. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	6. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
4	7. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	8. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
	9. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
S	10. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
PROFESSIONALS	11. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SIO	12. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FES	13. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<u> </u>	14. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
•	15. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	16. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	17. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
v	18. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TECHNICIANS	19. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	20. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
l ¥	21. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2		
Ĕ	22. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2		
	23. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	24. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
뿡	25. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	10		
ERVICE	26. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
SER	27. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ш	28. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
PROTECTIV	29. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	26	5	0	0	21	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	56		
)TE	30. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	24	8	0	0	21	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	55		
PR(31. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	6	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		
	32. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
S	33. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Ι×Χ	34. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
PARAPROFESSIONALS	35. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
FES	36. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
80	37. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
RAP	38. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
PAI	39. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	40. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D.	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fi	unction 4	- POLIC	E PROT	ECTION				
						1.	FULL-T	ME EMPL	OYEES		-	·		-		
								RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
			ANIC OR					NOT	HISPAN	C OR LAT	INO					
		LA	TINO			M/	ALE					FEM	IALE			
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	41. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ų	42. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÉL	43. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A R	44. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
NIS	45. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	46. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
ΑD	47. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	48. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	50. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SKILLED CRAFT	51. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	52. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ä	53. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ą	54. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	55. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	56. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ш	58. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	59. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ĭ. N	60. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
S E	61. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S I	62. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	63. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	64. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65. T	OTAL FULL-TIME	0	0	63	15	0	0	46	0	7	5	0	0	9	0	145
(1	LINES 1-64)	U	U		13			70	<u> </u>	,		J		9	J	175
			ı		. 2	2. OTHI	ER THAN F	ULL-TIME	EMPLOY	/EES	T	1		,		1
	ICIALS - IINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67. PRO	FESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68. TEC	HNICIANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
69. PRO	TECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
70. PAR	APROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MINISTRATIVE PORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
72. SKI	LLED CRAFT	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
73. SER MAI	VICE - NTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FUL	TAL OTHER THAN L-TIME	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
(LI	NES 66 - 73)															

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 200 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

Reporting Year: 2019

	D. I	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fı	unction 4	- POLIC	E PROTE	ECTION				
							RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
		NIC OR					NOT	-HISPAN	C OR LAT	INO					
	LA	TINO			M/	ALE					FEM	ALE			
	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
			3. N	IEW HIRE	S DURING	G EEO-4 F	ISCAL YE	AR (JULY	1 – JUNE	30)			'		
75. OFFICIALS – ADMINISTRATORS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
76. PROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
77. TECHNICIANS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
78. PROTECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	10	4	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	21
79. PARAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
81. SKILLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82. SERVICE – MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83. TOTAL NEW HIRES															

F. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES NOT INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

nc0780000

(LINES 75 - 82)

G. REMARKS ABOUT THIS FUNCTION REPORT

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D . I	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	nction 8	- HEALT	Н					
						1.	FULL-TI	ME EMPL	OYEES							
								RACE	/ETHNIC	ITY						
			ANIC OR					NOT	-HISPAN	IC OR LAT	TINO					
		LA	TINO		ı	M.A	LE					FEM	IALE			
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	1. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RS	2. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S-	3. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TR	4. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	5. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PMI	6. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A D	7. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	8. \$70.0 PLUS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	9. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	10. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ϋ́	11. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SIO	12. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PROFESSIONALS	13. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	11
80	14. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	5	0	0	13	0	27
•	15. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	20
	16. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	8
	17. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	18. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TECHNICIANS	19. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	20. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	21. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	11
Ľ	22. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	6
	23. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	5
	24. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	4
S	25. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE	26. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	27. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IVE	28. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PROTECTIV	29. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ę O	30. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	31. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	32. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ALS	33. \$0.1 - 15.9 34. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARAPROFESSIONALS	35. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
SSI	36. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
)FE	37. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
PR	38. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\ ₹	39. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	40. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D . I	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	F	unction 8	B - HEAL	ГН					
						1.	FULL-T	ME EMPL	OYEES							
								RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
			ANIC OR					NOT	HISPAN	C OR LAT	INO					
		LA	TINO			MA	LE					FEM	IALE			
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	41. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	42. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ę.	43. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A S	44. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	0	0	2	0	20
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	45. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	8
N S	46. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
ΑC	47. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	4
	48. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	49. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	50. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
¥	51. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ď	52. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SKILLED CRAFT	53. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ř	54. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
v	55. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	56. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ш	58. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	59. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
NA II	60. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
S E	61. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I SI	62. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	63. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	64. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	OTAL FULL-TIME LINES 1-64)	1	10	3	1	1	0	7	0	42	17	1	0	50	0	133
					2	. OTH	ER THAN F	ULL-TIM	E EMPLOY	/EES						
	ICIALS - MINISTRATORS	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	7
67. PRO	FESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68. TEC	HNICIANS	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	7	0	17
69. PRO	TECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70. PAR	APROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	INISTRATIVE PORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
72. SKII	LLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
73. SER MAI	VICE - NTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FUL	TAL OTHER THAN L-TIME INES 66 – 73)	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	0	9	0	25

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 203 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Reporting Year: 2019

00110101110111001101200100	
Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY	

	NEW HIRES														
							RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
							NOT	HISPAN	C OR LAT	INO					
	LAT	TINO			MA	LE					FEM	ALE			
	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
		ı	3. N	IEW HIRE	S DURING	G EEO-4 F	SCAL YE	AR (JULY	1 – JUNE	30)					•
75. OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76. PROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	0	8
77. TECHNICIANS	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	7
78. PROTECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79. PARAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
81. SKILLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82. SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83. TOTAL NEW HIRES (LINES 75 - 82)	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	10	0	20

E. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

F. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES NOT INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

G. REMARKS ABOUT THIS FUNCTION REPORT

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D . 1	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	nction 15	5 - OTHE	:R					
						1.		ME EMPL								
							TOLL 12		/ETHNIC	ITV						
		HTSPA	ANIC OR							IC OR LAT	TINO					
			TINO			м/	ALE		IIIOI AIL	OK EA	1110	EEN	1ALE			
					_	1-17		_			_			_		
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	1. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RS	2. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S-S-	3. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TR	4. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	5. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PA	6. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A	7. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	8. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	9. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	10. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PROFESSIONALS	11. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SIC	12. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FES	13. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
8	14. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
_	15. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	16. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	17. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>v</u>	18. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M	19. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TECHNICIANS	20. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4
동	21. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	9	1	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	7	0	23
H H	22. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	5	2	0	0	6	0	20
	23. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5
-	24. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G	25. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERVICE	26. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	27. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IVE	28. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0			0	0	1
PROTECTIV	29. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
D I	30. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
8	31. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-	32. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\LS	33. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARAPROFESSIONALS	34. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SSI	35. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
) E	36. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRC	37. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
[₩]	38. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A A	39. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	40. \$70.0 PLUS	U	U	1 0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	J	U	U

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D. 1	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	unction 1	5 - OTH	ER					
						1.	FULL-T1	ME EMPL	OYEES							
								RACE	/ETHNIC	ITY						
		HISPA	ANIC OR					NOT-	-HISPAN	IC OR LAT	INO					
		LA	TINO			MA	\LE					FEM	IALE			
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	41. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ų	42. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
É	43. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A L	44. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	0	6	0	18
NIS	45. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	6
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	46. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	5
ΑD	47. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	48. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	50. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
¥	51. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SKILLED CRAFT	52. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
ÿ	53. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
၌	54. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
S	55. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	56. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ш	58. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	59. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	11
ĭ ĭ	60. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
N E	61. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	62. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	63. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	64. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65. TO	OTAL FULL-TIME	0	2	20	11	1	0	16	0	18	12	2	0	36	0	118
(1	LINES 1-64)	J				<u> </u>	J	.5				_				
	ICIALS -	0	0	0	0	2. OTHI	O O	ULL-TIME	0	(EES 0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	MINISTRATORS FESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1	0	9	2	0	0	11	0	4	2	1	0	8	0	38
	HNICIANS TECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	APROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71. ADM	MINISTRATIVE PORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	LLED CRAFT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
73. SER MAI	VICE - NTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	6
	TAL OTHER THAN L-TIME	1	0	10	3	0	0	16	0	5	2	1	0	12	0	50
(LI	NES 66 - 73)															

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 206 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

Reporting Year: 2019

	D. E	Punction 15 - OTHER													
							RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
							NOT	-HISPAN	C OR LAT	INO					
	LAT	TINO			MA	ALE					FEM	ALE			
	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
			IEW HIRE												
75. OFFICIALS – ADMINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76. PROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
77. TECHNICIANS	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	8
78. PROTECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
79. PARAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	3	0	8
81. SKILLED CRAFT	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
82. SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	5
83. TOTAL NEW HIRES	0	1	4	1	1	0	1	0	3	4	2	0	6	0	23

F. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES NOT INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

G. REMARKS ABOUT THIS FUNCTION REPORT

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D . 1	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	nction 16	S - SUMN	//ARY					
						1.	FULL-TI	ME EMPL	OYEES							
								RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
			ANIC OR					NOT	-HISPAN	C OR LAT	TINO					
		LA	TINO			MA	ALE					FEM	IALE			
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	1. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
RS	2. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
S - \T0	3. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	6
₹¥	4. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SIS	5. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	6. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
ΑD	7. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	5
	8. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	12
	9. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	10. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PROFESSIONALS	11. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
210	12. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ë	13. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
SO.	14. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	15. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
	16. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	17. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S	18. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ž	19. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TECHNICIANS	20. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
¥	21. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	8
Ĕ	22. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	8
	23. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	24. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	25. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE	26. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SEF	27. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Æ	28. \$25.0 - 32.9	1	0	7	3	0	0	9	0	3	2	0	0	5	0	30
PROTECTIV	29. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	1	5	0	0	0	12	0	3	2	0	0	4	0	27
OTE	30. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	13
Ŗ	31. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	32. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
IS	33. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARAPROFESSIONALS	34. \$16.0 - 19.9	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3SI(35. \$20.0 - 24.9		0	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	0	0	0		0
FES	36. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PRO	37. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R	38. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
PA	39. \$55.0 - 69.9 40. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

		D. 1	EMPLOYM	ENT DA	TA AS O	F JUNE	30	Fu	unction 1	6 - SUM	MARY					
				271		1.		ME EMPL	OYEES							
							TOLL-13		ETHNIC:	ITY						
		HTSD/	ANIC OR							IC OR LAT	TNO					
			TINO			М/	\LE	1101	III3FAII.	C OK LA	1110	EEM	IALE			1
											_	1				1
JOB CATEGORY	ANNUAL SALARY (in thousands 000)	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	41. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
VE	42. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŢĻ	43. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TR/	44. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	0	7	5	0	0	5	0	23
ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	45. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	5	4	1	0	10	0	24
MII	46. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	1	3	1	0	0	2	0	4	3	1	0	6	0	21
ΑD	47. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	5
	48. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	49. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	50. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ŞΕ	51. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SKILLED CRAFT	52. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Ä	53. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
KIL	54. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
S	55. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	56. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	57. \$0.1 - 15.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ш	58. \$16.0 - 19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	59. \$20.0 - 24.9	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	7
ICE	60. \$25.0 - 32.9	0	0	2	2	0	0	8	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	20
NTE N	61. \$33.0 - 42.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
SE	62. \$43.0 - 54.9	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	6
_	63. \$55.0 - 69.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	64. \$70.0 PLUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65. T	OTAL FULL-TIME	4	2	38	28	0	0	64	0	31	33	3	0	56	0	256
(LINES 1-64)	1	2	30	20	U	Ü	04	0	31	33	3	U	30		230
		1			2	2. ОТНІ	ER THAN F	ULL-TIME	EMPLOY	EES	,					
	FICIALS - MINISTRATORS	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6
	OFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CHNICIANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	OTECTIVE SERVICE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
	RAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71. ADI	MINISTRATIVE PPORT	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	7
	LLED CRAFT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
73. SEF	RVICE - INTENANCE	0	0	21	24	0	0	37	0	2	3	0	0	12	0	99
74. TO	TAL OTHER THAN LL-TIME	0	0	25	28	0	0	39	0	4	6	0	0	15	0	117
(L	INES 66 - 73)															

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 209 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Reporting Year: 2019

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

	S 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
							RACE	/ETHNIC	ΙΤΥ						
							NOT	HISPAN	IC OR LAT	INO					
	LA [*]	TINO			MA	\LE					FEM	IALE			
	MALE	FEMALE	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	WHITE	BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN	NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE	TWO OR MORE RACES	TOTALS
	3. NEW HIRES DURING EEO-4 FISCAL YEAR (JULY 1 – JUNE 30)														
75. OFFICIALS - ADMINISTRATORS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
76. PROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
77. TECHNICIANS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
78. PROTECTIVE SERVICE	2	0	7	2	0	0	7	0	3	2	0	0	3	0	26
79. PARAPROFESSIONALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80. ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	4
81. SKILLED CRAFT	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
82. SERVICE - MAINTENANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
83. TOTAL NEW HIRES (LINES 75 - 82)	2	0	7	3	0	0	10	0	5	5	1	0	5	0	38
(111125 / 5 02)	1	1				ENCIEC I						1		1	1

E. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

F. DEPARTMENTS/AGENCIES NOT INCLUDED IN THIS FUNCTION REPORT

G. REMARKS ABOUT THIS FUNCTION REPORT

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 210 of 310

Control Number: 37200790

Jurisdiction: ROBESON COUNTY

Reporting Year: 2019

SUMMARY OF FUNCTIONS						
	1 - FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION/GENERAL CONTROL		9 - HOUSING			
	2 - STREETS AND HIGHWAYS		10 - COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT			
~	3 - PUBLIC WELFARE		11 - CORRECTIONS			
~	4 - POLICE PROTECTION		12 - UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION			
	5 - FIRE PROTECTION		13 - SANITATION AND SEWAGE			
	6 - NATURAL RESOURCES/PARKS AND RECREATION		14 - EMPLOYMENT SECURITY			
	7 - HOSPITALS	~	15 - OTHER			
~	8 - HEALTH		X - SUMMARY FUNCTION SELECTED			

COMMENTS

CERTIFICATION. I certify that the information given in this report is correct and true to the best of my knowledge and was reported in accordance with accompanying instructions. (Willfully false statements on this report are punishable by law, US Code, Title 18, Section 1001.)

NAME OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL BRYAN HOWARD		TITLE ASSISTANT HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR	
MAILING ADDRESS		TELEPHONE NUMBER	
701 N ELM ST		910-671-4315	
LUMBERTON, NC 28358			
DATE 9/16/2019	EMAIL ADDRESS bryan.howard@co.robes	son.nc.us	TYPED NAME BRYAN HOWARD

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 211 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY DIAL,	
Plaintiff,)
v.	DECLARATION OF H.T. ("TOM") TAYLOR, JR.
ROBESON COUNTY,)
Defendant.)
)

- H.T. ("Tom") Taylor, Jr., under penalty of perjury, declares the following:
- 1. I am over the age of 18 and competent to give witness testimony. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am an elected member of the Robeson County Board of Commissioners, where I have represented District 7 since my election in 2000. I also own and operate a hardware store in Lumberton.
- 3. I am acquainted with Anthony Dial, who is also known locally as "Rudy," and I am aware that he is the Plaintiff in this lawsuit. I know that he is claiming that the County engaged in employment discrimination when he was not selected as Assistant County Manager in 2019.
- 4. When the retirement of the former County Manager, Ricky Harris, was announced and Kellie Blue was made the "manager in waiting," in late 2018, Rudy began to visit my hardware store regularly, although he had not been in the habit of visiting before. His wife accompanied him on some of these visits, and she also brought cupcakes.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 212 of 310

5. At least once or twice, Rudy brought up the Assistant County Manager position in discussions with me. He told me why he thought he thought he should get the position, and I listened to what he had to say. However, I told Rudy that the Board of Commissioners did not do the hiring for the position, that I couldn't get him the job, and that I wasn't not going to tell anyone who they should hire. I also mentioned that I thought there was someone else in the running who would be a natural fit for the position. I was referring to Shelton Hill because I was familiar with his work for the County. Rudy told me, "I know I ain't gonna get it, but I'm going to apply so down the road I can have this job as a reference."

- 6. Rudy also sent a mutual friend, Reese Oxendine, to ask me to support him for the position. Reese told me that Rudy needed the position. I listened to Reese and told him the same as I told Rudy.
- American running the County," or make any statement like that. I have been informed that Rudy testified that I made that remark to him, and that is simply false. That remark would also not make any sense, because the County's top administrators had been composed of two Native Americans and an African American for the prior two years. Jason King, an African American, was hired as Assistant County Manager in 2013. The prior County Manager, Ricky Harris, was Native American, and his other Assistant County Manager was Kellie Blue, who is Native American. Before that, Charles Britt, who is Caucasian, was the Services Manager, whose job duties transitioned to the second Assistant County Manager position.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 213 of 310

8. I never told Kellie Blue anything about who she should hire for the position. It's her job, as County Manager, to pick the people who will be working directly for her, and I trust her to run a fair hiring process.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

H. T. ("TOM") TAYLOR, JR.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 214 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION Civil Action No. 1:20-cv-1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
Plaintiff,))
v.) DECLARATION OF KELLIE BLUE
ROBESON COUNTY,)
Defendant.)))

Kellie Blue, under penalty of perjury, declares the following:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 and competent to give witness testimony.
- 2. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge, or, where indicated, knowledge that I have been provided from records collected in the regular course of business of Robeson County.
- 3. I am the County Manager for Robeson County by appointment of the Robeson County Board of Commissioners (the "Board"). On January 1, 2019, I began working in the position pursuant to a four-year contract that I entered with the Board.
 - 4. I am Native American.
- 5. From 2017 until my appointment as County Manager, I served as Assistant County Manager to my predecessor, Ricky Harris, who is also Native American.
- 6. Before I was hired for the position of Assistant County Manager, I was the County's Finance Officer.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 215 of 310

7. My predecessor as Assistant County Manager was Charles Britt, whose title was previously referred to as "Services Manager." Mr. Britt's responsibilities passed to me when I took the position of Assistant County Manager.

- 8. Robeson County has had two Assistant County Managers for many years, who oversee different departments on behalf of the County Manager. Jason King, the other Assistant County Manager, was hired for the position in 2013 by Mr. Harris. He is African American.
- 9. Mr. King's areas of responsibility include the County's water and sewer enterprises, building management, fleet management, veterans' services, and human resources.
- 10. The other areas of responsibility, which I oversaw when I served as Assistant County Manager, include human services, the health department, transportation, insurance and risk management, emergency management services, communications, IT, claims, and planning and zoning.
- 11. When I was promoted from Assistant County Manager to County Manager, my prior position became vacant. I wished to fill the position as soon as possible with someone who could "hit the ground running" and would not need extensive training, as I was very busy transitioning into the County Manager role at the time.
- 12. The Human Resources Department posted the position on February 12, 2019.

 A copy of the job posting and its posting history as it appeared on the Robeson County

 Employment Website, robesoncountycareers.com, is attached as Exhibit A.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 216 of 310

13. A copy of the job description for the position of Assistant County Manager in 2019 is attached as Exhibit B.

- 14. The Human Resources Department collected applications and reviewed them to screen any applicants who did not meet the basic job requirements.
- 15. A true and accurate copy of the application submitted by Anthony Dial for the position of Assistant County Manager is attached as Exhibit C.
- 16. A true and accurate copy of the application submitted by Shelton Hill for the position of Assistant County Manager is attached as Exhibit D.
- 17. The eight remaining, qualified applicants, including Anthony Dial and Shelton Hill, were interviewed by me over the course of several days. I prepared a list of interview questions and asked all the candidates the questions on the list. I also prepared a budget exercise where the candidates were asked to propose solutions to reduce the total budget for Public Utilities by 5%.
- 18. I interviewed Anthony Dial for the position of Assistant County Manager on or about Wednesday, May 1, 2019. My notes from the interview of Mr. Dial and his completed budget exercise are attached as Exhibit E.
- 19. I interviewed Shelton Hill for the position of Assistant County Manager on or about Wednesday, May 1, 2019. My notes from the interview of Mr. Hill and his completed budget exercise are attached as Exhibit F.
- 20. I am aware that Mr. Dial contends that the job description was changed between 2016, when I was recruited, and 2019, when Mr. Dial, Mr. Hill, and others were

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 217 of 310

considered for the position, to remove the requirement of a four-year college degree. This is not true.

- 21. A copy of the 2016 job description is attached as Exhibit G. As shown by comparison to the 2019 job description in Exhibit B, the only changes included a rewording of the education and experience description and the addition of requirements that were not present in 2016. The "General Statement of Job," Essential Job Functions," "Minimum Qualifications or Standards Required to Perform Essential Job Functions," and "Performance Indicators" remained the same, except for that "Assumes duties of County Manager in his/her absence" was changed from an "Additional" to an "Essential" job function.
- 22. The "Minimum Training and Experience" section of the Assistant County Manager Job Description had the following wording in 2016:

Graduation from a four-year college or university with a master's degree in public administration, business administration or a related field, with a master's degree in public administration preferred, and 5 to 7 years of increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

23. The "Minimum Training and Experience" section of the Assistant County Manager Job Description had the following wording in 2016:

Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field; considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 218 of 310

Municipal and County Administration Certificate from required within two years of appointment. Valid North Carolina Driver's License required upon hire and duration of employment.

Must take and successfully pass a pre-employment drug test, Motor Vehicle Records driver license check, as well as submit to random, reasonable suspicion, and post-accident drug and alcohol testing. A criminal background check must be completed by the County of Robeson for potential applicants.

Special Requirements

The candidate is required to be a permanent resident of Robeson County within (1) One year of employment.

- 24. Neither job description requires a college degree, as both provide that: "...or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities" could substitute for a degree and stated years of experience.
- 25. When I considered the applicants, I felt that Mr. Hill had the most relevant experience for the position. He had worked in transportation, human services, human resources, risk management, safety, and inspections. This meant that Mr. Hill's prior experience gave him a proven track record in the areas that the person hired as Assistant County Manager would be expected to supervise. This included technical areas such as obtaining Medicaid/Medicare and other grant reimbursements, handling claims, and managing departments that provide important services to the County's residents.
- 26. Prior to working for Robeson County, Mr. Hill worked for the Lumber River Council of Governments for two years and the Department of Transportation for a year, which gave him almost 20 years' experience in public sector work directly related to the role he was interviewing for. Before that, Mr. Hill had a decade and a half of management experience in one of the largest textile firms in Robeson County, supervising over a

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 219 of 310

hundred people. Since 1987, Mr. Hill had worked in relevant managerial roles in the public

and private sector.

27. While Mr. Dial gained management experience with the Department of Social Services, his experience working for the County was limited to RCDSS, which meant that he did not have direct experience with any of the areas of County operations that the person hired as Assistant County Manager would be expected to supervise. Likely, with extensive training, he could have gained the skills needed for the position, but he

would not have been able to "hit the ground running" in the role.

28. The issue of a four-year degree was not relevant to my considerations in selecting one of the eight finalists for the position. Mr. Hill had a proven track record and direct, hands-on experience in the work that the person hired as Assistant County Manager would need to be familiar with. During my tenure as Finance Officer and Assistant County Manager, I had personal experience working with Mr. Hill. I knew from my experience that he is a hard worker, conscientious, detail-oriented, punctual, a great writer, organized, has great rapport with colleagues, knows how to oversee federal and state funds, and works

well with department heads, even on difficult issues.

29. I do not consider or fulfill anyone's request for hiring a particular person to any position that I am responsible for filling, and I am clear about that. As a result, I am not bombarded with special requests to hire based on favors or favoritism, because people know that I won't listen.

6

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 220 of 310

30. No one else's opinion of a candidate was a factor or even considered in my decision in hiring the Assistant County Manager. The Board of Commissioners did not have any say in my decision.

31. I did not make my decision based on the race of any of the candidates. In fact, I am surprised that I, a Native American woman, am accused of discriminating against a Native American man based on his race.

32. I did not have access to and did not consider any County employee's personnel files in making my decision.

33. I considered the applicants' interviews, experience, skills, and knowledge in determining which candidate was the best qualified, based on objective consideration of their merits.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 30, 2022.

KELLIE HUNT BLUF

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 221 of 310

EXHIBIT A

DECLARATION OF KELLIE BLUE

Job Posting for 2019 Assistant County Manager Position

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 222 of 310

9/16/2019

View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager

View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager

Posting Number:	0000491		
POSITION INFORMATION			
Job Title:	Assistant County Manager		
Classification Code:	41201002		
Position Number:	412004		
Employee Status:	FTE		
FLSA:	Exempt		
Annual Salary:	\$77,007.12 - \$119,957.84		
Pay Rate:			
Pay Grade Level:	84		
Position Type:	Directors		
Number of positions currently hiring for:	One		
DEPARTMENT			
INFORMATION: Department:	Administration		
Department Users with Access:	Stone, Norma		
Contact(s):	Norma Stone		
Contact Phone/Extension:	910-671-3016		
Contact Email:	norma.stone@co.robeson.nc.us		
Contact Fax:	910-671-6224		
POSTING TEXT	910-071-0224		
Example of Duties:	Under limited supervision, performs responsible administrative and managerial work overseeing assigned programs and assisting the County Manager in overall administration of County government. Work involves supervising activities of assigned county department managers as well as overseeing County construction projects, and safety and ADA administration. Performs various administrative duties and/or conducts studies at the request of the County Manager and assists County departments and assumes duties and responsibilities of the County Manager in his absence. Supervises department employees which involves such duties as instructing, assigning and reviewing work, maintaining standards, acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases. Exercises considerable initiative and independent judgment in all phases of work. Employee must also exercise considerable tact and courtesy in frequent contact with County employees, service vendors, and the general public.		
Education and Experience:	Reports to the County Manager. Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field; considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities. Municipal and County Administration Certificate from required within two years of appointment. Valid North Carolina Driver's License required upon hire and duration of employment.		

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 223 of 310

9/16/2019

View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager

Must take and successfully pass a pre-employment drug test, Motor Vehicle Records driver license check, as well as submit to random, reasonable suspicion, and post-accident drug and alcohol testing. A criminal background check must be completed by the County of Robeson for potential applicants.

We are an equal opportunity employer

Robeson County Local Government considers applicants on the basis of qualifications and without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, marital or veteran status, sexual orientation, disability or any other legally protected status.

Special Requirements

The candidate is required to be a permanent resident of Robeson County within (1) One year of employment,

IMPORTANT-PLEASE READ BELOW:

Documents required in the "Education and Experience" job posting description must be submitted before the closing date. If documents are not submitted to Human Resources before the closing date your application will be considered incomplete and the applicant will not be considered for the position. If you cannot submit the required documents online, you can mail them to human resources @ 701 North Elm St. Lumberton, NC 28358; you can also fax them to 910-671-6224; or bring documents to Human Resource Office for copies to be made before closing date; or email them to norma.stone@co.robeson.nc.us . For application process inquiries please contact Norma Stone in Human Resources at (910)671-3016. The application must be completely filled out and all requirements submitted in order to be considered for the position,

Work Hours:

Special Requirements:

Posting Date:

02-11-2019

Closing Date:

Open Until Filled

Resume

Cover Letter

Optional Applicant Documents: Other Document

Other Graduate Degrees

Certifications

Required Applicant Documents:

Special Instructions to

Bachelors Degree/Transcripts Copy of Drivers License

Pre-employment Drug Testing Requirements:

New Hires are required to pay the cost of a pre-employment drug screening at Occupational Health Works, and will be reimbursed by the County for a Negative Result. Reimbursement will be made after the completion of thirty days of employment with Robeson County, Also, the employee must sign a release of information allowing Occupational Health Works to release drug testing results to: Robeson County Human Resources, 701 North Elm Street, Lumberton, N.C. 28358, as a condition

of reimbursement.

Pass Message:

Fail Message:

Applicants:

Thank you for your interest in this position. The screening and selection process is currently underway and will continue until a successful candidate is chosen. Should review of your qualifications result in a decision to pursue your candidacy, you will be contacted.

Thank you for your interest in this position. Based on your responses to the questions on the employment application, you do not meet the minimum qualifications for this position. Please do not let this discourage

you from applying for other positions that interest you.

Application Types Accepted:

General Application

If you plan to advertise externally, indicate the advertising sources:

Job Lines Robesonian

for the cost associated with these Robeson Journal (\$20-60)

(Departments will be responsible Employment Security Commission

advertisements)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 224 of 310

9/16/2019 View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager Please list any other advertising sources: Quicklink for Posting: robesoncountycareers.com/applicants/Central?quickFind=50993 **Posting Specific Questions** Do you have a Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Do you have a valid North Carolina Driver's License? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Do you have considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel? ANSWER No Response Yes. This question is required. Have you attached a copy of your Bachelor's degree or related education diploma? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Have you attached a copy of your valid NC Driver's License? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Will you be able to maintain a valid North Carolina Driver's license as required on the job description? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Do you have a Municipal and County Administration Certificate? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. This question is required. Will you be able to obtain the Municipal and County Administration Certificate within 2 years of hire? ANSWER

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 225 of 310

9/16/2019 View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Will you be able to be a permanent resident of Robeson County within (1) One year of employment? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. Are you currently a resident of Robeson County? **ANSWER** No Response Yes. No. This question is required. **Disqualifying / Points** Maximum Points Possible: **Posting Specific Questions** Do you have a valid North Carolina Driver's License? **ANSWER** DISQUALIFYING SCORE No Response 0 Yes. 0 No. DISQ 0 0 % Do you have a Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field? ANSWER DISQUALIFYING SCORE No Response 0 Yes. 0 No. Will you be able to be a permanent resident of Robeson County within (1) One year of employment? ANSWER **DISQUALIFYING** SCORE No Response 0 Yes. No. DISQ 0 0 % Have you attached a copy of your valid NC Driver's License? **ANSWER** DISQUALIFYING SCORE No Response 0 Yes. 0 No. 0 Have you attached a copy of your Bachelor's degree or related education diploma? **ANSWER DISQUALIFYING** SCORE No Response

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 226 of 310

6/2019	View Posting Summary - Assista	int County M
Yes.		0
No.		0
0 %		
	increasingly responsible experience in the administra rnment programs and personnel?	tion and
ANSWER	DISQUALIFYING	SCORE
No Response		0
Yes.		0
No.		0
0 %		
Will you be able to maintai description?	in a valid North Carolina Driver's license as required o	n the job
ANSWER	DISQUALIFYING	SCORE
No Response		0
Yes.		0
No.	DISQ	0
0 %		
Do you have a Municipal ar	nd County Administration Certificate?	
ANSWER	DISQUALIFYING	SCORE
No Response		0
Yes.		0
No.		0
0 %		
Will you be able to obtain t years of hire?	the Municipal and County Administration Certificate v	ithin 2
ANSWER	DISQUALIFYING	SCORE
No Response		0
Yes.		0
No.	DISQ	0
0 %		
Are you currently a residen	t of Robeson County?	
ANSWER	DISQUALIFYING	SCORE
No Response		0
Yes.		0
No.		0
0 %		
liring Proposal		
Candidate Selected:	No Response	
Recommended Salary:		
Start Date:		
Comments		
Department Mgr Comments:		
County Mgr Comments:		
Human Resources Comments:		
		Charles and the latest and the lates
otes / History		

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 227 of 310

9/16/2019

View Posting Summary - Assistant County Manager

02-08-2019 2:35 PM	Norma Stone	
Saved Without Submitting		
02-08-2019 3:04 PM	Norma Stone	
Approved for Later Posting		
02-08-2019 3:05 PM	Norma Stone	
Save		
02-08-2019 3:10 PM	Norma Stone	
Save		
02-11-2019 12:00 AM	System Generated	
Posted		
07-02-2019 1:39 PM	Norma Stone	
Closed/Removed from Web		
07-02-2019 1:42 PM	Norma Stone	
Position Filled		

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 228 of 310

EXHIBIT B

DECLARATION OF KELLIE BLUE

2019 Job Description for Assistant County Manager

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 229 of 310

CODE: 41201002

ROBESON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA JOB DESCRIPTION

JOB TITLE: ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT

GENERAL STATEMENT OF JOB

Under limited supervision, performs responsible administrative and managerial work overseeing assigned programs and assisting the County Manager in overall administration of County government. Work involves supervising activities of assigned county department managers as well as overseeing County construction projects, and safety and ADA administration. Performs various administrative duties and/or conducts studies at the request of the County Manager and assists County departments and assumes duties and responsibilities of the County Manager in his absence. Supervises department employees which involves such duties as instructing, assigning and reviewing work, maintaining standards, acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases. Exercises considerable initiative and independent judgment in all phases of work. Employee must also exercise considerable tact and courtesy in frequent contact with County employees, service vendors, and the general public. Reports to the County Manager.

SPECIFIC DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

ESSENTIAL JOB FUNCTIONS

Assists the County Manager in development of policies and procedures pertaining to personnel, capital improvement, fiscal control, construction projects and public relations issues; serves as member of County Manager's management team, participating in meetings and discussions to formulate and develop planning on various issues pertaining to operations of County government.

Oversees County capital improvement projects, ensuring adherence to specified standards; confers with department heads, supervisory boards, County Commissioners, court officials, architects, contractors, etc., to resolve disputes, as necessary.

Oversees, coordinates and administers various personnel programs in accordance with local, state and federal ordinances, statutes and laws; develops amendments to local personnel ordinance, as necessary, and presents recommendations to County Commissioners.

Represents the County in official capacities on various regional and County boards and committees.

Assumes duties of County Manager in his/her absence, ensuring adherence to established policies, procedures and standards.

Confers with department heads regarding intended discipline of management level and professional level employees, advising as necessary; represents interests of County in disputes with employees before oversight agencies such as Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Employment Security Commission, etc.; investigates complaints made by employees, and addresses accordingly.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 230 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

Serves as liaison between County and the general public, investigating and addressing disputes and inquiries, as necessary; advises County Manager on matters pertaining to the image of the County and resolves problems on behalf of the County Manager and the Board of Commissioners.

Monitors and reviews activities of department heads, ensuring adherence to established policies, procedures and standards; assists and advises subordinates, as necessary, resolving problems as non-routine situations arise.

Supervises department employees which involves such duties as instructing, assigning and reviewing work, maintaining standards, acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases.

Oversees assigned programs including County vehicle, property and liability insurance, construction projects, safety, and ADA compliance.

Assists commissioners, department heads, other personnel and/or the general public, as necessary.

Administers liability and property insurance programs; prepares accident and damage reports; submits claims to insurance carrier.

Develops policies, procedures, and programs to meet safety standards set by state and federal laws, codes, and regulations including United States and state occupational safety and health administration regulations.

Administers County vehicle program; coordinates maintenance and repair of vehicles; maintains accident report files and other incidents to insurance carriers; and coordinates payments of reimbursements or settlements liability claimants through insurance carriers.

Maintains files of records pertaining to insurance programs; researches options to obtain insurance at rates most favorable to County; and collects and submits data for insurance purchase or renewal.

Coordinates annual surplus property sales, overseeing identification of surplus property, scheduling and location sales; obtaining manpower to conduct sales, etc.

Performs administrative duties and/or compiles data for special projects or reports as assigned.

Receives and/or reviews agenda items, County construction projects to monitor and inspect to insure accuracy, presentations from vendors to make recommendations, safety and accident reports to report to insurance company, and invoices to approve for payment.

Prepares and/or generates accident reports to submit to insurance company, requests for checks, list to coordinate maintenance and repair of vehicles, and surplus property sales.

Refers to budget, software from predecessor on existing projects, purchasing policy, Internet, and account balances.

Interacts and communicates with County Manager, Board of Commissioners, department managers, executive from outside corporations, and general public.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 231 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

ADDITIONAL JOB FUNCTIONS

Performs other related work as required.

MINIMUM TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE

Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field; considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

Municipal and County Administration Certificate from required within two years of appointment. Valid North Carolina Driver's License required upon hire and duration of employment.

Must take and successfully pass a pre-employment drug test, Motor Vehicle Records driver license check, as well as submit to random, reasonable suspicion, and post-accident drug and alcohol testing. A criminal background check must be completed by the County of Robeson for potential applicants.

Special Requirements

The candidate is required to be a permanent resident of Robeson County within (1) One year of employment.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS OR STANDARDS REQUIRED TO PERFORM ESSENTIAL JOB FUNCTIONS

<u>Physical Requirements:</u> Must be physically able to operate a variety of machinery and equipment including computers, typewriters, calculators, copiers, facsimile machines, etc. Must be physically able to a negligible amount of force frequently or constantly to lift, carry, push, pull, or otherwise move objects. Sedentary work involves sitting most of the time, but may involve walking or standing for brief periods of time.

<u>Data Conception:</u> Requires the ability to compare and or judge the readily observable functional, structural, or compositional characteristics (whether similar to or divergent from obvious standards) of data, people, or things.

<u>Interpersonal Communication:</u> Requires the ability of speaking and/or signaling people to convey or exchange information. Includes giving instructions, assignments and/or directions to subordinates and assistants.

<u>Language Ability:</u> Requires the ability to read various reports, records, forms, budgets, invoices, legal documents, contracts, insurance statements, etc. Requires the ability to prepare reports, correspondence, studies, advertisements, etc., utilizing proper formats and conforming to all rules of grammar, diction and style. Requires the ability to speak before groups of people with poise, voice control and confidence.

<u>Intelligence:</u> Requires the ability to apply principles of logical or scientific thinking to define problems, collect data, establish facts, and draw valid conclusions; to interpret an extensive variety of technical instructions in mathematical or diagrammatic form; deal with several abstract and concrete variables.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 232 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

<u>Verbal Aptitude:</u> Requires the ability to record and deliver information and to follow and give verbal and written instructions. Must be able to communicate effectively and efficiently in a variety of technical or professional languages including accounting, personnel and governmental terminology.

<u>Numerical Aptitude:</u> Requires the ability to utilize mathematical formulas; adding and subtracting totals; multiplying and dividing; determining percentages and decimals.

Form/Spatial Aptitude: Requires the ability to inspect items for proper length, width and shape, visually with office equipment and recording devices.

<u>Motor Coordination:</u> Requires the ability to coordinate hands and eyes in using automated office equipment to operate motor vehicles.

<u>Manual Dexterity:</u> Requires the ability to handle a variety of items, office equipment, control knobs, switches, etc. Must have minimal levels of eye/hand/foot coordination.

<u>Color Discrimination and Visual Acuity</u>: Requires the ability to differentiate colors and shades of color; requires the visual acuity to determine depth perception, night vision, peripheral vision, inspection for small parts; preparing and analyzing written or computer data, etc.

<u>Interpersonal Temperament:</u> Requires the ability to deal with people beyond receiving instructions. The worker needs to relate to people in situations involving more than receiving instructions. Must be adaptable to performing under high levels of stress when confronted with an emergency.

Physical Communication: Requires the ability to talk and/or hear (talking - expressing or exchanging ideas by means of spoken words). (Hearing - perceiving nature of sounds by ear.)

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Knowledge of Job: Has thorough knowledge of the methods, procedures, and policies of the Department of Administration as they pertain to the performance of duties of the Assistant County Manager. Has thorough knowledge of the principles and practices of business or public administration as applied to County government. Has thorough knowledge of the principles, practices and procedures of public administration. Has thorough knowledge of federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations governing County administration. Has thorough knowledge of the policies, procedures, methods and practices of financial budgeting and analysis. Has thorough knowledge of local government organization and functions. Has considerable knowledge of modern practices and principles of accounting as applied to local government. Has considerable knowledge of personnel and management resources and planning, position management, staff development and training, policy development and administration, employee relations, and related management functions and services. Has considerable knowledge of the current literature, trends and developments in the field of public administration. Has considerable knowledge of the principles of supervision, organization and administration. Is able to use common office machines, including popular computer-drive word processing, spreadsheet and file maintenance programs. Is able to make administrative decisions independently and in accordance with established laws, regulations and County policies and procedures. Is able to work independently and to apply knowledge to a variety of situations. Is able to analyze complex organizational and administrative problems and to formulate, develop and present recommendations for their solution. Is able to supervise the work of assigned employees and to coordinate programs and activities. Is able to effectively express ideas orally and in writing. Is able to make oral

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 233 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

presentations before large groups of people. Is able to exercise tact and discretion in the handling of confidential personnel records. Is able to establish and maintain effective working relationships as necessitated by work assignments.

Quality of Work: Maintains high standards of accuracy in exercising duties and responsibilities. Exercises immediate remedial action to correct any quality deficiencies that occur in areas of responsibility. Maintains high quality communication and interacts within department and division, and with co-workers and the general public.

Quantity of Work: Maintains effective and efficient output of all duties and responsibilities as described under "Specific Duties and Responsibilities".

<u>Dependability:</u> Assumes responsibility for doing assigned work and meeting deadlines. Completes assigned work on or before deadlines in accordance with directives, County policy, standards and prescribed procedures. Accepts accountability for meeting assigned responsibilities in the technical, human and conceptual areas.

<u>Attendance:</u> Attends work regularly and adheres to County policies and procedures regarding absences and tardiness. Provides adequate notice to higher management with respect to vacation time and time-off requests.

<u>Initiative and Enthusiasm:</u> Maintains an enthusiastic, self-reliant and self-starting approach to meet job responsibilities and accountabilities. Strives to anticipate work to be done and initiates proper and acceptable direction for completion of work with a minimum of supervision and instruction.

<u>Judgment:</u> Exercises analytical judgment in areas of responsibility. Identifies problems or situations as they occur and specifies decision objectives. Identifies or assists in identifying alternative solutions to problems or situations. Implements decisions in accordance with prescribed and effective policies and procedures and with a minimum of errors. Seeks expert or experienced advice and researches problems, situations and alternatives before exercising judgment.

<u>Cooperation:</u> Accepts supervisory instruction and direction and strives to meet the goals and objectives of same. Questions such instruction and direction when clarification of results or consequences are justified, i.e., poor communications, variance with County policy or procedures, etc. Offers suggestions and recommendations to encourage and improve cooperation between all staff persons within the department.

Relationships with Others: Shares knowledge with supervisors and staff for mutual benefit. Contributes to maintaining high morale among employees. Develops and maintains cooperative and courteous relationships inter- and intra-departmentally, and with external entities with whom the position interacts. Tactfully and effectively handles requests, suggestions and complaints in order to establish and maintain good will. Emphasizes the importance of maintaining a positive image.

<u>Coordination of Work:</u> Plans and organizes daily work routine. Establishes priorities for the completion of work in accordance with sound time management methodology. Avoids duplication of effort. Estimates expected time of completion of elements of work and establishes a personal schedule accordingly. Attends meetings, planning sessions and discussions on time. Implements work activity in accordance with priorities and estimated schedules. Maintains a calendar for meetings, deadlines and events.

Safety and Housekeeping: Adheres to all safety and housekeeping standards established by the County

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 234 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

and various regulatory agencies. Sees that the standards are not violated. Maintains a clean and orderly workplace.

<u>Planning:</u> Plans, coordinates and uses information effectively to enhance activities and production. Knows and understands expectations regarding the activities and works to ensure such expectations are met. Designs and formulates ways, means and timing to achieve established goals and objectives. Effectively and efficiently organizes, arranges and allocates manpower, financial and other designated resources to achieve such goals and objectives.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 235 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

<u>Organizing:</u> Organizes work and that of subordinate staff well. Ensures that staff members know what results are expected of them and that they are regularly and appropriately informed of all County and department matters affecting them and/or of concern to them.

<u>Staffing:</u> Works with other department officials and management to select and recommend employment of personnel for the division who are qualified both technically and philosophically to meet the needs of the division and the department. Personally directs the development and training of division personnel in order to ensure that they are properly inducted, oriented and trained.

<u>Leading:</u> Provides a work environment, which encourages clear and open communications. Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of the principles of effective leadership and how such principles are to be applied. Provides adequate feedback to staff so that they know whether their performance levels are satisfactory. Commends and rewards employees for outstanding performance yet does not hesitate to take disciplinary action when necessary. Exercises enthusiasm in influencing and guiding others toward the achievement of department goals and objectives.

<u>Controlling:</u> Provides a work environment, which is orderly and controlled. Coordinates, audits and controls manpower and financial resources efficiently and effectively. Coordinates, audits and controls the utilization of materials and equipment efficiently and effectively. Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of County standards, methods and procedures.

<u>Delegating:</u> Assigns additional duties to staff as necessary and/or appropriate in order to meet division goals, enhance staff abilities, build confidence on the job and assist staff members in personal growth. Has confidence in staff to meet new or additional expectations.

<u>Decision Making:</u> Uses discretion and judgment in developing and implementing courses of action affecting the division. When a particular policy, procedure or strategy does not appear to be achieving the desired result, moves decisively and definitively to develop and implement alternatives.

<u>Creativity:</u> Regularly seeks new and improved methodologies, policies and procedures for enhancing the effectiveness of the division and the department. Employs imagination and creativity in the application of duties and responsibilities. Is not adverse to change.

<u>Human Relations:</u> Strives to develop and maintain good rapport with all staff members. Listens to and considers suggestions and complaints and responds appropriately. Maintains the respect and loyalty of staff

<u>Policy Implementation:</u> Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of County policies regarding the division, department and County function. Adheres to those policies in the discharge of duties and responsibilities and ensures the same from subordinate staff.

<u>Policy Formulation:</u> Keeps abreast of changes in operating philosophies and policies of the County and continually reviews division policies in order to ensure that any changes in County philosophy or practice are appropriately incorporated. Also understands the relationship between operating policies and practices and department morale and performance. Works to see that established policies enhance same.

DISCLAIMER: This job description is not an employment agreement or contract. Management has the exclusive right to alter this job description at any time without notice.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 236 of 310

EXHIBIT G

DECLARATION OF KELLIE BLUE

2016 Job Description for Assistant County Manager

2016

CODE: 41201002

ROBESON COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA JOB DESCRIPTION

JOB TITLE: ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION

GENERAL STATEMENT OF JOB

Under limited supervision, performs responsible administrative and managerial work overseeing assigned programs and assisting the County Manager in overall administration of county government. Work involves supervising activities of assigned county department managers as well as overseeing county construction projects, and safety and ADA administration. Performs various administrative duties and/or conducts studies at the request of the county manager; and assists county departments and assumes duties and responsibilities of the County Manager in his absence. Supervises department employees which involves such duties as instructing, assigning and reviewing work, maintaining standards, acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases. Exercises considerable initiative and independent judgment in all phases of work. Employee must also exercise considerable tact and courtesy in frequent contact with County employees, service vendors, and the general public. Reports to the County Manager.

SPECIFIC DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

ESSENTIAL JOB FUNCTIONS

Assists the County Manager in development of policies and procedures pertaining to personnel, capital improvement, fiscal control, construction projects and public relations issues; serves as member of County Manager's management team, participating in meetings and discussions to formulate and develop planning on various issues pertaining to operations of County government.

Oversees County capital improvement projects, ensuring adherence to specified standards; confers with department heads, supervisory boards, County Commissioners, court officials, architects, contractors, etc., to resolve disputes, as necessary.

Oversees, coordinates and administers various personnel programs in accordance with local, state and federal ordinances, statutes and laws; develops amendments to local personnel ordinance, as necessary, and presents recommendations to County Commissioners.

Represents the County in official capacities on various regional and County boards and committees.

Confers with department heads regarding intended discipline of management level and professional level employees, advising as necessary; represents interests of County in disputes with employees before oversight agencies such as Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Employment Security Commission, etc.; investigates complaints made by employees, and addresses accordingly.

Archer 2004 1

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 238 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

Serves as liaison between County and the general public, investigating and addressing disputes and inquiries, as necessary; advises County Manager on matters pertaining to the image of the County and resolves problems on behalf of the County Manager and the Board of Commissioners.

Monitors and reviews activities of department heads, ensuring adherence to established policies, procedures and standards; assists and advises subordinates, as necessary, resolving problems as non-routine situations arise.

Supervises department employees which involves such duties as instructing, assigning and reviewing work, maintaining standards, acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases.

Oversees assigned programs including county vehicle, property and liability insurance, construction projects, safety, and ADA compliance.

Assists commissioners, department heads, other personnel and/or the general public, as necessary.

Administers liability and property insurance programs; prepares accident and damage reports; submits claims to insurance carrier.

Develops policies, procedures, and programs to meet safety standards set by state and federal laws, codes, and regulations including United States and state occupational safety and health administration regulations.

Administers county vehicle program; coordinates maintenance and repair of vehicles; maintains accident report files and other incidents to insurance carriers; and coordinates payments of reimbursements or settlements liability claimants through insurance carriers.

Maintains files of records pertaining to insurance programs; researches options to obtain insurance at rates most favorable to county; and collects and submits data for insurance purchase or renewal.

Coordinates annual surplus property sales, overseeing identification of surplus property, scheduling and location sales; obtaining manpower to conduct sales, etc.

Administers county's compliance with ADA.

Performs administrative duties and/or compiles data for special projects or reports as assigned.

Receives and/or reviews agenda items, county construction projects to monitor and inspect to insure accuracy, presentations from vendors to make recommendations, safety and accident reports to report to insurance company, and invoices to approve for payment.

Prepares and/or generates preparation of accident reports to report to insurance company, requests for checks, list to coordinate maintenance and repair of vehicles, and surplus property sales.

Refers to budget, software from predecessor on existing projects, purchasing policy, Internet, and account balances.

Operates vehicle and office equipment such as telephone, calculator, etc.

Archer 2004

2

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 239 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

Utilizes general computer and office supplies.

Interacts and communicates with County Manager, Board of Commissioners, department managers, executive from outside corporations, and general public.

ADDITIONAL JOB FUNCTIONS

Assumes duties of County Manager in his/her absence, ensuring adherence to established policies, procedures and standards.

Performs other related work as required.

MINIMUM TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE

Graduation from a four-year college or university with a master's degree in public administration, business administration or a related field, with a master's degree in public administration preferred, and 5 to 7 years of increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS OR STANDARDS REQUIRED TO PERFORM ESSENTIAL JOB FUNCTIONS

Physical Requirements: Must be physically able to operate a variety of machinery and equipment including computers, typewriters, calculators, copiers, facsimile machines, etc. Must be physically able to a negligible amount of force frequently or constantly to lift, carry, push, pull, or otherwise move objects. Sedentary work involves sitting most of the time, but may involve walking or standing for brief periods of time.

<u>Data Conception:</u> Requires the ability to compare and or judge the readily observable functional, structural, or compositional characteristics (whether similar to or divergent from obvious standards) of data, people, or things.

Interpersonal Communication: Requires the ability of speaking and/or signaling people to convey or exchange information. Includes giving instructions, assignments and/or directions to subordinates and assistants.

<u>Language Ability:</u> Requires the ability to read various reports, records, forms, budgets, invoices, legal documents, contracts, insurance statements, etc. Requires the ability to prepare reports, correspondence, studies, advertisements, etc., utilizing proper formats and conforming to all rules of grammar, diction and style. Requires the ability to speak before groups of people with poise, voice control and confidence.

<u>Intelligence:</u> Requires the ability to apply principles of logical or scientific thinking to define problems, collect data, establish facts, and draw valid conclusions; to interpret an extensive variety of technical instructions in mathematical or diagrammatic form; deal with several abstract and concrete variables.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 240 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

Verbal Aptitude: Requires the ability to record and deliver information and to follow and give verbal and written instructions. Must be able to communicate effectively and efficiently in a variety of technical or professional languages including accounting, personnel and governmental terminology.

<u>Numerical Aptitude:</u> Requires the ability to utilize mathematical formulas; adding and subtracting totals; multiplying and dividing; determining percentages and decimals.

Form/Spatial Aptitude: Requires the ability to inspect items for proper length, width and shape, visually with office equipment and recording devices.

<u>Motor Coordination:</u> Requires the ability to coordinate hands and eyes in using automated office equipment to operate motor vehicles.

Manual Dexterity: Requires the ability to handle a variety of items, office equipment, control knobs, switches, etc. Must have minimal levels of eye/hand/foot coordination.

Color Discrimination and Visual Acuity: Requires the ability to differentiate colors and shades of color; requires the visual acuity to determine depth perception, night vision, peripheral vision, inspection for small parts; preparing and analyzing written or computer data, etc.

<u>Interpersonal Temperament:</u> Requires the ability to deal with people beyond receiving instructions. The worker needs to relate to people in situations involving more than receiving instructions. Must be adaptable to performing under high levels of stress when confronted with an emergency.

<u>Physical Communication:</u> Requires the ability to talk and/or hear (talking - expressing or exchanging ideas by means of spoken words). (Hearing - perceiving nature of sounds by ear.)

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Knowledge of Job: Has thorough knowledge of the methods, procedures, and policies of the Department of Administration as they pertain to the performance of duties of the Assistant County Manager. Has thorough knowledge of the principles and practices of business or public administration as applied to county government. Has thorough knowledge of the principles, practices and procedures of public administration. Has thorough knowledge of federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations governing County administration. Has thorough knowledge of the policies, procedures, methods and practices of financial budgeting and analysis. Has thorough knowledge of local government organization and functions. Has considerable knowledge of modern practices and principles of accounting as applied to local government. Has considerable knowledge of personnel and management resources and planning, position management, staff development and training, policy development and administration, employee relations, and related management functions and services. Has considerable knowledge of the current literature, trends and developments in the field of public administration. Has considerable knowledge of the principles of supervision, organization and administration. Is able to use common office machines, including popular computer-drive word processing, spreadsheet and file maintenance programs. Is able to make administrative decisions independently and in accordance with established laws, regulations and County policies and procedures. Is able to work independently and to apply knowledge to a variety of situations. Is able to analyze complex organizational and administrative problems and to formulate, develop and present recommendations for their solution. Is able to supervise the work of assigned employees and to coordinate programs and activities. Is able to effectively express ideas orally and in writing. Is able to

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 241 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

make oral presentations before large groups of people. Is able to exercise tact and discretion in the handling of confidential personnel records. Is able to establish and maintain effective working relationships as necessitated by work assignments.

Quality of Work: Maintains high standards of accuracy in exercising duties and responsibilities. Exercises immediate remedial action to correct any quality deficiencies that occur in areas of responsibility. Maintains high quality communication and interacts within department and division, and with co-workers and the general public.

Quantity of Work: Maintains effective and efficient output of all duties and responsibilities as described under "Specific Duties and Responsibilities."

<u>Dependability:</u> Assumes responsibility for doing assigned work and meeting deadlines. Completes assigned work on or before deadlines in accordance with directives, County policy, standards and prescribed procedures. Accepts accountability for meeting assigned responsibilities in the technical, human and conceptual areas.

Attendance: Attends work regularly and adheres to County policies and procedures regarding absences and tardiness. Provides adequate notice to higher management with respect to vacation time and time- off requests.

<u>Initiative and Enthusiasm:</u> Maintains an enthusiastic, self-reliant and self-starting approach to meet job responsibilities and accountabilities. Strives to anticipate work to be done and initiates proper and acceptable direction for completion of work with a minimum of supervision and instruction.

<u>Judgment</u> Exercises analytical judgment in areas of responsibility, identifies problems or situations as they occur and specifies decision objectives. Identifies or assists in identifying alternative solutions to problems or situations. Implements decisions in accordance with prescribed and effective policies and procedures and with a minimum of errors. Seeks expert or experienced advice and researches problems, situations and alternatives before exercising judgment.

Cooperation: Accepts supervisory instruction and direction and strives to meet the goals and objectives of same. Questions such instruction and direction when clarification of results or consequences are justified, i.e., poor communications, variance with County policy or procedures, etc. Offers suggestions and recommendations to encourage and improve cooperation between all staff persons within the department.

Relationships with Others: Shares knowledge with supervisors and staff for mutual benefit. Contributes to maintaining high morale among employees. Develops and maintains cooperative and courteous relationships inter- and intra-departmentally, and with external entities with whom the position interacts. Tactfully and effectively handles requests, suggestions and complaints in order to establish and maintain good will. Emphasizes the importance of maintaining a positive image.

Coordination of Work: Plans and organizes daily work routine. Establishes priorities for the completion of work in accordance with sound time-management methodology. Avoids duplication of effort. Estimates expected time of completion of elements of work and establishes a personal schedule accordingly. Attends meetings, planning sessions and discussions on time. Implements work activity in accordance with priorities and estimated schedules. Maintains a calendar for meetings, deadlines and events.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 242 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

<u>Safety and Housekeeping:</u> Adheres to all safety and housekeeping standards established by the County and various regulatory agencies. Sees that the standards are not violated. Maintains a clean and orderly workplace.

<u>Planning:</u> Plans, coordinates and uses information effectively to enhance activities and production. Knows and understands expectations regarding the activities and works to ensure such expectations are met. Designs and formulates ways, means and timing to achieve established goals and objectives. Effectively and efficiently organizes, arranges and allocates manpower, financial and other designated resources to achieve such goals and objectives.

<u>Organizing:</u> Organizes work and that of subordinate staff well. Ensures that staff members know what results are expected of them and that they are regularly and appropriately informed of all County and department matters affecting them and/or of concern to them.

<u>Staffing:</u> Works with other department officials and management to select and recommend employment of personnel for the division who are qualified both technically and philosophically to meet the needs of the division and the department. Personally directs the development and training of division personnel in order to ensure that they are properly inducted, oriented and trained.

<u>Leading:</u> Provides a work environment, which encourages clear and open communications. Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of the principles of effective leadership and how such principles are to be applied. Provides adequate feedback to staff so that they know whether their performance levels are satisfactory. Commends and rewards employees for outstanding performance yet does not hesitate to take disciplinary action when necessary. Exercises enthusiasm in influencing and guiding others toward the achievement of department goals and objectives.

Controlling: Provides a work environment, which is orderly and controlled. Coordinates, audits and controls manpower and financial resources efficiently and effectively. Coordinates, audits and controls the utilization of materials and equipment efficiently and effectively. Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of County standards, methods and procedures.

<u>Delegating:</u> Assigns additional duties to staff as necessary and/or appropriate in order to meet division goals, enhance staff abilities, build confidence on the job and assist staff members in personal growth. Has confidence in staff to meet new or additional expectations.

<u>Decision Making:</u> Uses discretion and judgment in developing and implementing courses of action affecting the division. When a particular policy, procedure or strategy does not appear to be achieving the desired result, moves decisively and definitively to develop and implement alternatives.

<u>Creativity:</u> Regularly seeks new and improved methodologies, policies and procedures for enhancing the effectiveness of the division and the department. Employs imagination and creativity in the application of duties and responsibilities. Is not adverse to change.

<u>Human Relations:</u> Strives to develop and maintain good rapport with all staff members. Listens to and considers suggestions and complaints and responds appropriately. Maintains the respect and loyalty of staff.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 243 of 310

ASSISTANT COUNTY MANAGER

<u>Policy Implementation:</u> Has a clear and comprehensive understanding of County policies regarding the division, department and County function. Adheres to those policies in the discharge of duties and responsibilities and ensures the same from subordinate staff.

<u>Policy Formulation:</u> Keeps abreast of changes in operating philosophies and policies of the County and continually reviews division policies in order to ensure that any changes in County philosophy or practice are appropriately incorporated. Also understands the relationship between operating policies and practices and department morale and performance. Works to see that established policies enhance same.

DISCLAIMER: This job description is not an employment agreement or contract. Management has the exclusive right to alter this job description at any time without notice.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 244 of 310

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION Civil Action No.:1:20ev1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
Plaintiff, v.)) Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to
) Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment
)
ROBESON COUNTY)
Defendant.)
Detelluallt.	,

COMES NOW Plaintiff, by and through counsel, and files this Response in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. Material issues of fact exist with respect to the discriminatory motivation in the failure to promote Plaintiff to Assistant County Manager in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. §2000e) on the basis of his race, Native American.

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the motion be denied. Plaintiff has filed a memo in opposition to the motion which further explains his position.

Respectfully submitted, this the <u>27th</u> day of September, 2022.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn, Attorney for Plaintiff NC State Bar No.:16799 315 Spring Garden St., Suite 1D Greensboro, NC 27401

Telephone: (336) 272-9072 Email: npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 245 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the Plaintiff's Response in Opposition to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment was served on the Defendant electronically through the CM/ECF system addressed as follows:

Ms. Katharine Barber-Jones Hartzog Law Group kbarber-jones@hartzoglawgroup.com 1903 N. Harrison Avenue, Suite 200 Cary, NC 27513

This the 27th day of September, 2022.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn,
Attorney for Plaintiff
NC State Bar No.:16799
315 Spring Garden St., Suite 1D
Greensboro, NC 27401
Telephone: (336) 272-9072

Email: npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 246 of 310

EXHIBIT A

Case No.: 1:20cv01135

Plaintiff's Deposition Excerpts

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 247 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	4
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION	
3	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-cv-1135	
4		
5	ANTHONY DIAL,)	
6	Plaintiff,)	
7	vs.	
8	ROBESON COUNTY,	
9	Defendant.)	
10		
11		2:1
12		
13	DEPOSITION	
14	Land OF The August March 1	p-u 1
15	ANTHONY DIAL	
16	April 27, 2022 - 10:05 a.m.	4
17	Greensboro, North Carolina	
18		
19		41
20		64
21		5.
22	Stenographically Reported by: Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR	- 4
23	Topoleda by. Blod II. Wildelel, Itili, Chi	
24		
25		1

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 248 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 13

			Page 1
	1	your wife's family live in the Pembroke area?	
	2	A. Yes.	
	3	Q. Okay.	
	4	A. We all live in the Pembroke area. M	lost
	5	of us.	
	6	Q. And what is your wife's full name?	
	. 7	A. Rhonda Goins Dial.	
	8	Q. How do you spell Goins?	
	9	A. G-o-i-n-s.	
	10	Q. And what does your wife do for a job	?
	11	A. She is a supervisor with CDSA. That	
	12	stands for Child Development Services Agency.	
	13	They work with children with special needs from	m
	14	zero to three years of age.	
	15	Q. All right. And what involvement in	
	16	community organizations do you or your wife has	ve?
	17	A. Okay. Let me think for a minute. We	Э
	18	have a Robeson House shelter in Lumberton. I'm	n
	19	the vice chair. The Robeson House is a it's	5
	20	an emergency shelter for children ages seven to	
	21	16. Law enforcement can place children there.	54 []
	22	Local agencies like DSS can place children ther	re l
	23	including the private sector for up to 90 days	
-	24	until we can find permanent placement.	4
4	25	Q. Uh-huh.	E
-			

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 249 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 14

		2.484.1
	1	A. I serve on the Rape Crisis Center
	2	board. I'm also the vice chair on that board.
	3	And we know what Rape Crisis Center does. What
	4	other boards do I serve on? I'm on the Clean and
	5	Green committee with the county. Clean and
	6	Green. It's like a litter project.
	7	Q. Uh-huh.
	8	A. They bring litter awareness to the
	9	community. I am also the watch commander for my
	10	district for community watch. What else do I
	11	serve on? I am a deacon at my church.
	12	Q. And what church do you attend?
	13	A. Thompson Community Baptist Church. And
	14	I'm in the process of being I'm involved in
	15	the Lions Club in Pembroke. I've gone to two
	16	different meetings and they're asking me to join.
	17	Q. All right. Other than the Robeson
	18	House shelter, the Rape Crisis Center, Clean and
	19	Green, neighborhood watch or community watch, the
8	20	Thom Thompson County Baptist
2000	21	A. Community Baptist. I'm sorry.
l		*****

www.huseby.com

Q.

A.

Yeah.

Yeah.

22

23

24

25

Huseby Global Litigation

-- and the Lions Club, are there any other

That's -- my notes are bad.

Community Bap- --

800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 250 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 15

1	community organizations that you're involved in	3
2	A. Yes. Domestic Violence Task Force, I	
3	mean, with the DA's office and sheriff's office	
4	at least quarterly.	
5	Q. Does that relate to your job or is	
6	that	
7	A. Yes. It's certain jobs. It's a	_
8	it is and it isn't. We still work with the	
9	community. It's outreach because I do a lot of	
10	PR also with the County.	
11	Q. Are there any organizations that your	
12	wife is involved in?	
13	A. She is serves on the executive boar	cd
14	for the Lumbee River Electric Membership	
15	Corporation. She also serves on another board,	I
16	think it's Robeson County Partnership, and what	
17	Robeson County Partnership does is they help	
18	they're they're geared basically to help the	
19	children with special needs	
20	Q. Uh-huh.	
21	A like the Smart Start program and al	1
22	that kind of stuff. She's in she's in that	
23	and she's a secretary at our church and a	
24	youth and the youth coordinator.	
25	Q. Wow. You guys must be really busy.	

www.huseby.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 251 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL ROBE 30 20 UNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 40

	1	experience?
	2	A. Yes. As well as I am also a shelter
	3	manager during disasters with the County. I was
	4	a shelter manager for Purnell Swett High School
	5	during Hurricanes Matthew and Florence. During
	6	Hurricane Matthew we had a thousand evacuees in
	7	my shelter.
(20)	8	Q. And do you know anything about
	9	Mr. Hill's supervision and leadership history or
	10	experience?
	11	A. Only from what I've seen from what I
	12	just said. He was he was in charge of the
	13	transportation system. He was also the safety
	14	officer with the County.
	15	Q. This paragraph says that you were
	16	informed that your prior EEOC activity was a
	17	negative factor in scoring for the position.
Ì	18	A. Yes.
	19	Q. Who told you that?
	20	A. Mr. Roger Oxendine, county
	21	commissioner, and also Mr. Tom Taylor, county
	22	commissioner.
	23	Q. When did Mr. Oxendine tell you that?
	24	A. I'd have to refer to my notes, and I
j	25	don't have them.
		useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
W	ww.hus	eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 252 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL VS ROBESTON COUNTY

P	a	g	e	4	
_		0	-		

	PAGE 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ANTHOMYPHAN Dial ROBE/SUSOCOUNTY Page Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
	1	Q. Okay. And Tom Taylor.
	2	A. Yes.
	3	Q. Do you believe or what is your
	4	understanding of the role, if any, that the
	5	county commissioners had in selecting for this
	6	position?
	7	A. The county commissioners are very
	8	involved with the positions with the County.
	9	They have a lot of influence. In fact, I wish I
	10	had my notes, but just recently, Commissioner
	11	Judy Sampson told me that during a county
	12	commissioner meeting, they had a sidebar and the
	13	commissioners talked about anyone that files a
	14	complaint should never advance. She she said
	15	those words to me.
	16	Q. I'm sorry. I'm going to need you to
	17	repeat her name.
	18	A. Judy Sampson.
	19	Q. And what is Ms. Sampson's
	20	A. She she
	21	Q role
	22	A is the county commissioner for my
	23	district, District 5.
	24	Q. And are you saying that she was in a
	25	meeting?
w		Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082 eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 253 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL VS ROBESON COUNTY

Page 42

2000	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
1	A. I wish I had the statute, but when
2	certain when certain number of county
3	commissioners get together, it's still considered
4	a meeting so it was a actual county commissioners
5	meeting. However, I guess they were on break.
6	They had a side I call it a sidebar. They
7	got together and they were talking and the
8	commissioner said, anyone that files a complaint
9	against the County should never advance. And
10	she her words to me were, I can't believe they
11	even said that.
12	Q. Did she say who said that?
13	A. She didn't give me the names, no.
14	Q. Did she say who else was in the room?
15	A. She didn't give me any names. Just
16	said they were commissioners.
17	Q. And when did she tell you this?
18	A. I'd have to refer to my calendar.
19	Q. If you had to ballpark it, would it
20	have been in the last year?
21	A. I'd say last six months.
22	Q. So in this reference to scoring for the
23	position in Paragraph 16, do you have any
24	knowledge that the commissioners were scoring
25	people based on a rubric or assigning number
	eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082 Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
	000-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 254 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL VS ROBESTON COUNTY

Page 43

	ANTHONY PAR PER OBEST AND UNITY Page 4
1	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022 A. I
2	Q scores to anyone?
3	A. I couldn't answer that question at all.
4	Q. Do you have any knowledge of any kind
5	of scoring process undertaken by the
6	commissioners for this position?
7	A. No, I do not.
8	Q. Okay. In the in Paragraph 17 it
9	says, while the selection decision for the
10	assistant county manager was within the scope of
11	county manager Kellie Blue's duties, she serves
12	at the pleasure of the county commissioners and,
13	upon information and belief, would not ignore
14	their input in the process.
15	What makes you believe that the county
16	manager would not ignore the input of a county
17	commissioner in this process?
18	A. They are her boss. They decide whether
19	she gets a pay raise, whether she gets a bonus,
20	whether she even has her job. The county
21	commissioners are totally responsible for the
22	position of county manager.
23	Q. Do you believe that there was consensus
24	or majority or action by the county commissioners
25	to give input to Ms. Blue?
	tseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082 eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 255 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 61

	1	
	1	A. '16 and '18.
	2	Q. Uh-huh.
	3	A. Two 100-year floods in less than two
	4	years.
	5	Q. And so the the shelter
	6	administrator
	7	A. Shelter manager. I'd work hand in hand
	8	with with Red Red Cross whenever they would
	9	arrive and hopefully be able to transition it to
	10	them. Hopefully but that didn't happen.
	11	Q. Did you receive additional compensation
	12	for that role?
	13	A. During Matthew I wouldn't consider it
	14	compensation, but they gave us because we were
	15	working, like, 12- and 14-hour days, we did get
	16	something in our paycheck, but it was just that
	17	one time. It wasn't anything extra. I mean, no.
	18	That was only during Matthew. We didn't get
	19	anything for Florence and it was basically just
1		

A. I should know that. My pay grade is a

overtime. That was all it was.

Q.

salary?

24 Pay Grade 77. I think with my longevity, I may

And what is your current pay grade and

25 be around 86,000. I'm not sure. I think we're

www.huseby.com

20

21

22

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 256 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL VS ROBESTANCOUNTY

Page 62

	ANTH-GAY-DAY ROBESON COUNTY Page
1	getting a pay raise this payday. That's what I
2	was told anyway.
3	Q. And do you know what step you would be?
4	A. If I get another step I wish I could
5	tell you. Okay. I'm I wish I had a copy of
6	it. Year I'm, like, a Pay Grade 77 and then
7	each year you're with the County, you get steps.
8	So I'm a Pay Grade 77, Step 21.
9	Q. Okay. 2000 until 2000
10	A. 2020 exactly.
11	Q. Uh-huh.
12	A. It's so much per year for incentive
13	raises to try to get employees to stay, retain
14	employees.
15	Q. So how do you believe that your
16	experience as we've discussed it in the past half
17	hour or so would have transitioned over into
18	qualifications for the assistant county manager
19	position?
20	A. I hate I hate to ask this: How
21	could they not? You know, an assistant county
22	manager position, it's a key position because you
23	have all these other departments under the County
24	including sort of DSS. Even though DSS reports
25	to is is a stand-alone almost and so is the
	rseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082 eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 257 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY

Page 63

1198	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
1	health department, but like, the water
2	department, parks and rec, all the other
3	departments, waste management, all of that falls
4	under the County. I don't mean to be coy or
5	whatever, but all you really need leadership,
6	you really need experience in budgeting, you
7	really need experience with being able to
8	collaborate with other entities because you
9	always need help especially during an emergency.
10	You need to know how to speak with workers. You
11	need to be, you know, able to communicate.
12	All all that comes from leadership is what
13	I would think you would need as assistant county
14	manager.
15	Q. And there are two assistant county
16	managers, right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. The other one is Jason King?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And do the two co assistant county
21	managers have responsibility for different areas
22	of the County's work?
23	A. Yes, they do.
24	Q. So within the position that you were
25	applying for, do you know what programs that
	Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082 eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 258 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 72

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY So wortherned lead on 1947 77 2022 in a large state of the state	74000
1 Q. So your would once /17120 Prim about things f	or
2 the County, but maybe this wasn't, you know, a	
3 an official I'm sorry. I'm blanking on the	
4 term line of leadership or	
5 A. No. It was just Reese called me and	I
6 answered the phone.	
7 Q. Uh-huh. And it says that it just	
8 when you in your description in the entry f	r
9 January 3rd, it goes on to say, stated assista	ıt
10 comanager position should be filled by a white	
11 person, She Shelton Hill.	
Did somebody state that on the call?	
13 A. Yes.	
Q. Who said that?	
15 A. Commissioner Tom Taylor.	
Q. And how did it come up that he said	
17 that?	
18 A. I think Reese asked about the county	
19 commissioner assistant county manager. Did	
20 you have here's how Reese said it: Do you	
21 have any idea about the assistant county	
22 count county manager position? And then	
23 that's when Tom said what he just said and what	
24 you just read.	
Q. And was he saying that as his own www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-208	

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 259 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 73

	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022	Page 7
1	ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY personal opinioh@hony Dial on 04/27/2022	
2	A. I don't know.	
3	Q. Do you did he give any context for	or
4	why he was saying that?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. What did he say?	
7	A. He said, you would not have two Indi	ans
8	and African-American in administration. You'd	l
9	have to have a white.	
10	Q. So are you saying that because you a	nd
11	Ms. Kellie Blue are Native American and the ot	her
12	assistant county manager who's currently in th	at
13	position is African-American Jason King,	
14	right?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q are you saying that Mr. Taylor sa	id
17	you, Anthony Dial, could not be in the position	n
18	of assistant county manager because you're Nat:	ive
19	American?	
20	A. That's what I gathered.	
21	Q. Did he outright say that?	
22	A. Yes, he did.	
23	Q. What did he say precisely?	
24	A. Exactly what I just said. He said th	nat
25 www.	we would not have two Native Americans, an useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-206	82

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 260 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 74

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNT African-AmericaAnthopytDial on 04/27/2022 d h white as well. Uh-huh. And was he say	nave to have a
	ving we as in the
3 Q. Uh-huh. And was he say	ving we as in the
4 board of commissioners?	
5 A. Yes.	
6 Q. Was he saying this as w	re this is my
7 personal opinion and I'm going to	talk to the
8 other county commissioners about	it or was he
9 telling you that this is what the	other county
10 commissioners felt about it?	
11 A. He just said we. That	was how he
12 exactly how he I can't	
Q. So he didn't specifical.	ly tell you who
14 he was talking about?	
A. He said we.	
Q. We. And you inferred the	hat he was
17 referring to the county commission	ners?
A. I would think so.	
Q. Okay. When you inferred	d that, were
20 there any specific county commissi	ioners who you
21 thought he might have been referri	ing to?
22 A. I was I it would b	e speculation
23 if I even said. I couldn't I d	don't know.
Q. Okay. Why would Reese b	oring Tom Taylor
25 onto the phone other than to talk www.huseby.com Huseby Global Litigation	about the 800-333-2082

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 261 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY

Page 149

	-	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
	1	alleged reflect negatively on you as a person?
	2	A. Because I am a natural leader and it's
	3	my core that's what I do. I I like
	4	doing advancing, but to tell me that I can't
	5	because of my race, it it's disheartening,
	6	that I can't get a certain position because of my
	7	race.
	8	Q. But how does that reflect negatively or
	9	your reputation is what I was asking?
	10	A. Because it's there should be no
	11	reason why I shouldn't be able to advance based
	12	on my past experience and my work history.
	13	There's no reason why I should not be able to
	14	move up. And as I said earlier, with the meeting
	15	of Commissioner Judy Sampson, that little sidebar
	16	meeting, I won't advance with the County.
	17	Q. Do you think what Judy Sampson said
	18	reflects a county policy?
	19	A. It's unwritten pol there's no way
	20	they'd put that in writing.
1 2	21	Q. When she told you about it, it was a
	22	remark made by a commissioner, correct?
8	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. Did she tell you about that remark
:	25	being made by any other commissioners?
	www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
W	ww.hus	eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 262 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL ROBESON COUNTY

Page 150

	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
1	A. She has said it was a group of
2	commissioners. She didn't give me names. Judy
3	Sampson is the type of commissioner that's afraid
4	to use her cell phone. She's very paranoid.
5	When she talks to you, she almost has to do it
6	face-to-face. She had to come to my house and
7	tell me what she told me because she wouldn't do
8	it on the phone.
9	Q. And when did she come to your house to
10	tell you that?
11	A. I have it in my I have it written
12	down in my calendar. I don't have it with me.
13	It was recent. It was less than six months ago.
14	Q. And have you had any physical symptoms
15	related to stress or embarrassment that you
16	believe were caused by not being selected for
17	assistant county manager?
18	A. Just headaches and tension and, like I
19	said earlier, having to walk out of that
20	Christmas celebration.
21	Q. Have you talked to any medical
22	professionals about these physical symptoms?
23	A. I've gone to the VA.
24	Q. Was this a special visit for
25	A. It was
www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 200 222 2002
	900-333-2082
	Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 263 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONY DIAL VS ROBEST ROBEST COUNTY

	ANTHORYMON DIA ROBE 30 20 20 UNITY Page 10
1	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022 Q. Uh-huh.
2	A. So that would be about the extent of
3	that for me.
4	Q. And is there do you have any other
5	experience in managing people other than the
6	supervisory experience that we went through when
7	we were talking about your positions with Robeson
8	County?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Tell me about that other
11	experience.
12	A. Managing people?
13	Q. Uh-huh.
14	A. In the Marine Corps I was actually
15	barrack sergeant for, like, 500 Marines when we
16	were home, when we were at the station. I
17	managed my own night crew for aviation for night
18	flights. I was the supervisor for that. I was
19	the sergeant. I was actually an inspector. I
20	signed planes off safe for flight
21	Q. Uh-huh.
22	A before Marines would even get on
23	those planes. So I have that background as well
24	as, you know, supervising inmates at a prison and
25	then what I'm doing right now with the Department
	Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082
TT SHEELS	eby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800 333 2082

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 264 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY ANTHONTHOUX Dial RUBA/27/2022 UNTV

Page 161

	ANTHOMY DISTANCE OUNTY Page
1	Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022 of Social Services.
2	Q. When you how long were you a
3	sergeant when you were in the Marine Corps?
4	A. I was a sergeant for 18 months, I was
5	corporal I think for 24 months, and I was a
6	private first class prior to that or lance
7	corporal. I'm sorry.
8	Q. And when you worked at the Department
9	of Corrections, did you have any supervisory rol
10	over other corrections officers or
11	A. Just inmates.
12	Q DOC employees?
13	A. Just inmates. And as I said I'm
14	now? Go now?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. Just inmates. Like I said earlier, I
17	was in charge of weapons, so that meant that I
18	was in back then they had road crews that wen
19	out on the roads and I was in charge of those six
20	road crews as far as weapons and equipment when
21	they'd go out in the in the County and pick up
22	trash or cut ditch bands.
23	Q. Uh-huh. Do you have any records
24	related to the leadership training that you've
25	done with the County?
www.h	useby.com Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

800-333-2082 800-333-2082 USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 265 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 166

100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Anthony Diat on 04/2//2022	Page 1
1	copy that I ANTHONY TOPAL vi ROBENON COUNTY saying. Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022	
2	Q. Sure. Yeah. Well, then I I'll a	ask
3	you to forward that to your attorney. But thi	Ls
4	is a very long document with a lot of duties a	
5	responsibilities.	
6	Did you review this in detail	
7	A. Yes, I	
8	Q before you were interviewed for t	he
9	position?	,
10	A. Yes, I did.	
11	Q. Okay. And when you were interviewing	σ
12	for the position, did you connect your experies	
13	or qualifications to any of the the function	
14	that you see in this job app application?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Okay. Can you give me an example of	
17	what you said or	
18	A. To the	
19	Q if you remember what you said.	
20	A. I can't remember. I remember that I	
21	thought it would have been more about capital	
22	building, like you said, and and knowing	
23	different codes for the buildings. I thought t	he
24	process would have been more about that. I don	
25 www	w.Kususember the quentsions alound that 800-335-2082	

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 266 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 167

		Page Page		
	1	don't remember Hoeny DIAL as kobeson beoinny y asked about Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022		
	2	code being codes unless I you know, I can		
	3	remember, but I don't think it was asked.		
	4	Q. So do you in your roles and		
	5	experience at DSS, do you have any involvement i		
	6	developing policies and procedures?		
	7	A. Yes.		
	8	Q. Okay. Can you give me examples of		
	9	that.		
	10	A. It could be dress codes. It could be		
	11	policies and procedures for coming in late to		
	12	work, disciplinary process.		
	13	Q. So when were you involved with, like,		
	14	dress codes and disciplinary policy writing?		
	15	A. We just completed a dress code. It's		
	16	probably about two years ago. We com I i		
	17	fact, I helped with the committee, put certain		
	18	supervisors, certain program managers, certain		
	19	employees on that committee		
0.000	20	Q. Uh-huh.		
3	21	A to build the dress code for work.		
	22	Q. Was that before you interviewed for the		
4	23	assistant county manager position?		
2	24	A. Yes.		
4	25 www.huseby.coQ. Okay. HuAreGlthereatiany other polimies080r			

www.huseby.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 267 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 168

	Page 16
1	procedures the DNy or Alwerd neson conwolved in Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
2	developing?
3	A. It says here you know, it says Asher
4	Company here in 2004 on this document
5	Q. Uh-huh.
6	A that you're reading. We had to
7	develop a policy for retention, keeping
8	employees, but we were we it never went
9	through, but we we worked on it as upper
10	management does. I've been involved with that,
11	too.
12	Q. Okay. Do you have any involvement with
13	telling people how to discipline other people?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Or how to manage them?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What what involvement do you have
18	in in instructing others on how to discipline?
19	A. An example would be just yesterday, one
20	of my supervisors sent me an e-mail. She
21	received a complaint on one of our workers that
22	she had gone up to a bar or something with this
23	person and this person was actually one of our
24	clients or our custodial parents in child
25 www	w.配进身免诉亡. Huseby Global Litigation 800-333-2082

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 268 of 310

ANTHONY DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022

Page 169

l .	
1	Q. Uhn'huby DIAL vs ROBESON COUNTY Anthony Dial on 04/27/2022
2	A. They got into an altercation and this
3	person called to complain on the employee. The
4	supervisor comes to me and wants to know know
5	what should we do and I explained to her, well,
6	do we need to did did well, first off,
7	what did the employee say because there's always
8	two sides and she told me what happened and what
9	the employee said. So I gave her instructions on
10	how to handle it di as a disciplinary action
11	and also as even though you are on your own
12	time, you're held to a higher standard as a
13	county employee. I wanted the worker to
14	understand that, too. Or we'd go through the
15	disciplinary process because that was a
16	documented conference. If anything else happens
17	again, it will go up to a written warning, second
18	written warning, and then we go from there. And
19	that's
20	Q. So you explained to someone else how to
21	do this process?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Have you ever attended meetings
24	of the board of commissioners?
25 _{ww} ,	v.huseby.com. Rare, Museby Global Higation 800-333-2082

www.huseby.com

Huseby Global Litigation

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 269 of 310

EXHIBIT B

Case No.: 1:20cv01135

Affidavit of Rhonda Dial

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 270 of 310

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION

Civil Action No.:1:20cv1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Affidavit of Rhonda Dial
)
ROBESON COUNTY)
)
Defendant.)

I, Rhonda Dial, make this statement of my personal knowledge.

- I am over the age of 18 and competent to give witness testimony. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. I am a resident of Robeson County and have been for 53 years.
- 3. I am Married to Anthony R. Dial and I am aware that he is the Plaintiff
- I am very well acquainted with Robeson County Commissioners , Tom Taylor, Faline Dial, and Judy Sampson
- 5. I am very well acquainted with the Robeson County Manager, Kellie Blue
- I had several conversations with Commissioner Tom Taylor about various topics which did include the job of Assistant County Manager position in 2019.
- 7. I have visited with Mr. Taylor and his Brother Benji in their furniture store many times. Some visits were initiated by Mr. Taylor who brought lunch for myself and my husband and some visits initiated by myself. The visits initiated by myself where usually around holidays when I would be delivering cookies to different individuals in the community to include Mr. Tom and his Brother Benji. At no time were these treats intended to garner favor from Mr. Tom for my husband's career.

 I had a conversation with Commissioner Faline Dial after Anthony Dial's interview. I said to Commissioner Dial that "I wished that they could consider Anthony for the position but I knew Mr. Hill would be getting that job." Her respondence was "I wish we could too."

This the 26TH day of September, 2022.

Rhonda Dial

ROBESON COUNTY, NORTH CARDLINA

I CERTIFY THAT THE TOLLOWING PERSON PERSONALLY
APPEARED BEFORE ME THIS DAY, EACH ARKNOWLFDUING
TO ME THAT SHE SIGNED THE FOLLOWING DOWNERST:
PHOUDA DIAL.

SEPTEMBER 26TH 12022

HOTAR SOLUTION EXPIRES SOLUTION COUNTY

JOHN E. STONES Je.
NY COMMISSION EXPIRES;
5 MAY 2024

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 272 of 310

EXHIBIT C

Case No.: 1:20cv01135

Affidavit Roger Oxendine

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 273 of 310

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

GREENSBORO DIVISION

Civil Action No.:1:20cv1135

ANTHONY DIAL,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Affidavit of Roger Oxendine
)
ROBESON COUNTY	
)
Defendant.)

I, Roger Oxendine, make this statement of my personal knowledge.

- 1. I am a resident of Robeson County
- 2. I currently serve on the Board of Directors for Lumbee River Electrical Membership Corporative
- 3. I served as a County Commissioner for Robeson County from 2006-2020 and I am aware that Anthony Dial is the Plaintiff
- 4. I am very well acquainted with all seating Robeson County Commissioners.
- 5. I sat on the Robeson County Board with Tom Taylor, David Edge, Lance Herndon, Pauline Campbell, and Faline Dial.
- 6. I am very well acquainted with the Robeson County Manager, Kellie Blue
- 7. I am very aware of the Politics of Robeson County Government
- 8. During my 16 years as a Robeson County Commissioner, it was standard practice to recommend people for positions within the County. County Commissioners do have influence with Department Heads and with the Robeson County Manager when hiring new staff and promoting current staff within Robeson County Local Government.

Roger Sendine

noton signature

My commission expires May 25, 2024.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 274 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ANTHONY	DIAL,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.)	1:20-cv-1135
)	
ROBESON	COUNTY,)	
)	
	Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

OSTEEN, JR., District Judge

Before this court is Defendant Robeson County's Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. 25.) For the following reasons, this court will grant Defendant's motion.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On May 16, 2017, Plaintiff Anthony Dial filed a charge of employment discrimination alleging race and sex discrimination, as well as retaliation, with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). (Ex. 1, 2017 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-1).)¹ On December 10, 2019, Plaintiff filed another charge of employment discrimination alleging race discrimination and retaliation with the EEOC. (Ex. 2, 2019 EEOC Charge (Doc. 8-2).) These charges

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ All citations in this Memorandum Opinion and Order to documents filed with the Court refer to the page numbers located at the bottom righthand corner of the documents as they appear on CM/ECF.

were timely submitted to the EEOC, and the EEOC issued Plaintiff right to sue letters, pursuant to 42 U.S.C § 200(e)-(5)(f) et seq. (See Compl. (Doc. 3) ¶¶ 12, 18, 22.) This court has previously found that Plaintiff's complaint incorporates by reference both of Plaintiff's charges of discrimination to the EEOC. (See Mem. Op. and Order (Doc. 12) at 3.)

Plaintiff filed his complaint in Guilford County Superior Court on November 12, 2020. (Compl. (Doc. 3) at 1.) Plaintiff alleged the following causes of action against Robeson County and Robeson County Department of Social Services: race and sex discrimination in violation of Title VII for Plaintiff's nonpromotion to Director of the Department of Social Services in favor of a Black female; race discrimination in violation of Title VII for Plaintiff's non-promotion to Assistant County Manager in favor of a Caucasian male; retaliation in violation of Title VII; and violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983. (Compl. (Doc. 3) $\P\P$ 7-39.) Robeson County and Robeson County Department of Social Services filed a Petition for Removal on December 16, 2020. (Doc. 1.) They then moved to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). (Doc. 7.) This court granted the motion as to Plaintiff's claims for Title VII race and sex discrimination in the non-promotion to Director of the Department of Social Services, Plaintiff's Title VII retaliation claim, and Plaintiff's §§ 1981 and 1983 claims. (See Mem. Op. and Order (Doc. 12) at 34.) This court denied the motion to dismiss as to Plaintiff's claim of Title VII race discrimination in the non-promotion to Assistant County Manager. (See id.)

Robeson County Department of Social Services was dismissed from this action because of the lack of statutory authority to proceed independently against the Department of Social Services, (id. at 9-11); Robeson County is the sole remaining Defendant in this action.

Defendant Robeson County (hereinafter, "Defendant") filed a motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's sole remaining claim of Title VII race discrimination in the non-promotion to Assistant County Manager, (Doc. 25), as well as a supporting brief, (Br. in Supp. of Def. Robeson County's Mot. for Summ. J. ("Def.'s Br.") (Doc. 27)). Plaintiff responded in opposition. (Pl.'s Mem. in Opp'n to Def.'s Mot. for Summ. J. ("Pl.'s Resp.") (Doc. 31).) Defendant replied. (Reply Br. in Supp. of Def. Robeson County's Mot. for Summ. J. ("Def.'s Reply") (Doc. 33).) Defendant's motion for summary judgment, (Doc. 25), is ripe for adjudication.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On a motion for summary judgment, the court views the evidence in the light most favorable to Plaintiff as the

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 277 of 310

nonmoving party. <u>See Shaw v. Stroud</u>, 13 F.3d 791, 798 (4th Cir. 1994).

A. Plaintiff's Background and Employment History

Plaintiff is a Native American male. (Compl. (Doc. 3) at 1;
Answer (Doc. 13) at 2.) Prior to working for Robeson County,
Plaintiff served in the Marine Corps from 1987 to 1993. (See Ex.

3, Excerpts from Tr. of Dep. of Anthony Dial ("Def.'s Excerpts
Dial Dep.") (Doc. 25-3) at 6.) After leaving the Marine Corps,
Plaintiff worked for the North Carolina Department of
Corrections from 1994 to 2000 as a certified correctional
officer and a certified armorer. (See id. at 4, 8.) During that
time, Plaintiff attended night school at the Robeson County
Community College for two years, after which Plaintiff
transferred to University of North Carolina at Pembroke. (See
id. at 5.)

After receiving his degree in 2000, Plaintiff left his job at the Department of Corrections to work for the Robeson County Department of Social Services ("Robeson County DSS"). (See id. at 9-10.) Plaintiff started as a foster care social worker in Child Protective Services, and he was in that position from approximately 2000 to 2002. (See id. at 10, 16-17.) In that role, Plaintiff "supervised visits for children that were in care[,] . . . offered services to their families[,] . . .

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 278 of 310

[assisted with family] reunification, "and "[i]f those reunification efforts failed, . . . place[d] [children] with a relative, or even adoption." (Id. at 17.) It was not a supervisory role. (See id. at 17-18.)

From approximately 2002 to 2005, Plaintiff worked as a Child Protective Services investigator with an official job title of Child Protective Services Social Worker III. (See id. at 18.) In that role, Plaintiff "received referrals or complaints . . . [regarding] children that were abused and neglected"; Plaintiff "investigate[d] those complaints" and "offer[ed] services." (Id. at 18-19.) This was also not a supervisory role. (See id. at 19.)

In 2005, Plaintiff became a foster care supervisor, and he served in that role for approximately two and a half years. (See id. at 19-20.) In that role, Plaintiff "managed caseloads," monitored the "state or federal aid received by [each] child," and "supervised LINKS," which is a "program that helps children transition" out of foster care. (Id. at 20-23.) Next, Plaintiff worked as a supervisor in the investigative unit of Robeson County DSS for approximately two and a half years. (See id. at 23-24.) Although Plaintiff's positions from 2005 to present may have included supervisory responsibilities, Plaintiff was

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 279 of 310

classified as a supervisor for approximately five years. (See id.)

From 2009 until 2014, Plaintiff served as an income maintenance administrator for Robeson County DSS, which involved grantwriting for a new child advocacy unit, as well as meeting with multidisciplinary stakeholders such as the DA's office, law enforcement, and social workers. (See id. at 24-26.) In this position, Plaintiff supervised several program managers within Robeson County DSS. (See id. at 29.)

From 2014 to the present, Plaintiff serves as program manager for child support, serves as the hearing officer for Medicaid fraud, and does outreach for Robeson County DSS at job fairs. (See id. at 29-32). This is essentially a lateral position to Plaintiff's prior role as income maintenance administrator, along with a few additional duties. (See id. at 31.) Finally, Plaintiff took on ad-hoc leadership duties during Hurricanes Matthew and Florence as a shelter manager working with the Red Cross. (See id. at 32-33.)

Outside of his work experience, Plaintiff is involved in several community organizations. Plaintiff is the vice chair of the Robeson House shelter in Lumberton, which is "an emergency shelter for children ages seven to [sixteen]." (Ex. A, Pl. Dep. Excerpts ("Pl.'s Excerpts Dial Dep.") (Doc. 31-1) at 3.)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 280 of 310

Plaintiff is also the vice chair of the Rape Crisis Center Board. (Id. at 4) Plaintiff serves on the Clean and Green Committee in Robeson County and is the watch commander for his district's community watch. (Id.) Plaintiff is a deacon at his church. (Id.) Plaintiff is involved with the Domestic Violence Task Force. (See id. at 5.) Finally, Plaintiff has recently been involved with the Pembroke Lions Club. (See id. at 4.)

B. Assistant County Manager Position

Kellie Blue is the County Manager for Robeson County. (See Decl. of Kellie Blue ("Blue Decl.") (Doc. 28) at 1.) Blue was appointed to this position by the Robeson County Board of Commissioners pursuant to a four-year contract, and she began this job on January 1, 2019. (See id.) Previously, she served as Assistant County Manager and reported to the former County Manager. (See id.) Both Blue and her predecessor as County Manager are Native American. (See id.)

Robeson County typically has two Assistant County Managers, each of whom oversee different departments on behalf of the County Manager. (See id. at 2.) In Kellie Blue's role as Assistant County Manager immediately before her appointment to County Manager, she oversaw "human services, the health department, transportation, insurance and risk management, emergency management services, communications, IT, claims, and

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 281 of 310

planning and zoning." (<u>Id.</u>) The other Assistant County Manager — Jason King — was responsible for "the County's water and sewer enterprises, building management, fleet management, veterans' services, and human resources." (<u>Id.</u>) It appears that the two Assistant County Managers divide up Robeson County's different departments and oversee them independently of each other, with each reporting to the County Manager. (<u>See id.</u>)

When Kellie Blue was appointed to County Manager, her previous position as Assistant County Manager became vacant.

(See id.) Blue wanted to "fill the position as soon as possible with someone who could 'hit the ground running' and would not need extensive training, as [she] was very busy transitioning into the County Manager role at the time." (Id.)

Robeson County's Human Resources Department posted the open position on the Robeson County Employment Website on February 12, 2019. (See id. at 2; see also Ex. A, Job Posting for 2019 Assistant County Manager Position (Doc. 28-1).) The job description included a list of essential job functions and minimum qualifications. (See generally Ex. B, 2019 Job Description for Assistant County Manager ("Job Description") (Doc. 28-2).) The minimum training and experience included:

Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field; considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 282 of 310

programs and personnel; <u>or</u> any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

(<u>Id.</u> at 4 (emphasis added).) Essential job functions included, inter alia:

Assists the County Manager in development of policies and procedures pertaining to personnel, capital improvement, fiscal control, construction projects and public relations issues; serves as member of County Manager's management team, participating in meetings and discussions to formulate and develop planning on various issues pertaining to operations of County government.

Oversees County capital improvement projects, ensuring adherence to specified standards; confers with department heads, supervisory boards, County Commissioners, court officials, architects, contractors, etc., to resolve disputes, as necessary.

Oversees, coordinates and administers various personnel programs in accordance with local, state and federal ordinances, statutes and laws; develops amendments to local personnel ordinance, as necessary, and presents recommendations to County Commissioners.

Represents the County in official capacities on various regional and County boards and committees.

Assumes duties of County Manager in his/her absence, ensuring adherence to established policies, procedures and standards.

(Id. at 2.)

C. Selection Process

Robeson County's Human Resources Department collected and screened job applications, resulting in eight qualified applicants being moved forward for interviews. (See Blue Decl.

(Doc. 28) at 3.) These candidates included Plaintiff and Shelton Hill. (See id.) To assess the candidates, Blue prepared a list of interview questions, as well as a budget exercise. (See id.) Blue interviewed both Plaintiff and Hill "on or about Wednesday, May 1, 2019." (Id.) During the interview, Blue "followed the script [of questions] for each . . . applicant." (Ex. 1, Tr. of Dep. of Kellie Blue ("Blue Dep.") (Doc. 25-1) at 12.) Blue testified that she had total discretion in selecting an Assistant County Manager; the Robeson County Board of Commissioners did not provide any input, solicited or unsolicited, regarding candidates. (See id. at 6-8).

Ultimately, Shelton Hill, a Caucasian male, was selected for the Assistant County Manager position. (See Ex. 2, Tr. of Dep. of Shelton Hill ("Hill Dep.") (Doc. 25-2) at 5.) Hill has a high school diploma but not a bachelor's degree. (See Appl. of Shelton Hill for Assistant County Manager Position ("Hill Job Appl.") (Doc. 28-4) at 3, 8.) "Hill had a decade and a half of management experience in one of the largest textile firms in Robeson County, supervising over a hundred people." (Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5-6.) After his private sector work, Hill moved to the public sector. (See id. at 5.) "Hill worked for the Lumber River Council of Governments for two years and the Department of Transportation for a year." (Id. at 5.) Hill then began working

for Robeson County, which altogether "gave him almost 20 years' experience in public sector work directly related to the role he was interviewing for." (Id.) Hill "had worked in transportation, human services, human resources, risk management, safety, and inspections. . . This included technical areas such as obtaining Medicaid/Medicare and other grant reimbursements, handling claims, and managing departments that provide important services to the County's residents." (Id.) Hill had also previously worked with Blue; she was his supervisor in his role as "County Risk Manager/Safety Officer." (See Hill Job Appl. (Doc. 28-4) at 6.)

Blue testified that "when [she] considered the applicants, [she] felt that Mr. Hill had the most relevant experience for the position." (Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5.) Blue elaborated:

He had a broad . . . skill set that would be essential to the manager's office in an assistant manager role. . . . He had worked in our human resource office, handled risk management. That is a key . . . facet of an assistant manager. . . . One of the other areas is SEATS. He had worked in our regional transportation department [and was] very familiar with . . . the SEATS program.

(Blue Dep. (Doc. 25-1) at 14-15.) Although Hill did not have a four-year college degree, Blue testified that <u>either</u> a college degree or relevant work experience was necessary and that Hill's lack of a college degree was not a concern for Hill's candidacy.

(See <u>id.</u> at 16-17.) She explained that "Hill's prior experience

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 285 of 310

gave him a proven track record in the areas that the person hired as Assistant County Manager would be expected to supervise." (Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5.)

In contrast, regarding Plaintiff's qualifications, Blue testified that Plaintiff's "concentration has been in one area, and that is our DSS department, and then Mr. Hill had a broad array . . . of experience [in] what we needed in the manager's office with some HR, risk management . . . " (Blue Dep. (Doc. 25-1) at 20.)

D. <u>Tom Taylor's Alleged Involvement in the Selection</u> Process

Tom Taylor is an elected member of the Robeson County Board of Commissioners. (See Ex. 5, Decl. of H.T. ("Tom") Taylor, Jr. ("Taylor Decl.") (Doc. 25-5) at 1.) Taylor also owns and operates a hardware store in Lumberton. (See id.)

Plaintiff alleges that County Commissioners, like Taylor, have influence on Robeson County personnel decisions. (See Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 2-3, 8-9.) In support, Plaintiff cites the unsworn and unsigned affidavit of Roger Oxendine, a former Robeson County Commissioner. (See id. at 9; see also Aff. of Roger Oxendine (Doc. 31-3) at 2.) Plaintiff also testified that Taylor stated in a three-way phone conversation between Taylor, Plaintiff, and Reese Oxendine (another Robeson County employee)

that "[we] would not have two Indians and [an] African-American in administration. [We'd] have to have a White." (Pl.'s Excerpts Dial Dep. (Doc. 31-1) at 13-15 (cleaned up).) Taylor denies making any such statement.² (See Taylor Decl. (Doc. 25-5) at 2.) Taylor also testified that he "never told Kellie Blue anything about who she should hire for the [Assistant County Manager] position." (Id. at 3.)

Additionally, Plaintiff's wife, Rhonda Dial, testified that Taylor told her, in discussing the Assistant County Manager position, "[t]hat is Shelton Hill's job." (See Ex. B, Aff. of Rhonda Dial (Doc. 31-2) at 3.)

III. LEGAL STANDARD

Summary judgment is appropriate when "there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a); see Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23 (1986). When reviewing a summary judgment motion, the court must view all evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. See Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp., 475 U.S. 574, 600-01 (1986). This court's summary judgment inquiry is whether the evidence "is so one-sided that one party must prevail as a

² As will be explained <u>infra</u> Section IV.C.2, Taylor's alleged statement does not create a genuine issue of material fact, even if admissible.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 287 of 310

matter of law." <u>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 477 U.S. 242, 251-52 (1986).

The moving party bears the initial burden of demonstrating "that there is an absence of evidence to support the nonmoving party's case." Celotex Corp., 477 U.S. at 325. If the "moving party discharges its burden . . ., the nonmoving party then must come forward with specific facts showing that there is a genuine issue for trial." McLean v. Patten Cmtys., Inc., 332 F.3d 714, 718-19 (4th Cir. 2003) (citing Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co, 475 U.S. at 586-87). Summary judgment should be granted "unless a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party on the evidence presented." Id. at 719 (citing Liberty Lobby, 477 U.S. at 247-48).

IV. ANALYSIS

Plaintiff alleges a Title VII employment discrimination claim against Defendant for failure to promote Plaintiff to Assistant County Manager on the basis of race. (Compl. (Doc. 3) at 2-3.) Plaintiff claims that the County Manager, Kellie Blue, chose not to promote him to the position of Assistant County Manager even though he was more qualified than Shelton Hill, the Caucasian male ultimately hired for the position. (See id.)

Title VII makes it an unlawful employment practice for an employer, including a state agency, "to discriminate against any

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 288 of 310

individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's race." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1). A Title VII plaintiff may survive a summary judgment motion through one of two avenues of proof. A plaintiff may establish through direct or circumstantial evidence that race, though not the sole reason, was a "motivating factor" in the denial of a promotion. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins., 416 F.3d 310, 318 (4th Cir. 2005). Alternatively, a plaintiff may use the burden-shifting framework established by the Supreme Court in McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Green, 411 U.S. 792 (1973). See id. Because the parties proceed under the McDonnell Douglas framework in their arguments, this court will proceed under the McDonnell Douglas burden-shifting analysis. See generally Reeves v. Sanderson Plumbing Prods., Inc., 530 U.S. 133, 141 (2000) (providing an overview of the McDonnell Douglas burden-shifting framework).

Under <u>McDonnell Douglas</u>, a plaintiff must first establish a prima facie case of discrimination. <u>See id.</u> at 142. If the plaintiff establishes his prima facie case, the burden then shifts to the employer to articulate a "legitimate, nondiscriminatory reason" for its actions. <u>See id.</u> (internal citations omitted). If the employer does so, the burden shifts back to the plaintiff to present evidence that the employer's

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 289 of 310

articulated reason was pretext for unlawful discrimination. <u>See id.</u> at 143. The plaintiff bears the ultimate burden of persuasion. <u>Tex. Dep't of Cmty. Affairs v. Burdine</u>, 450 U.S. 248, 253 (1981).

Here, although Plaintiff establishes a prima facie case of discrimination, Defendant provides a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for promoting Hill over Plaintiff.

Further, Plaintiff does not demonstrate that Defendant's articulated reason was pretext for unlawful discrimination.

Thus, this court will grant Defendant's motion for summary judgment.

A. Plaintiff's Prima Facie Case

Viewed in the light most favorable to Plaintiff, this court finds that Plaintiff has established a prima facie case of race discrimination in his non-promotion to Assistant County Manager. To establish a prima facie case for discriminatory failure to promote, a plaintiff must prove that he: (1) is a member of a protected class; (2) applied for the position; (3) was qualified for the position; and (4) was rejected under circumstances giving rise to an inference of unlawful discrimination. See Amirmokri v. Baltimore Gas & Elec. Co., 60 F.3d 1126, 1129 (4th Cir. 1995). "The burden of establishing a prima facie case of disparate treatment is not onerous. The plaintiff must prove by

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 290 of 310

a preponderance of the evidence that []he applied for an available position for which []he was qualified, but was rejected under circumstances which give rise to an inference of unlawful discrimination." Burdine, 450 U.S. at 253.

Here, neither party disputes that Plaintiff has established the first two elements of a prima facie case. First, Plaintiff, as a Native American, is a member of a protected class. (Compare Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 16, with Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 4.)

Second, Plaintiff applied for the Assistant County Manager Position. (Compare Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 16, with Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 4.)

Further, Defendant concedes the third element, as Defendant does not argue that Plaintiff was unqualified for the Assistant County Manager Position, but rather, that Hill was more qualified than Plaintiff. (See Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 15-19.) Blue's Declaration also suggests that Plaintiff was qualified for the position of Assistant County Manager, as Blue testified that only qualified candidates advanced to the interview stage of the selection process. (See Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 3 ("The eight remaining, qualified applicants, including Anthony Dial and Shelton Hill, were interviewed by me over the course of several days.").)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 291 of 310

Finally, "[t]o satisfy the fourth prong, [Plaintiff] need only show that the position was filled by a person not in the protected class." Weaks v. N.C. Dept. of Transp., 761 F. Supp. 2d 289, 298 (M.D.N.C. 2011); see also Carter v. Ball, 33 F.3d 450, 458 (4th Cir. 1994). The Assistant County Manager position was filled by Shelton Hill, who is a Caucasian, (see Hill Dep. (Doc. 25-2) at 5), so the position was filled by a person not in a protected class.

However, "[m]ore recently, the Fourth Circuit has cast doubt on [prima facie case analysis], stating that such a rule would mean 'any qualified member of a protected class who alleges nothing more than that []he was denied a position or promotion in favor of someone outside [his] protected class would be able to survive a Rule 12(b)(6) motion.'" Velez v.

Wormuth, No. 7:20-CV-20-D, 2022 WL 3022134, at *6 (E.D.N.C. July 29, 2022) (quoting McCleary-Evans v. Md. Dep't of Transp., 780 F.3d 582, 588 (4th Cir. 2015)). In line with that critique, Defendant argues that to satisfy the fourth prong of the prima facie case, Plaintiff must show that a "comparator of a different protected class than [P]laintiff was selected" for the job, where "there must be 'enough common features between [Plaintiff and the comparator] to allow for a meaningful comparison.'" (Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 16 (quoting Humphries v.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 292 of 310

CBOCS West, Inc., 474 F.3d 387, 405 (7th Cir. 2007).) Defendant contends that Hill is not an appropriate comparator to Plaintiff to satisfy the fourth prong because they both "brought differing combinations of education and experience to their applications." (Id. at 20.)

This court is not convinced by Defendant's argument as a matter of law. Whether or not the comparator is sufficiently similar to establish an inference of discrimination here appears to present an issue of fact for the jury to determine whether there are enough common features. For purposes of summary judgment, Plaintiff and Hill were both qualified for the Assistant County Manager position; whether Hill's experience is sufficient to distinguish Plaintiff's college degree and experience is an issue of fact. Furthermore, it appears to this court that the appropriate comparators here in a failure to promote case would be similarly-situated applicants for the Assistant County Manager. Plaintiff and Hill, both qualified candidates for the job, one of whom is a member of a protected class and one of whom is not, are sufficiently similar for purposes of summary judgment. Accordingly, as Hill, who is not a member of a protected class, was promoted over Plaintiff, a member of a protected class, an inference of discrimination may arise. See Gary v. Facebook, Inc., 822 F. App'x 175, 180 (4th

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 293 of 310

Cir. 2020) ("Under <u>McDonnell Douglas</u>, an inference of discrimination arises from evidence that the employer favored a comparator over the plaintiff.").

Thus, this court finds that Plaintiff has established a prima facie case of discrimination.

B. Defendant's Legitimate, Non-Discriminatory Reasons

"Under McDonnell Douglas, once a plaintiff establishes a prima facie case, the burden shifts to the defendant to produce a non-discriminatory explanation for the decision." Weaks, 761

F. Supp. 2d at 298. "The burden on Defendants at this stage is one of production, not persuasion, and the court's analysis 'can involve no credibility assessment.'" Id. at 298-99 (quoting St. Mary's Honor Ctr. v. Hicks, 509 U.S. 502, 509 (1993)).

Defendant proffers that Hill was selected for the position of Assistant County Manager because he was the better-qualified candidate. (See Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5.) "[R]elative employee qualifications are widely recognized as valid, non-discriminatory bases for any adverse employment decision." Evans v. Techs. Applications & Serv. Co., 80 F.3d 954, 960 (4th Cir. 1996). And an "employer has discretion to choose among equally qualified candidates provided the decision is not based upon unlawful criteria." Id.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 294 of 310

Defendant explains that "Hill worked in leadership positions in several County departments, including the office for risk management, safety officer, operations manager, and safety officer for the DOT transportation system, and as a combined assistant director of human resources and risk manager." (Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 9; see also Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5-6.) Blue testified that Hill's experiences in human resources and risk management, as well as his familiarity with the SEATS program, were key in selecting Hill for the position. (Blue Dep. (Doc. 25-1) at 15.) This is consistent with Defendant's job description for the Assistant County Manager position, which requires: human resources skills, such as "acting on employee problems, selecting new employees, appraising employee performance, recommending promotions, discipline, termination and salary increases"; risk and safety compliance skills, such as "develop[ing] policies, procedures, and programs to meet safety standards set by state and federal laws, codes, and regulations including United States and state occupational safety and health administration regulations," along with managing "liability and property insurance programs"; and familiarity with the County's transportation and SEATS programs, as the Assistant County Manager "[a]dministers [the] County vehicle program." (Job Description (Doc. 28-2) at 2, 3.)

- 21 -

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 295 of 310

This contrasts with Plaintiff's more concentrated work experience solely in the Robeson County DSS. (Def.'s Excerpts Dial Dep. (Doc. 25-3) at 9-10, 16-29.)

Further, Blue testified that she wanted to hire someone who could "hit the ground running," (see Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 2), which would likely be an applicant with familiarity across multiple Robeson County departments, like Hill, rather than someone whose work is concentrated only in one department, like Plaintiff.

Additionally, Defendant points to Hill's extensive supervisory and managerial experience, in comparison to Plaintiff's limited supervisory experience. (Def.'s Br. (Doc. 27) at 10-11.) Specifically, Hill supervised "over a hundred people" for a decade and a half while working in the private sector before he worked for Robeson County. (Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 5.) While classified as a supervisor, Plaintiff had only supervised five foster care social workers from 2005 to 2008 and then four investigative social workers from 2008 to 2009. (Application of Pl. Anthony Dial for Assistant County Manager Position ("Pl. Job Appl.") (Doc. 28-3) at 4-5.)

Plaintiff argues that Blue failed to consider Plaintiff's experiences outside of working for the Robeson County Department of Social Services, including his service "in the Marine Corp,

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 296 of 310

[employment with the] Department of Public Safety, and volunteer organizations." (Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 5-6.) This court is not convinced by Plaintiff's argument because even if this court accepts Plaintiff's argument as to his additional experience, those facts do not rebut Defendant's nondiscriminatory reasons for the selection of Hill.

This stage of analysis "can involve no credibility assessment." St. Mary's Honor Ctr. v. Hicks, 509 U.S. 502, 509 (1993). The defendant "need only articulate 'reasons for its actions which, if believed by the trier of fact, would support a finding that unlawful discrimination was not the cause of the employment action." Moore v. Mukasey, 305 F. App'x 111, *4 (4th Cir. Dec. 30, 2008) (emphasis in original) (quoting St. Mary's Honor Ctr., 509 U.S. at 507). At summary judgment, it is Plaintiff's burden to come forward with evidence to create an issue of material fact; this court does not weigh evidence. See Reeves, 530 U.S. at 142-43 (explaining that the defendant's burden at the second stage of the McDonnel Douglas analysis "is one of production, not persuasion"); Bius v. Thompson, No. 02cv01120, 2004 WL 1348221, at *5 (M.D.N.C. June 14, 2004) ("This court 'does not sit as a kind of super-personnel department weighing the prudence of employment decisions made by firms charged with employment discrimination.' 'When an employer

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 297 of 310

articulates a reason for failing to select the plaintiff not forbidden by law, it is not the court's province to decide whether the reason was wise, fair, or even correct.'") (cleaned up).

In sum, Defendant has presented evidence that Hill was selected for the Assistant County Manager position over Plaintiff because Hill had a wider array of relevant prior work experiences in key areas of responsibility for an Assistant County Manager and because Hill had more supervisory and managerial experiences. Although Plaintiff alleges that he had equivalent experience, Plaintiff has not presented evidence sufficient to create an issue of material fact as to Blue's testimony. Thus, Defendant has articulated a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for its decision to promote Hill over Plaintiff, meeting its burden at this stage of the McDonnell Douglas framework.

C. Plaintiff's Evidence of Pretext

Once an employer satisfies its burden of providing a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason, the burden "returns to the plaintiff to 'prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the stated reason for the adverse employment action is a pretext and the true reason is discriminatory or retaliatory.'" Gary, 822 F. App'x at 180. "A plaintiff alleging a failure to promote

can prove pretext by showing that he was better qualified, or by amassing circumstantial evidence that otherwise undermines the credibility of the employer's stated reasons." Heiko v. Colombo Sav. Bank, F.S.B., 434 F.3d 249, 259 (4th Cir. 2006). "The ultimate burden of persuasion remains on the plaintiff at all times." Weaks, 761 F. Supp. 2d at 300 (citing Burdine, 450 U.S. at 252-53.) Thus, Plaintiff must "forecast sufficient evidence for a jury to conclude that (1) he was the better qualified candidate or (2) Defendant['s] stated reason for promoting [Hill] over him was pretextual." See id. This court finds that Plaintiff has not forecast sufficient evidence that he was a better qualified candidate or that Defendant's stated reason for promoting Hill was pretextual. Thus, Plaintiff fails to meet his burden at the third and final stage of the McDonnell Douglas framework.³

^{3 &}quot;[A]n equally valid way to prove pretext is to provide evidence that the employer's proffered reason was not the actual reason relied on, but was rather a false description of its reasoning — albeit one based on a real difference in qualifications — manufactured after the fact." <u>Dennis v.</u> <u>Columbia Colleton Med. Ctr., Inc.</u>, 290 F.3d 639, 648 n.4 (4th Cir. 2002). Plaintiff has not presented any such argument, so this court will not consider this method of showing pretext.

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 299 of 310

Plaintiff's Argument that he was the Better Qualified Applicant

Plaintiff contends that he is a superior candidate for Assistant County Manager than Hill because he has a college degree, while Hill does not, and because he has greater supervisory experience than what was represented by Blue. (See Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 6-7.) This argument is not convincing. "When a plaintiff relies on his qualifications to establish pretext, he must do more than show his qualifications were similar or only slightly superior to those of the person eventually selected." Weaks, 761 F. Supp. 2d at 300. Instead, he must either show that "qualifications were so plainly superior that the employer could not have preferred another candidate" or "provide evidence that the employer's proffered reason was not the actual reason relied on, but was rather, a false description of its reasoning . . . manufactured after the fact." Dennis, 290 F.3d at 648 n.4. As Plaintiff does not present evidence that Blue's explanation was "manufactured after the fact," Plaintiff must show his qualifications were "plainly superior" to Hill's. See id.

Plaintiff focuses on "a college degree as the first (and presumably primary) job qualification" for the Assistant County

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 300 of 310

manager position. (Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 7.) The job description states:

MINIMUM TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE

Bachelor's degree in Business/Public Administration, or a related field; considerable increasingly responsible experience in the administration and management of local government programs and personnel; or any equivalent combination of training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge and abilities.

(Job Description (Doc. 28-2) at 4.) Thus, the plain language of the job description requires as minimum qualifications either a bachelor's degree "or" relevant "training and experience which provides the required skills, knowledge, and abilities." (Id.) Plaintiff met the educational requirements and it is not disputed that Plaintiff had a Bachelor's degree and Hill did not.

However, Blue explained that Hill's past work experiences were in key related areas of the Assistant County Manager position and that she prioritized that experience over education, as Blue sought an applicant who could "hit the ground running" over someone who may need more training. (See Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 2, 6.) Plaintiff has presented no evidence to contradict Blue's subjective determination that Hill's broad array of experience, including human resources, risk management, and SEATS, (see Blue Dep. (Doc. 25-1) at 20), were not

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 301 of 310

appropriately desirable skills for the Assistant County Manager position. A college degree or relevant experience were minimum qualifications but did not establish superior qualifications for the position. See Westinghouse Savannah River Co., 406 F.3d at 270-71 (explaining that just because the plaintiff exceeded the minimum educational requirements for a job and had more education than her competitor does not alone establish that the employer's reasons for promoting her competitor were pretext for discrimination). Plaintiff's argument that his college degree makes him more qualified is not persuasive. See id. (explaining that when defendants "base the promotion decision on the core and functional competencies listed in the job description and not on the educational levels of applicants, [the plaintiff] cannot rely on [his] educational background to establish that the defendants' reasons for promoting [a less-educated candidate] was a pretext for discrimination").

Additionally, Plaintiff contends that Blue failed to fully consider all of Plaintiff's supervisory experiences while in the Marine Corps and in community organizations. (Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 6-7.) However, Plaintiff only included his involvement with Robeson House, not any other community organizations, in his application for the Assistant County Manager position, (see Pl. Job Appl. (Doc. 28-3) at 8), and Plaintiff does not contend

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 302 of 310

those experiences were discussed in his interview. Further,

Plaintiff held supervisory roles for less time than Hill, and

Plaintiff supervised fewer people than Hill. Thus, this argument
is not sufficient to establish a genuine issue of material fact
as to whether Plaintiff was "plainly superior" to Hill for the

Assistant County Manager position.

The record does not establish a material issue of fact concerning whether Plaintiff was more qualified than Hill. Hill had extensive supervisory experience and experience in areas of responsibility for the Assistant County Manager position.

Plaintiff, although he had completed a bachelor's degree, only had experience within the Robeson County DSS. Further, Hill had previously worked directly under Jason King, the other Assistant County Manager, and Blue, the former Assistant County Manager.

(See Hill Job Appl. (Doc. 28-4) at 6-7.)

2. <u>Circumstantial Evidence Undermining Defendant's</u> Stated Reason

Plaintiff also proffers evidence to establish that

Defendant's stated reason for promoting Hill over Plaintiff for
the Assistant County Manager position was pretextual. However,
neither of the two arguments Plaintiff advances are convincing.

First, Plaintiff alleges that Taylor, a member of the Robeson County Board of Commissioners, had influence on the

selection process and did not want a Native American to be selected for the role. (See Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 9.) Plaintiff's allegation rests upon two facts: that the Board of Commissioners has input on personnel decisions, based upon a former Commissioner's testimony, (see Ex. C, Aff. Roger Oxendine (Doc. 31-3) at 2); and that Taylor stated to Plaintiff that "we would not have two Indians and an African-American in administration," (Pl.'s Excerpts Dial Dep. (Doc. 31-1) at 13-15). Oxendine's affidavit - which is not sworn, notarized, or signed - cannot be considered by this court on a motion for summary judgment. 4 Orsi v. Kirkwood, 999 F.2d 86, 92 (4th Cir. 1993) ("It is well established that unsworn, unauthenticated documents cannot be considered on a motion for summary judgment."). Further, Blue testified that "[t]he Board of Commissioners did not have any say in my decision." (Blue Decl. (Doc. 28) at 7.) Thus, based on the record this court may

⁴ Even if Oxendine's affidavit is considered, it would not affect this analysis. In terms of specific facts, the affidavit states that Oxendine served as a County Commissioner and that Oxendine knows both Plaintiff and Blue. However, Oxendine's familiarity with "standard practice" and "influence" is too conclusory to create a material issue of fact as to the hiring decision at issue here. (See Ex. C, Aff. Roger Oxendine (Doc. 31-3) at 2.) He offers no specific factual testimony or knowledge as to the relevant events of this action; it is entirely speculative as to what may have occurred during the hiring of Hill or whether Oxendine has any knowledge of "facts that would be admissible in evidence." See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c)(4).

consider, this court finds that the Board of Commissioners did not have influence on Blue's hiring decision. Also, Plaintiff's testimony regarding Taylor's alleged statement is inadmissible hearsay. Even if admissible, Taylor's statement does not create a genuine issue of material fact as to unlawful racial discrimination in the Assistant County Manager hiring process. Nothing in the record suggests Taylor was involved in the hiring process or that he provided input or influence in the hiring decision. Consequently, Plaintiff's allegation that the Board of Commissioners provided impermissible race-based input in the hiring decision is unavailing.

⁵ Plaintiff contends that Taylor's statement is admissible as a statement against Defendant's interest, given that Taylor, as a County Commissioner, is an agent of Defendant Robeson County. (Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 9.) The statement against interest hearsay exception does not apply here, as it only applies when the declarant (Taylor) is unavailable. See Fed. R. Evid. 804(b)(3). This court has not been presented evidence that Taylor is unavailable to testify. It is also not admissible as a non-hearsay opposing party statement under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(D) because Taylor's statement was not made "on a matter within the scope of that [agency or employment] relationship." See Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(D). "In order for a statement to qualify as a party admission under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(D), the declarant must have actually been involved in the decision to hire or fire the person bringing the discrimination charge." Goss v. George Washington Univ., 942 F. Supp. 659, 662 (D.D.C. 1996). Nothing in the record suggests Taylor provided input or influence on the hiring decision or was involved in the hiring process, so his statement is not admissible as a party admission. Regardless, this court declines to make an evidentiary finding as to the admissibility of Taylor's alleged statement, as even if admissible, the statement does not create a genuine issue of material fact.

^{- 31 -}

Second, Plaintiff alleges that Tom Taylor told Plaintiff's wife, Rhonda Dial, that the Assistant County Manager position was "Shelton Hill's job" before the hiring decision was made. (See Pl.'s Resp. (Doc. 31) at 2-3 (referencing Rhonda Dial's affidavit); see also Ex. B, Aff. of Rhonda Dial (Doc. 31-2) at 3.) However, this allegation does not provide evidence of unlawful race-based discrimination. This statement is inadmissible hearsay that this court cannot consider, as it is being offered for the truth of the matter asserted - that Shelton Hill was already selected for the Assistant County Manager position. Even if admissible, it does not provide evidence of race-based discrimination as a pretext for Defendant's promotion decision. At most, it suggests preselection, which "'is not sufficient evidence for jurors reasonably to conclude' that the defendant['s] explanation for hiring [Hill] was pretext." See Westinghouse Savannah River Co., 406 F.3d at 271 (quoting Mackey v. Shalala, 360 F.3d 463, 468-69 (4th Cir. 2004)). "[P]re-selection does not violate Title VII when the pre-selection is based on the qualifications of the pre-selected candidate, and not on some basis prohibited by Title VII." Weaks, 761 F. Supp. 2d at 306 (citing Mackey, 360 F.3d at 468-69). "[W]hile pre-selection may establish that an employee was 'unfairly treated, it does not by itself prove

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 306 of 310

racial discrimination.'" <u>Id.</u> (quoting <u>Westinghouse Savannah</u> River Co., 406 F.3d at 271).

In sum, Plaintiff does not raise a genuine dispute of material fact to suggest Defendant's proffered reason for promoting Hill over Plaintiff was pretextual.

V. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff establishes a prima facie case of race discrimination in his non-promotion to Assistant County Manager under McDonnell Douglas. However, Defendant meets its burden of articulating a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for its action — that Hill, the selectee, was more qualified than Plaintiff. Finally, Plaintiff does not raise a genuine dispute of material fact suggesting that Defendant's stated reason was pretextual. Accordingly, Defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

For the foregoing reasons,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, (Doc. 25), is GRANTED.

This the 27th day of March, 2023.

William L. Ushur, M. United States District Jydge

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 307 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

ANTHONY DIAL,

Plaintiff,

v.

1:20-cv-1135

ROBESON COUNTY,

Defendant.

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL ACTION

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Plaintiff,
Anthony Dial, shall take nothing of Defendant, Robeson County,
and this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

This the 27th day of March, 2023.

William L. Oshur, M. United States District Judge

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 308 of 310

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

File Number: 1:20cv01135

)	
)	
)	
)	
) NOTICE OF APPE	AL
)	
)	
)	
)	
)))) NOTICE OF APPE))))

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that ANTHONY DIAL, Plaintiff in the above-referenced action, hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit from the ORDERS and Judgment of the Honorable William L. Osteen, Jr. dismissing Plaintiff's claims, with prejudice, filed September 29, 2021 and March 27, 2023.

This the 25th day of April, 2023.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn,

Nancy P. Quinn, Attorney for Plaintiff NC Bar No.: 16799 P.O. Box 9378

Greensboro, NC 27429 Telephone: (336)272-9072

Facsimile: (336)272-2289

npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 309 of 310

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing and attached Notice of Appeal was served on the Defendant electronically through the CM/ECF system addressed as follows:

Mr. Dan Hartzog, Jr. Hartzog Law Group 2626 Glenwood Avenue, Suite 305 Raleigh, NC 27608

Email: dhartzogjr@hartzoglawgroup.com

This the 25th day of April, 2023.

/s/Nancy P. Quinn,

Nancy P. Quinn, Attorney for Plaintiff NC Bar No.: 16799 P.O. Box 9378 Greensboro, NC 27429 Telephone: (336)272-9072

npquinn@thequinnlawfirm.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1474 Doc: 24 Filed: 10/13/2023 Pg: 310 of 310

APPEAL TRANSMITTAL SHEET (non-death penalty)

	AL IKANSIIII IAL S	פווטוו-ו		
Transmittal to 4CCA of notice of	District:		District Case No.:	
appeal filed: <u>04/25/23</u>	MIDDLE DISTRICT OF	N.C.	1:20CV1135	
✓ First NOA in Case	Division:		4CCA No(s). for any prior NOA:	
Subsequent NOA-same party				
Subsequent NOA-new party	Caption:		4CCA Case Manager:	
Subsequent NOA-cross appeal	ANTHONY DIAL v. RC	BESON		
Paper ROA Paper Supp.	OCCIVITICIAI			
Vols:				
Other:				
Exceptional Circumstances: Bail Interlocutory Recalcitrant Witness Other				
Confinement-Criminal Case:	Fee Status:			
Death row-use DP Transmittal	No fee required (USA appeal) Appeal fees paid in full Fee not paid			
Recalcitrant witness In custody	Criminal Cases:			
On bond	District court granted & did not revoke CJA status (continues on appeal)			
On probation	District court granted CJA & later revoked status (must pay fee or apply to 4CCA)			
Defendant Address- Criminal Case:	District court never granted CJA status (must pay fee or apply to 4CCA)			
	Civil, Habeas & 2255 Cases:			
	Court granted & did not revoke IFP status (continues on appeal)			
	Court granted IFP & later revoked status (must pay fee or apply to 4CCA)			
	Court never granted IFP status (must pay fee or apply to 4CCA)			
District Judge:	PLRA Cases:			
WILLIAM L. OSTEEN, JR	Proceeded PLRA in district court, no 3-strike determination (must apply to 4CCA)			
Count Possession (1:4-11)	Proceeded PLRA in district court, determined to be 3-striker (must apply to 4CCA)			
Court Reporter (list all):	Social Status (shock all that apply).			
Briana Bell	Sealed Status (check all that apply):			
	Portions of record under seal Entire record under seal			
	Party names under seal			
	Docket under seal			
Coordinator: Keah Marsh	<u> </u>	Dogard Status	for Counciled Appeals (sheet any applicable)	
Record Status for Pro Se Appeals (check any applicable):		Record Status for Counseled Appeals (check any applicable):		
Assembled electronic record available upon request		Assembled electronic record available upon request		
Additional sealed record available upon request		Additional sealed record available upon request		
Paper record or supplement available upon request		Paper record or supplement available upon request		
No in-court hearings held		No in-court hearings held		
In-court hearings held – all transcript on file		In-court hearings held – all transcript on file		
In-court hearings held – all transcript not on file		✓ In-court hearings held – all transcript not on file		
Other:		Other:		

Deputy Clerk: Abby Taylor Phone: 336-332-6102 Date: 04/26/23